

Part I (Prior to Executive Session)

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:0.440

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:0:2.210 --> 0:0:2.830

Neal, Barbara

Good enough.

0:0:2.970 --> 0:0:5.920

Neal, Barbara

Alright, good morning everyone.

0:0:5.930 --> 0:0:7.980

Neal, Barbara

I see we still have a few more people joining in.

0:0:8.50 --> 0:0:11.480

Neal, Barbara

I'll get us started while people are getting settled.

0:0:11.490 --> 0:0:21.620

Neal, Barbara

So I am calling this meeting of the public Safety Communications Task force to order it's 1116 on March 27, 2024.

0:0:21.630 --> 0:0:23.0

Neal, Barbara

And I'm Barbara Neil.

0:0:23.70 --> 0:0:31.580

Neal, Barbara

As a reminder, the recording of the meeting is being recorded and that recording will be posted to the Task force web page following the meeting.

0:0:32.120 --> 0:0:35.460

Neal, Barbara

Let's start with a roll call of Task Force members.

0:0:38.10 --> 0:0:38.170

White, Paul

And.

0:0:35.470 --> 0:0:39.580

Neal, Barbara

If you could verbally acknowledge your presence when I call your name, Jen Morrison.

0:0:40.320 --> 0:0:40.620

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm here.

0:0:41.860 --> 0:0:43.200

Neal, Barbara

Reading Paul white.

0:0:44.980 --> 0:0:46.410

White, Paul

Uh, I am here on.

0:0:46.420 --> 0:0:46.960

White, Paul

If you can hear me.

0:0:48.110 --> 0:0:49.40

Neal, Barbara

Yep, we can hear you.

0:0:49.590 --> 0:0:52.640

White, Paul

OK, I'm having difficulty with my computer, but I think I got it fixed.

0:0:52.650 --> 0:0:52.930

White, Paul

I'm here.

0:0:53.980 --> 0:0:55.550

Neal, Barbara

OK, warning.

0:0:56.120 --> 0:0:57.820

Neal, Barbara

Let's see Mike Dungeness.

0:1:5.740 --> 0:1:7.550

Neal, Barbara

I see Mike on the list, but I'm not hearing him.

0:1:7.560 --> 0:1:8.680

Neal, Barbara

I'll go on to Jim Mack.

0:1:9.760 --> 0:1:9.980

James Mack

Here.

0:1:14.580 --> 0:1:15.20

Neal, Barbara

And.

0:1:17.940 --> 0:1:19.450

Neal, Barbara

Mike Dungeness, can you hear us now?

0:1:22.320 --> 0:1:24.560

Mike d

Yep, sorry I'm having Internet issues, I apologize.

0:1:25.580 --> 0:1:26.290

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:1:26.520 --> 0:1:28.70

Neal, Barbara

Good morning. Mike.

0:1:28.620 --> 0:1:32.300

Neal, Barbara

I believe that is it for task force members at this point.

0:1:45.660 --> 0:1:45.890

Dominick Arcuri

Umm.

0:1:34.560 --> 0:1:49.810

Neal, Barbara

Also joining us is Kelly added as our administrative support and one of our two partners at Televi, Dom are Curry is here this morning and our system planning project manager from mission critical rants.

0:1:50.340 --> 0:1:52.70

Neal, Barbara

Duffy is also on the line.

0:1:52.700 --> 0:1:53.750

Neal, Barbara

So welcome, everybody.

0:1:54.60 --> 0:1:58.150

Neal, Barbara

Let's see members of the public that are present.

0:1:59.740 --> 0:2:1.830

Neal, Barbara

Kimchi would you like to introduce yourself?

0:2:5.380 --> 0:2:6.270

Neal, Barbara

You're muted, Kim.

0:2:10.210 --> 0:2:14.310

Kim Cheney

I'm training live in my failure, former board member of the Vermont.

0:2:15.420 --> 0:2:20.900

Kim Cheney

Umm, Public Safety Authority in Berrien Montpelier.

0:2:23.190 --> 0:2:28.610

Neal, Barbara

Morning and I have someone listed as unknown user, is that you Steven?

0:2:30.280 --> 0:2:31.440

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

It is. Thanks.

0:2:33.490 --> 0:2:34.100

Neal, Barbara

Morning, Steven.

0:2:36.390 --> 0:2:37.240

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:2:37.870 --> 0:2:40.950

Neal, Barbara

And I I see that Rick Burke from Tel Aviv has joined us.

0:2:40.960 --> 0:2:41.590

Neal, Barbara

Good morning, Rick.

0:2:42.740 --> 0:2:43.900

Rick Burke

Good morning, everyone.

0:2:45.760 --> 0:2:50.190

Neal, Barbara

All right, we are ready to get going.

0:2:50.200 --> 0:2:52.630

Neal, Barbara

So let's start with approval of the agenda.

0:2:53.310 --> 0:2:58.930

Neal, Barbara

The agenda was distributed last week, I believe is also available on our website.

0:2:58.940 --> 0:3:0.630

Neal, Barbara

Are there any changes to the agenda?

0:3:5.510 --> 0:3:5.670

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:3:5.950 --> 0:3:10.480

Neal, Barbara

Hearing none, we will consider that approved and move on to approval of the Minutes.

0:3:11.470 --> 0:3:13.580

Neal, Barbara

So we have two sets of minutes to approve.

0:3:13.750 --> 0:3:18.150

Neal, Barbara

1st is from the regular meeting #21 on March 13th.

0:3:18.960 --> 0:3:21.860

Neal, Barbara

I are there any changes needed to these minutes?

0:3:26.540 --> 0:3:33.970

Neal, Barbara

OK, hearing none, let's take a look at the minutes from the special meeting #16 on March 20th.

0:3:33.980 --> 0:3:35.460

Neal, Barbara

Any changes needed to these minutes?

0:3:40.710 --> 0:3:41.10

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:3:43.230 --> 0:3:45.320

Neal, Barbara

Is there a motion to approve these minutes?

0:3:49.220 --> 0:3:52.60

James Mack

Make a motion to approve the Minutes as published.

0:3:53.210 --> 0:3:53.830

White, Paul

Secunder.

0:3:54.960 --> 0:3:55.550

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:3:55.590 --> 0:3:56.460

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion.

0:3:55.860 --> 0:3:56.670

White, Paul

I assume that I.

0:3:56.980 --> 0:3:58.590

White, Paul

I assume that's for both both sets at once.

0:3:59.210 --> 0:3:59.850

James Mack

Yes, both.

0:4:2.550 --> 0:4:2.960

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:4:3.30 --> 0:4:3.560

Neal, Barbara

Thanks, Paul.

0:4:3.770 --> 0:4:4.430

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion.

0:4:6.850 --> 0:4:10.500

Neal, Barbara

All in favor of approving the Minutes as listed on the agenda?

0:4:10.510 --> 0:4:12.160

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:4:13.440 --> 0:4:17.890

Neal, Barbara

You see, all hands are up, except like is your hand up?

0:4:18.390 --> 0:4:19.490

Morrison, Jennifer

Mike D was up?

0:4:20.510 --> 0:4:21.90

Neal, Barbara

Like dungeons?

0:4:21.100 --> 0:4:21.750

Neal, Barbara

Was that OK?

0:4:19.500 --> 0:4:21.880

Morrison, Jennifer

Yes, he raised his hand electronically. Yeah.

0:4:21.800 --> 0:4:22.690

Neal, Barbara

Sorry, I missed that.

0:4:23.50 --> 0:4:29.190

Neal, Barbara

Alright, those minutes then are approved and let's move on to.

0:4:31.30 --> 0:4:33.420

Neal, Barbara

Next agenda item is public comment.

0:4:33.430 --> 0:4:35.530

Neal, Barbara

We typically limit this to 10 minutes.

0:4:35.950 --> 0:4:38.850

Neal, Barbara

Umm Steven, would you like to start?

0:4:42.110 --> 0:4:42.650

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Uh, yeah.

0:4:42.660 --> 0:5:0.690

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

I wanna inquire as to the progress on getting an attorney and I wanna call to the attention of the task force members that are request a public records request has uh dragged on and on for a copy of the work plan, which clearly televote didn't produce.

0:5:0.700 --> 0:5:11.770

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

But that mission critical is reported to have produced but a request for that made more than a week ago has still not produced a work plan or the copy of the contract, or a copy of the proposal.

0:5:12.180 --> 0:5:21.880

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

And we you this task force can no longer rely on expedient public records request processing from the Department of Public Safety's Attorneys.

0:5:22.80 --> 0:5:30.350

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

You need your own attorney on board to on her public records law because hopefully you all will have more diligence than the Department of Public Safety on this matter.

0:5:31.290 --> 0:5:41.690

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Umm, so that's my main concern right now because I'm ill prepared to comment informally if I still haven't been provided access to the key documents.

0:5:45.60 --> 0:6:3.930

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

And I would remind all of y'all task force Members that you are appointed officials, public officials and accountable to the people who serve you, which means returning phone calls and remaining accessible to those of us who do the hard work to participate in this process.

0:6:7.620 --> 0:6:8.150

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:6:8.220 --> 0:6:8.820

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Steven.

0:6:11.290 --> 0:6:12.700

Neal, Barbara

Kimchi would you like to comment?

0:6:18.650 --> 0:6:19.840

Neal, Barbara

You're you're muted, Kim.

0:6:19.140 --> 0:6:19.960

James Mack

You're muted, Kim.

0:6:21.290 --> 0:6:22.850

Kim Cheney

You know, putting her out when I'm not.

0:6:24.70 --> 0:6:24.910

Kim Cheney

So I'm working now.

0:6:26.550 --> 0:6:26.680

James Mack

Yes.

0:6:26.210 --> 0:6:26.930

Neal, Barbara

Yes it is.

0:6:26.240 --> 0:6:27.80

Dominick Arcuri

Yes, we can hear you.

0:6:27.780 --> 0:6:38.870

Kim Cheney

OK, it says I'm muted and when I look at my screen ohm, I just want to thank Barbara and Neil and Mr Duffy for.

0:6:40.710 --> 0:6:42.230

Kim Cheney

Sending me a written answer.

0:6:44.600 --> 0:6:47.260

Kim Cheney

In part to the questions I asked last week.

0:6:49.170 --> 0:6:51.920

Kim Cheney

Was very responsive and I appreciate it.

0:6:52.490 --> 0:7:7.700

Kim Cheney

I have sent a response to Barbara Neil and he may or may not wanna share that with the Taskbars, but thank you for I fear interest in my issues so far.

0:7:7.710 --> 0:7:8.170

Kim Cheney

Thank you.

0:7:12.20 --> 0:7:12.510

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:7:12.960 --> 0:7:13.450

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Kim.

0:7:16.120 --> 0:7:26.760

Neal, Barbara

I see no other members of the public, so we'll move away from public comment now on to project updates and I will hand this off to Dom for those.

0:7:28.790 --> 0:7:29.350

Dominick Arcuri

Thanks, Barb.

0:7:30.570 --> 0:7:45.560

Dominick Arcuri

Yes, we got we had quite a bit of activity over the last couple of weeks and so we know from the those that attended the system planning, vendor mission, critical partners is fully on board.

0:7:45.630 --> 0:7:50.100

Dominick Arcuri

We held a kickoff meeting with MCP last week.

0:7:50.320 --> 0:7:57.250

Dominick Arcuri

They updated their project, their internal project plan and and scheduled for their requirements.

0:7:57.260 --> 0:8:16.650

Dominick Arcuri

Once the contract was complete, we have incorporated those changes and updated the overall project plan and schedule to include the tasks and functions of MCP and their schedule.

0:8:16.660 --> 0:8:23.460

Dominick Arcuri

So that is all up to date and we provided a a updated project plan reflecting that.

0:8:24.870 --> 0:8:39.120

Dominick Arcuri

MCP in Tel Aviv have met to discuss a coordination activities to make sure we have the process asset for ongoing updates to schedule and project status etcetera.

0:8:39.130 --> 0:8:46.540

Dominick Arcuri

So we will be doing that on a regular basis and providing those updates to the task force members.

0:8:48.920 --> 0:8:53.800

Dominick Arcuri

Also, we distributed the first version of the stakeholder update.

0:8:54.870 --> 0:8:55.310

Dominick Arcuri

Uh.

0:8:55.710 --> 0:9:3.460

Dominick Arcuri

A document that was our March version, so that has been that has been published or distributed.

0:9:4.20 --> 0:9:15.990

Dominick Arcuri

We also developed a draft project, frequently asked Questions, FAQ document that was initial draft was developed and some comments received.

0:9:16.0 --> 0:9:20.470

Dominick Arcuri

We provided in an updated draft so that is in process.

0:9:21.280 --> 0:9:28.880

Dominick Arcuri

We've also updated the current list of the dispatch centers to prepare for some of the next steps.

0:9:29.190 --> 0:9:32.50

Dominick Arcuri

So what we anticipate for the next items are.

0:9:33.550 --> 0:9:55.770

Dominick Arcuri

MCP is currently working on their project initiation, which includes the first major item is putting together an inventory schedule schedule for them to develop their inventory report and then that would be followed by their assessment schedule as well, uh.

0:9:55.780 --> 0:10:5.830

Dominick Arcuri

We're we're hoping to get those in place over the next couple of weeks so we can accelerate the schedule as much as possible.

0:10:6.410 --> 0:10:7.180

Dominick Arcuri

We also need to.

0:10:8.970 --> 0:10:24.280

Dominick Arcuri

Provide a an update to the stakeholder contact list to help with that assessment schedule so that we'll be working on over the next couple of weeks and the FAQ document that I mentioned.

0:10:24.290 --> 0:10:32.490

Dominick Arcuri

We anticipate publishing that within the next week or so in the next period.

0:10:34.190 --> 0:10:35.900

Dominick Arcuri

I think that's a summary.

0:10:36.920 --> 0:10:37.990

Dominick Arcuri

Happy to answer any questions.

0:10:40.470 --> 0:10:45.400

Neal, Barbara

OK, do task force members have any questions for Dom?

0:10:50.480 --> 0:10:53.110

Neal, Barbara

No, John, you look like you were about to say something, but no.

0:10:55.300 --> 0:10:55.830

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:10:56.700 --> 0:10:57.210

Neal, Barbara

Alright then.

0:10:57.220 --> 0:10:58.190

Neal, Barbara

Well, thank you, Dom.

0:10:59.40 --> 0:10:59.270

Rick Burke

Hey.

0:10:59.160 --> 0:11:0.280

Neal, Barbara

Let's move on.

0:10:59.720 --> 0:11:0.300

Morrison, Jennifer

I I was.

0:11:1.530 --> 0:11:1.900

Neal, Barbara

I'm sorry.

0:10:59.280 --> 0:11:2.220

Rick Burke

Hey, Barb, if I may, if I go ahead.

0:11:1.400 --> 0:11:15.750

Morrison, Jennifer

I was actually trying to figure out why the updated project plan dated 320, had not made it to our website, but I I have found it in the fiery depths of my inbox and converted it to a PDF.

0:11:18.620 --> 0:11:18.900

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:11:15.800 --> 0:11:20.630

Morrison, Jennifer

So I will send it to Cali to put on the website and Callie send it to Mr Whitaker, please.

0:11:22.800 --> 0:11:23.0

Dominick Arcuri

Right.

0:11:22.710 --> 0:11:24.930

Rick Burke

May I ask a couple of questions regarding that?

0:11:24.940 --> 0:11:25.60

Rick Burke

Sure.

0:11:26.450 --> 0:11:26.670

Neal, Barbara

Sure.

0:11:26.200 --> 0:11:34.10

Rick Burke

And then you all just respond to her and the process is that she when she hears approval that she can just forward it out to the community.

0:11:34.760 --> 0:11:36.850

Rick Burke

So we could, you know, establish a process there.

0:11:41.520 --> 0:11:43.50

Neal, Barbara

I didn't quite catch all of that, Rick.

0:11:45.680 --> 0:11:45.960

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:11:44.290 --> 0:11:46.130

Rick Burke

I'm sorry, I yeah.

0:11:42.210 --> 0:12:10.470

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, I think I understood what he was asking is that I I think I think that we've had an internal misunderstand, either misunderstanding or we're not clear on that draft came to you and me and Paul and we I think televote was looking for us to give a thumbs up to the

updated draft which incorporated the mission critical stuff it was also it was one of a couple of attachments in that email that day.

0:12:12.940 --> 0:12:18.550

Morrison, Jennifer

I I can say honestly that I have not read through the updates, so I I give neither a thumbs up or a thumbs down.

0:12:18.560 --> 0:12:33.530

Morrison, Jennifer

But the document does exist, so I think the question that they're asking is do the chairs and the Co chair want to approve the content before it goes publicly, or are we just accepting it as an update because it is a living document?

0:12:33.540 --> 0:12:37.80

Morrison, Jennifer

It has a change order sheet on the first page, uh.

0:12:39.330 --> 0:12:40.210

Dominick Arcuri

That's that's correct.

0:12:37.380 --> 0:12:45.400

Morrison, Jennifer

Tracking when we make updates so as a living document, does it need to be approved every time it pushes out so.

0:12:45.900 --> 0:12:46.260

Rick Burke

Exactly.

0:12:45.420 --> 0:12:47.870

Neal, Barbara

We're talking about the status update, correct?

0:12:48.130 --> 0:12:48.840

Morrison, Jennifer

No, no, no, no.

0:12:49.760 --> 0:12:50.770

Dominick Arcuri

The the project plan.

0:12:51.620 --> 0:12:52.360

Neal, Barbara

The project plan.

0:12:48.850 --> 0:12:53.660

Morrison, Jennifer

We're talking about the project plan that right?

0:12:52.90 --> 0:12:53.680

Rick Burke

Yeah, yeah.

0:12:53.690 --> 0:12:55.840

Rick Burke

The project plan is very comprehensive now.

0:12:55.850 --> 0:13:2.340

Rick Burke

We have included in you know what we've received from mission critical and and as Dom indicated in the email.

0:13:2.350 --> 0:13:7.500

Rick Burke

The only missing piece right now is a schedule, and I'm working on that schedule.

0:13:7.510 --> 0:13:11.580

Rick Burke

They're internal schedule, and so once they've completed that, we'll update it.

0:13:11.590 --> 0:13:17.560

Rick Burke

But the project plan at this stage is complete, minus the schedule and that would be inserted.

0:13:17.570 --> 0:13:23.110

Rick Burke

So we can either publish this version minus the schedule, or hold off on schedule.

0:13:23.410 --> 0:13:24.570

Rick Burke

I want to schedule is done.

0:13:24.700 --> 0:13:30.210

Rick Burke

We indicated in there the the the status of the schedule and I'm comfortable in publishing it.

0:13:30.220 --> 0:13:36.610

Rick Burke

I think you know the community would be happy to see it, but you want we wanted to be sure that you know the task force is happy with it.

0:13:36.620 --> 0:13:37.920

Rick Burke

So that's really the question.

0:13:37.930 --> 0:13:38.990

Rick Burke

Are you comfortable with it?

0:13:40.600 --> 0:13:45.300

White, Paul

And the the version that's currently on our website is dated 226 and Mark's draft correct.

0:13:45.450 --> 0:13:46.30

Morrison, Jennifer

Right.

0:13:47.560 --> 0:13:47.890

White, Paul

Right.

0:13:46.40 --> 0:13:47.990

Morrison, Jennifer

This is a March 20th draft.

0:13:47.940 --> 0:13:48.250

White, Paul

OK.

0:13:48.0 --> 0:13:49.800

Morrison, Jennifer

This came to you, me and Barb last week.

0:13:48.300 --> 0:13:49.990

White, Paul

OK, right.

0:13:50.780 --> 0:13:51.330

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm.

0:13:50.490 --> 0:13:51.720

Dominick Arcuri

Right, right.

0:13:51.810 --> 0:13:52.340

Dominick Arcuri

And that has.

0:13:51.660 --> 0:13:54.610

Morrison, Jennifer

And again, I had to go diving for it to find it.

0:13:54.940 --> 0:14:2.210

Morrison, Jennifer

But, but I agree that we should push this out publicly because it's a living document.

0:14:2.260 --> 0:14:2.910

Morrison, Jennifer

If, if.

0:14:2.830 --> 0:14:2.960

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:14:3.240 --> 0:14:3.480

Rick Burke

Mm-hmm.

0:14:8.240 --> 0:14:8.540

Dominick Arcuri

Right.

0:14:2.980 --> 0:14:12.430

Morrison, Jennifer

If we're not happy with the content, that can be reflected back to Tel Aviv and updated when you do the next update that includes the schedule from MCP.

0:14:12.880 --> 0:14:18.880

Morrison, Jennifer

So I would encourage us to get this on our website and push it out for public review or input, you know.

0:14:19.700 --> 0:14:20.290

Dominick Arcuri

Right.

0:14:20.360 --> 0:14:26.330

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, that does the version does have uh change tracking and it as as well.

0:14:26.720 --> 0:14:26.920

Rick Burke

Umm.

0:14:26.340 --> 0:14:35.910

Dominick Arcuri

So we probably want to accept those and as you mentioned Jen put in PDF format before putting it on the website.

0:14:37.600 --> 0:14:38.420

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, that's fair.

0:14:39.820 --> 0:14:41.230

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah, we we can certainly do that.

0:14:37.550 --> 0:14:42.890

Rick Burke

And and we can do that from our end if you're we can do that from our end, if you'd like us to, sure.

0:14:44.840 --> 0:14:46.410

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep, I think that makes sense.

0:14:48.130 --> 0:14:48.260

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah.

0:14:46.420 --> 0:14:48.530

Morrison, Jennifer

Let's get the changes accepted.

0:14:48.610 --> 0:14:54.60

Morrison, Jennifer

Let's make it a PDF and let's push it out to the folks who normally attend, plus put it on our website.

0:14:54.750 --> 0:14:55.170

Dominick Arcuri

Will do.

0:14:56.490 --> 0:14:58.570

Rick Burke

Alright so.

0:14:54.880 --> 0:14:59.590

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm to get us caught back up and justice, Dom and Rick.

0:15:4.90 --> 0:15:4.290

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:15:7.550 --> 0:15:7.650

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:14:59.600 --> 0:15:9.20

Morrison, Jennifer

For the record, if I don't reply and like 2 business days, it has slid into a dark space in my inbox and you probably need to poke me.

0:15:10.190 --> 0:15:11.810

Rick Burke

Yeah, I understood so well.

0:15:11.820 --> 0:15:12.940

Rick Burke

I've done the way we did it.

0:15:15.600 --> 0:15:15.850

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:15:12.950 --> 0:15:19.990

Rick Burke

Is that Don provides a link to the document or provides the document so you know we wanna providing a link as you an easy way to get there.

0:15:20.0 --> 0:15:20.980

Rick Burke

It doesn't fill up your inbox.

0:15:20.990 --> 0:15:21.920

Rick Burke

We can do it both ways.

0:15:21.930 --> 0:15:32.440

Rick Burke

We can provide the document and the link that document is getting larger in times of size and I know there's always limits on the amount of of of, you know, megabits that you can hold on your on your outlook.

0:15:32.450 --> 0:15:35.790

Rick Burke

So we want to respect whatever the the the task force wants.

0:15:38.90 --> 0:15:38.420

Rick Burke

Not.

0:15:38.860 --> 0:15:39.400

Dominick Arcuri

OK, great.

0:15:36.370 --> 0:15:39.990

Morrison, Jennifer

The link is fine, I just have to get in and spend the time with it.

0:15:40.840 --> 0:15:41.550

White, Paul

No, I don't.

0:15:40.700 --> 0:15:41.560

Rick Burke

Alright, fair enough.

0:15:41.620 --> 0:15:42.810

Rick Burke

So go ahead.

0:15:42.820 --> 0:15:43.410

Rick Burke

I'm sorry, ball.

0:15:42.140 --> 0:15:43.960

White, Paul

I yeah.

0:15:51.370 --> 0:15:52.220

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep, Yep.

0:15:43.970 --> 0:15:52.380

White, Paul

I I don't think, uh, maybe I'm wrong, but I don't think Tom and Rick have the ability to post anything to our public website, so that who they send it to Cali, OK.

0:15:52.680 --> 0:15:52.880

Rick Burke

Yep.

0:15:55.220 --> 0:15:56.590

Dominick Arcuri

OK, right.

0:15:54.760 --> 0:15:58.690

Rick Burke

So I just have a a I I think a I have a question if I could have done here.

0:15:58.700 --> 0:16:10.620

Rick Burke

Alright, I just have a question that so as we get to the scheduling and the print and you know obviously from rants and MCP's position as well, what we're what we had asked previously is prioritization.

0:16:10.630 --> 0:16:40.980

Rick Burke

You know, I mean, psaps first dispatch centers as they're, you know, if the task force would be guide us there, that would be helpful because you know once we start, you know, seeking coordination to visit, you know with, you know, the do our site visits MCP site visits, you know having that priority and then support from the the task force that you know that that that we've got our team together, we're gonna become you know we're gonna we and we could put a draft letter for you all to consider but outreach to the community so that they.

0:16:41.80 --> 0:16:41.890

Rick Burke

Know we're coming.

0:16:41.900 --> 0:16:46.220

Rick Burke

What we're going to be looking for and and and for you to prioritize would be helpful.

0:16:46.230 --> 0:17:1.150

Rick Burke

I mean, we might not be able to meet the prioritization because of schedule conflicts, but having that prioritization I think would be beneficial because in in seeking the information, it's going to be required, we're going to have to tie that up and and communicate with them and let them know what we need.

0:17:1.160 --> 0:17:2.410

Rick Burke

And there may be a, you know, I'm.

0:17:2.420 --> 0:17:4.590

Rick Burke

I'll let rats freak for himself, but.

0:17:4.690 --> 0:17:10.170

Rick Burke

But you know the survey and what information we wanted, we're gonna have to give them a list of that information in advance.

0:17:10.180 --> 0:17:18.210

Rick Burke

So all of that needs to be we need to think about that now so that we could quick start that aspect of the data collection aspect of the project.

0:17:19.260 --> 0:17:26.400

Morrison, Jennifer

I think that this is going to be the first of many small subgroups that we should put into a task.

0:17:26.410 --> 0:17:30.60

Morrison, Jennifer

Task groups that do a task, not a quorum.

0:17:30.430 --> 0:17:32.480

Morrison, Jennifer

So put together gym because he works.

0:17:32.490 --> 0:17:45.520

Morrison, Jennifer

He has the expertise of a dispatch center and perhaps one or two other members of the task force to work with you guys with televised and with rants to create that uh prioritization list and go through a letter.

0:17:47.400 --> 0:17:47.590

Rick Burke

Umm.

0:17:45.530 --> 0:17:52.0

Morrison, Jennifer

And however it is, we're going to approach these centers, but I can't see working all that out here in public session.

0:17:52.610 --> 0:17:53.290

Rick Burke

Yeah. No.

0:17:52.0 --> 0:17:54.530

Morrison, Jennifer

Not just is gonna take a lot more time.

0:17:54.330 --> 0:17:54.680

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:17:54.690 --> 0:17:56.280

Rick Burke

No, agreed.

0:17:56.330 --> 0:17:56.590

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:17:56.600 --> 0:18:2.150

Rick Burke

I I just wanted the tee it up as an action item so that we have that as a list that as an action item for us to do.

0:18:4.850 --> 0:18:5.50

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:18:4.990 --> 0:18:14.290

White, Paul

I would be I would be happy to go through the list and sort of come up with my idea of priorities and forward it to Jim for his review and see if he agrees.

0:18:17.340 --> 0:18:17.970

James Mack

Sounds good.

0:18:17.800 --> 0:18:18.120

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:18:18.380 --> 0:18:18.930

Rick Burke

Yeah, not.

0:18:17.600 --> 0:18:19.850

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, and it can be an asynchronous work group.

0:18:21.570 --> 0:18:22.360

White, Paul

Sure. Right.

0:18:19.860 --> 0:18:25.130

Morrison, Jennifer

It can be all done over email, but it just can't be a a quorum of the task of the task force members.

0:18:25.390 --> 0:18:27.930

White, Paul

Right, right. Yeah.

0:18:29.800 --> 0:18:30.40

White, Paul

Right.

0:18:25.140 --> 0:18:32.450

Morrison, Jennifer

So if you and Jim and either Barbara, Ron want to work on that as a task, we do, the work goes away.

0:18:34.410 --> 0:18:34.550

White, Paul

Yep.

0:18:32.460 --> 0:18:34.660

Morrison, Jennifer

The next task comes up and we drive on.

0:18:36.720 --> 0:18:36.960

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:18:39.0 --> 0:18:39.370

James Mack

Who?

0:18:37.910 --> 0:18:39.390

Rick Burke

I and so we've captured that.

0:18:37.860 --> 0:18:39.660

Neal, Barbara

I see rants as hand as up.

0:18:42.390 --> 0:18:42.590

Rick Burke

Yep.

0:18:43.180 --> 0:18:43.570

Neal, Barbara

Rants.

0:18:43.580 --> 0:18:49.820

Neal, Barbara

Before I go to you, Ron, are you interested in in working with Jim and Paul on this prioritization?

0:18:50.940 --> 0:18:51.750

Neal, Barbara

Are you able to do that?

0:18:51.950 --> 0:18:54.870

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Umm I am.

0:18:54.920 --> 0:19:1.520

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I'm a little time limited until after April 17th, but I will attempt to give my input sure.

0:19:2.740 --> 0:19:7.570

Neal, Barbara

OK, so it sounds to me like we've kind of established that task group, right?

0:19:7.580 --> 0:19:10.400

Neal, Barbara

So, Paul, Jim and Ron and.

0:19:13.200 --> 0:19:15.750

Neal, Barbara

Certainly, if you need anything from me or Jen, let us know.

0:19:15.760 --> 0:19:18.100

Neal, Barbara

We just can't all meet that at the same time so.

0:19:19.970 --> 0:19:20.640

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:19:20.980 --> 0:19:23.0

Neal, Barbara

And Rick, I think I cut you off.

0:19:23.10 --> 0:19:24.910

Neal, Barbara

Did you have something more to say on this?

0:19:26.720 --> 0:19:30.930

Rick Burke

I know I think I covered it the way that we've all, you know, your exchange.

0:19:31.0 --> 0:19:31.270

Rick Burke

I'm.

0:19:31.280 --> 0:19:35.190

Rick Burke

I'm I'm content and I think you know, rant certainly has something to contribute.

0:19:35.920 --> 0:19:36.420

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:19:36.430 --> 0:19:37.610

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, go ahead, grants.

0:19:38.210 --> 0:19:38.650

Rance Duffy

That's OK.

0:19:38.660 --> 0:19:39.620

Rance Duffy

I appreciate you over here.

0:19:39.630 --> 0:19:39.850

Rance Duffy

Be OK.

0:19:40.630 --> 0:19:40.730

White, Paul

Yes.

0:19:41.120 --> 0:19:41.240

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:19:41.230 --> 0:19:41.740

Rance Duffy

OK.

0:19:41.730 --> 0:19:41.840

James Mack

Yes.

0:19:41.810 --> 0:19:42.60

Rick Burke

Yes.

0:19:42.50 --> 0:19:44.640

Rance Duffy

I'm just just waiting my turn to bring that in.

0:19:44.650 --> 0:19:47.120

Rance Duffy

I Rick hit it pretty much right on the head.

0:19:47.130 --> 0:19:54.780

Rance Duffy

What we will do so you can understand the CPC side of that, there is a lot of that information that we will put out prior to us actually coming on site.

0:19:54.860 --> 0:19:56.130

Rance Duffy

So there's a lot of checklists.

0:19:56.140 --> 0:19:57.0

Rance Duffy

There's a lot of data.

0:19:57.10 --> 0:20:8.210

Rance Duffy

There's a lot of things that we can actually send electronically, which is where we'll work with Celebate, Rick and Tom to be able to get that out and and and that was actually a good point that Don brought up.

0:20:8.380 --> 0:20:18.630

Rance Duffy

If there is a prioritization, if there's somebody, if there's something we want to hit first or we want to hit higher than something else than sure we we want to want to know that up front, especially before we come on site.

0:20:18.720 --> 0:20:21.370

Rance Duffy

However, there is a lot of data that we can gather.

0:20:21.380 --> 0:20:28.620

Rance Duffy

We can get out to the to the entities to go ahead and start filling that information out and we'd like to actually usually get a lot of that back before we sow up on site.

0:20:29.830 --> 0:20:36.940

Rance Duffy

Excuse me, gives us a little bit of a time to when we get that data back that we can we can start analyzing that data a little bit up front.

0:20:37.50 --> 0:20:37.990

Rance Duffy

Then we're on site.

0:20:38.0 --> 0:20:44.920

Rance Duffy

Then we're having these discussions with all the various stakeholders and that that, that this helps us be able to set that up a little bit better.

0:20:44.930 --> 0:20:51.120

Rance Duffy

So just wanted to reiterate, we're we're working with Dom and Elevate and bringing that information out to the stakeholders.

0:20:53.690 --> 0:20:54.90

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:20:54.290 --> 0:20:54.610

Neal, Barbara

Great.

0:20:54.140 --> 0:20:55.340

Rick Burke

And and and I could.

0:20:55.340 --> 0:20:55.640

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:20:55.350 --> 0:20:56.360

Rick Burke

I'll decide to that.

0:20:56.470 --> 0:20:56.720

Rick Burke

What?

0:20:56.730 --> 0:21:1.460

Rick Burke

What we'll do, you know my recommendation and and is that we again these will be action items.

0:21:1.470 --> 0:21:2.600

Rick Burke

Here, there's a priority.

0:21:2.750 --> 0:21:9.140

Rick Burke

Here's the we sent out the request or or or sent out by by the task force or a member of the task.

0:21:9.150 --> 0:21:16.560

Rick Burke

So it's either way it's done by the test force project management team or by others.

0:21:16.830 --> 0:21:18.240

Rick Burke

And this is what we need.

0:21:18.310 --> 0:21:25.140

Rick Burke

And then we can begin tracking who's providing it back so that we have a ongoing list of what we've received and where we're.

0:21:34.300 --> 0:21:34.620

White, Paul

The grid.

0:21:25.150 --> 0:21:35.250

Rick Burke

We may have a bottleneck so that you know, if we, you know, we ask once we asked twice, I think asking a third time might be better coming from the task force or whatever, but we can sort that out.

0:21:35.330 --> 0:21:40.660

Rick Burke

I I we know that you know the responsiveness from the from the Vermont stakeholder is gonna be outstanding on this.

0:21:40.670 --> 0:21:42.330

Rick Burke

And so whatever.

0:21:42.340 --> 0:21:44.830

Rick Burke

You know where we we just want to be sure we're tracking it.

0:21:44.840 --> 0:21:53.130

Rick Burke

And so all that will be, you know, documented so that we track it along the way what we what we request it, what we received and and and and and so forth.

0:21:53.140 --> 0:21:59.320

Rick Burke

So, but that's that'll be our process to make sure that we're keeping everyone informed about where we are and where we got.

0:21:59.500 --> 0:21:59.680

Rick Burke

Where?

0:21:59.840 --> 0:22:0.660

Rick Burke

We're going to next.

0:22:5.250 --> 0:22:6.530

Neal, Barbara

Like slint sounds good.

0:22:7.560 --> 0:22:7.940

Rick Burke

Thank you.

0:22:10.230 --> 0:22:14.200

Neal, Barbara

Anything else on project updates or these action items?

0:22:19.910 --> 0:22:27.820

Neal, Barbara

Alright, looks like we are ready to move on then to the next agenda item, which is the Budget Adjustment Act update.

0:22:28.710 --> 0:22:39.960

Neal, Barbara

So last week, Callie distributed a section of the final Budget Adjustment Act for this year, which included some changes related to the task force.

0:22:40.10 --> 0:22:41.510

Neal, Barbara

Some we were expecting.

0:22:42.940 --> 0:22:55.950

Neal, Barbara

Like removing the limitation to a particular year for pilot projects and so on and some that, umm, I would say we were not expecting, but we definitely need to take a look at.

0:22:55.960 --> 0:23:0.420

Neal, Barbara

So I'm hoping everybody has how to chance to review it.

0:23:0.430 --> 0:23:3.620

Neal, Barbara

I'm going to share my screen to make sure we're looking at the same thing.

0:23:8.820 --> 0:23:12.980

Neal, Barbara

OK, so sorry for the scrolling.

0:23:13.120 --> 0:23:16.560

Neal, Barbara

I can no longer see you, so if you need to interrupt me, feel free.

0:23:17.830 --> 0:23:20.430

Neal, Barbara

We sent the relevant pages out to you.

0:23:22.630 --> 0:23:24.280

Neal, Barbara

And I'm going to zero in.

0:23:24.290 --> 0:23:38.670

Neal, Barbara

We asked you to zero in UH-2 Section 4 at the bottom of the six section, but I mean at the bottom of the second page and this is where some of the changes occurred that I think we ought to discuss.

0:23:39.120 --> 0:23:44.850

Neal, Barbara

So the intent that the language around the intent of the General Assembly was updated.

0:23:45.460 --> 0:23:55.70

Neal, Barbara

So now it reads in order to extract the greatest value from the limited state and federal dollars currently available for public safety communications modernization.

0:23:55.520 --> 0:24:4.880

Neal, Barbara

It is the intent of the General Assembly that all such funding is expended and inefficient and complementary way, and then it goes on to.

0:24:6.280 --> 0:24:6.930

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:24:9.390 --> 0:24:38.770

Neal, Barbara

Uh to to say that the Commissioner of Public Safety shall seek to draw deploy the \$9 million in correct, congressionally directed spending to support the transition to the new statewide system in a manner that coordinates with and advances and new words here are to the greatest extent possible, the public safety communication system developed by the public safety Communications task force.

0:24:39.970 --> 0:25:9.270

Neal, Barbara

Umm, the word in the next line the word consult has been changed to promptly inform the Commissioner will promptly inform the task force as the federal parameters for expending the funds become available and as the Commissioner develops and, if necessary, revises the plan, the Commissioner shall solicit recommendations from the task force regarding the plan, including any revisions, the implementation schedule and specific expenditures.

0:25:10.610 --> 0:25:14.600

Neal, Barbara

And I'm going to stop sharing so that I can see you and.

0:25:16.460 --> 0:25:16.860

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:25:18.550 --> 0:25:44.580

Neal, Barbara

And and the point out in an in a nutshell, at least how my brain has organized this information is Act 78 originally directed the Commissioner to consult with the task force on the plans for that \$9 million, which she has done, and she has also been keeping us a full informed as the parameters around those that spending that grant program has evolved.

0:25:45.130 --> 0:25:54.450

Neal, Barbara

But I think that the key that I zeroed in on is the new part about the Commissioner soliciting recommendations from the task force regarding the plan.

0:25:55.530 --> 0:26:14.300

Neal, Barbara

Umm, my understanding is the Commissioner intends to solicit those recommendations today at this meeting, so the question becomes, what will the recommendations from the task force be and how might we best develop those radiations?

0:26:16.340 --> 0:26:18.950

Neal, Barbara

Do I have a lot of thoughts around all this?

0:26:18.960 --> 0:26:22.850

Neal, Barbara

But I'm interested first in other task force members.

0:26:24.360 --> 0:26:24.790

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:26:25.0 --> 0:26:26.90

Neal, Barbara

Interpretations.

0:26:26.100 --> 0:26:26.790

Neal, Barbara

Views.

0:26:26.800 --> 0:26:27.290

Neal, Barbara

Questions.

0:26:27.300 --> 0:26:29.660

Neal, Barbara

Concerns about the way the language is now written.

0:26:32.30 --> 0:26:33.870

Neal, Barbara

So who would like to share?

0:26:41.550 --> 0:26:42.480

White, Paul

I guess I will.

0:26:43.560 --> 0:26:43.780

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:26:44.350 --> 0:26:50.330

White, Paul

Bar, you've heard you've heard my thoughts before, but I I don't see that this new language is really a huge change.

0:26:52.340 --> 0:27:2.850

White, Paul

Yeah, I think it gives it gives the task force maybe more opportunity or repeated opportunities to weigh in on the plan for spending the 9,000,000, but it's not really substantially different.

0:27:2.940 --> 0:27:8.690

White, Paul

But I will say for myself that I don't feel there's no feeling about it.

0:27:8.700 --> 0:27:8.990

White, Paul

I'm just.

0:27:9.0 --> 0:27:17.100

White, Paul

I'm not qualified to comment on whether or not the plan as it's currently written is the best possible use of that nine million or not.

0:27:17.110 --> 0:27:22.760

White, Paul

I mean, I think I, with the possible exception of Ron, probably none of us really are.

0:27:24.560 --> 0:27:29.590

White, Paul

Knowledgeable enough down in the weeds of whether or not the spending plan is is the best possible.

0:27:29.600 --> 0:27:30.10

White, Paul

Use.

0:27:30.240 --> 0:27:47.890

White, Paul

My understanding is that the department is in the process of negotiating a contract with someone to do a review of that \$9 million spending plan and that until I see the results of

that, you know, a subject matter expert has looked at this plan and said, yes, this is appropriate.

0:27:47.900 --> 0:27:51.80

White, Paul

I guess I'm not prepared to offer any comments on the spending plan.

0:27:53.940 --> 0:27:54.240

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:27:56.280 --> 0:27:57.90

Neal, Barbara

What do others think?

0:28:1.320 --> 0:28:2.310

James Mack

I'll speak up here.

0:28:3.400 --> 0:28:3.740

Neal, Barbara

Could you?

0:28:2.330 --> 0:28:7.410

James Mack

Guess as far as I'm concerned, I agree with the with Paul on this.

0:28:7.420 --> 0:28:13.380

James Mack

I I don't think it the changes in the legislation really make a whole lot of difference to us at this point.

0:28:14.560 --> 0:28:27.70

James Mack

It does give us a few more chances that review, but that's about it as far as the details, a few of the things I think are being spent in the right areas, I can't speak to some of the other items in the plan.

0:28:30.580 --> 0:28:31.480

Neal, Barbara

OK, great.

0:28:31.490 --> 0:28:32.30

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:28:32.560 --> 0:28:33.940

Neal, Barbara

Ron or Mike, your thoughts?

0:28:34.790 --> 0:28:38.540

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Umm, so I mean my thoughts on this are I I I'm.

0:28:38.590 --> 0:28:40.200

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I'm with both of those guys.

0:28:40.850 --> 0:28:45.340

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I think that the language is a little bit more flexible and and benefits us.

0:28:46.670 --> 0:29:0.700

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

How much that the perceived benefit is I think, depends on how much of a conspiracy theorist you are and and how much you think that there are things going on behind the scenes to remove that in oversight from us.

0:29:0.750 --> 0:29:10.320

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

So not being a lawyer, I could not say you know what the what the true benefit of that language is.

0:29:10.530 --> 0:29:16.140

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I mean it looks a little bit better than it did before, so I would say just go with it.

0:29:19.570 --> 0:29:20.510

Neal, Barbara

Mike, how about you?

0:29:22.350 --> 0:29:22.820

Mike d

Yeah, I'm.

0:29:22.830 --> 0:29:29.40

Mike d

I'm pretty much in the same same vein as Ron, so I think we're this is probably a good move.

0:29:30.390 --> 0:29:33.680

Neal, Barbara

Alright, I'm gonna throw my Xbox into the ring now.

0:29:32.50 --> 0:29:34.10

Morrison, Jennifer

So far, can I jump in on?

0:29:33.690 --> 0:29:35.20

Neal, Barbara

Jen go, Jen, go ahead.

0:29:35.30 --> 0:29:37.280

Neal, Barbara

Yes, you are allowed to voice in this conversation.

0:29:37.300 --> 0:29:37.730

White, Paul

Moving.

0:29:37.290 --> 0:29:38.690

Neal, Barbara

Go right ahead. Sorry.

0:29:37.640 --> 0:29:40.990

Morrison, Jennifer

No, I I have no voice in that part of it.

0:29:41.0 --> 0:29:44.310

Morrison, Jennifer

I I wanna just make sure folks are clear where we are.

0:29:44.680 --> 0:29:53.170

Morrison, Jennifer

We have a we are actively in the middle of securing a contract with someone to do a review of the plan.

0:29:53.920 --> 0:30:5.660

Morrison, Jennifer

It has the the contract has been approved by internal systems, the PAT process, whatever these different procurement things are and I expect to see it literally any day for my signature.

0:30:6.320 --> 0:30:23.350

Morrison, Jennifer

So it is a very short term contract weeks long, not months long with somebody who has particular expertise in the COPS technology funding stream as well as this as a subject matter expert in the field of LMR technology.

0:30:23.410 --> 0:30:41.450

Morrison, Jennifer

And you know, related areas and so the task is going to be for that person to apply the knowledge of the the COPS technology funding stream to review and be fully up to speed on any applicable statutory language at 78.

0:30:41.600 --> 0:30:43.380

Morrison, Jennifer

And now the BA from this year.

0:30:44.20 --> 0:30:54.950

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, as well as the plan and the the proposed timeline and to offer their opinion to us, the people paying them through the grant.

0:30:55.540 --> 0:31:6.590

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh as to whether or not this is the best way to spend the money in the timeline allowed, presuming that we get the 12 month extension, which is where we are right now, is waiting to hear back on a 12 month extension.

0:31:7.430 --> 0:31:14.600

Morrison, Jennifer

So there will be independent eyes and that will be paid for through the grant.

0:31:14.610 --> 0:31:25.400

Morrison, Jennifer

So they are working on behalf of the Department of Public Safety, but they are being hired because they have no dog in the fight and to give us independent feedback on that.

0:31:25.650 --> 0:31:40.280

Morrison, Jennifer

Obviously, whatever they come up with for feedback will be shared out with the task force so that you folks can decide if you want to have a second opinion or if this is an adequate review, et cetera.

0:31:40.330 --> 0:31:50.40

Morrison, Jennifer

But that's where we stand on the CD S grant is it has been resubmitted to the feds with a request for 12 month extension of the timeline.

0:31:50.310 --> 0:31:56.760

Morrison, Jennifer

We have a project manager for the grant already hired an under contract and we are very close within days of having this.

0:31:56.870 --> 0:32:1.550

Morrison, Jennifer

This reviewer hired for a short hit contract of approximately 4 weeks.

0:32:3.990 --> 0:32:8.680

Neal, Barbara

Jen I I thought it was an 18 month extension that that you were looking for.

0:32:8.690 --> 0:32:9.630

Neal, Barbara

Did I mishear that?

0:32:9.560 --> 0:32:10.530

Morrison, Jennifer

Oh yeah, I want it.

0:32:11.310 --> 0:32:12.790

Neal, Barbara

Uh ohh.

0:32:12.800 --> 0:32:13.420

Neal, Barbara

I see. OK.

0:32:10.540 --> 0:32:22.380

Morrison, Jennifer

I want a 24 month or but the the the folks assisting us at the DOJ side said no, they said put in you can put in for a 12 month and there's a.

0:32:24.480 --> 0:32:25.730

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, remote.

0:32:25.740 --> 0:32:38.270

Morrison, Jennifer

Possibility that as you get close to the end of that performance period, assuming you get it that you could request more time, but that an 18 month, which is what we had intended to ask for is not generally approved.

0:32:38.280 --> 0:32:44.720

Morrison, Jennifer

So you'll improve your odds of the back and forth sending it back and forth to us by asking for 12 months up front.

0:32:44.730 --> 0:32:47.270

Morrison, Jennifer

So we chose to follow the guidance they were giving us.

0:32:48.740 --> 0:32:49.520

Neal, Barbara

OK, got it.

0:32:51.740 --> 0:32:52.90

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:32:52.100 --> 0:32:53.30

Neal, Barbara

Well, thank you for that.

0:32:53.40 --> 0:32:56.800

Neal, Barbara

That helps kind of bring all of this together.

0:32:56.810 --> 0:33:3.200

Neal, Barbara

So I do still have a few other thoughts that really haven't been spoken yet.

0:33:3.210 --> 0:33:15.600

Neal, Barbara

So, umm, we did of course the task force just hired mission critical partners to develop potent multiple potential plans for a statewide public safety communication system.

0:33:15.610 --> 0:33:24.200

Neal, Barbara

And of course, we also have the support of Rick and dammit televote as our project management team and trusted advisor.

0:33:25.50 --> 0:33:30.790

Neal, Barbara

I know that I have several questions related to the CDs plan itself.

0:33:32.910 --> 0:33:35.950

Neal, Barbara

And and these are them at a high level, right.

0:33:36.60 --> 0:33:37.600

Neal, Barbara

I would like to understand.

0:33:40.830 --> 0:33:56.600

Neal, Barbara

Let me step back and say I agree with Paul and the others who have suggested that perhaps not all of us have the depth of knowledge to really be able to answer these questions that I have or understand the impacts of the current CDs plan.

0:33:56.610 --> 0:34:11.750

Neal, Barbara

To the extent that we ought to, if we're going to be formulating recommendations, right, so I would like to understand what of the work that is outlined in the congressionally directed spending plan would be considered foundational.

0:34:12.100 --> 0:34:24.250

Neal, Barbara

And by that I mean what of that work could reasonably be expected to be a part of any or all plans that mission critical is working to develop.

0:34:24.260 --> 0:34:30.630

Neal, Barbara

So what would be common really to all of these plans?

0:34:30.820 --> 0:34:33.870

Neal, Barbara

Kelly, I see that Michael Wright just joined the executive session.

0:34:34.400 --> 0:34:35.840

Audet, Cally

Yeah, I'm sending him a note.

0:34:36.310 --> 0:34:47.250

Neal, Barbara

OK, so one of the work is considered foundational and then one of the work could would be considered restrictive.

0:34:47.260 --> 0:35:5.820

Neal, Barbara

And by that I mean if that work was completed and all those dollars were invested in, in the projects or particular projects that are outlined in that plan, would they restrict the mission critical planning work to a particular course of action?

0:35:6.750 --> 0:35:7.350

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:35:8.570 --> 0:35:15.800

Neal, Barbara

And if so, how would that course of action kind of align with industry standards and best practices? Right.

0:35:16.10 --> 0:35:23.190

Neal, Barbara

So maybe maybe that's a direction we would want to go in, but I think we need to umm.

0:35:25.130 --> 0:35:26.130

Neal, Barbara

Be very careful.

0:35:28.400 --> 0:35:34.610

Neal, Barbara

Not to recommend an action that restricts the planning work that mission critical is going to to be doing.

0:35:35.580 --> 0:35:39.30

Neal, Barbara

Umm, so so those are my two things.

0:35:39.40 --> 0:35:40.50

Neal, Barbara

What's foundational?

0:35:40.60 --> 0:35:42.570

Neal, Barbara

What's what could be restrictive?

0:35:42.580 --> 0:35:44.40

Neal, Barbara

I want to understand that better.

0:35:46.250 --> 0:35:53.0

Neal, Barbara

And and then you know the the final question I guess is what recommendations could we be making to the Commissioner about the use of these funds?

0:35:53.860 --> 0:36:0.280

Neal, Barbara

Umm, I would throw out the idea that we have Rick and Dom at televote.

0:36:0.370 --> 0:36:4.10

Neal, Barbara

Who could help us sift through that information and and?

0:36:5.430 --> 0:36:8.510

Neal, Barbara

Understand what's foundational and what's restrictive and why.

0:36:11.20 --> 0:36:50.380

Neal, Barbara

And so I would suggest that in addition to reviewing the independent of well, the independent reviewer that Jen is hiring, Department of Public Safety is hiring to review the plan from the perspective of the Department of Public Safety, I would suggest that we ask Rick and Dom to also review that plan perhaps with those questions in mind from the perspective of the task force and to see where we align where we don't or you know what the results would be, it'd be give us the ability I think to make have a more informed discussion about this.

0:36:52.50 --> 0:36:54.420

Neal, Barbara

So I will toss that idea out there.

0:36:54.430 --> 0:36:55.150

Neal, Barbara

And what are?

0:36:55.160 --> 0:36:58.270

Neal, Barbara

What do others think about that particular idea?

0:37:2.70 --> 0:37:2.970

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I agree with that.

0:37:3.50 --> 0:37:14.450

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I'd also be willing to take a look at that plan if somebody can point me to the most comprehensive and up-to-date copy on to see if there's anything in it that I see as a red flag.

0:37:16.620 --> 0:37:27.510

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

But yeah, I mean I I think we need to umm put in perspective that whatever that work is being done has to dovetail with what we're doing.

0:37:30.440 --> 0:37:30.680

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:37:33.20 --> 0:37:33.610

Neal, Barbara

OK and did.

0:37:37.290 --> 0:37:38.0

James Mack

Again, yes.

0:37:32.990 --> 0:37:38.740

White, Paul

Or at least or, or at least at least not work in a counter direction to what we're doing, yeah.

0:37:41.580 --> 0:37:41.820

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:37:44.0 --> 0:37:52.640

Neal, Barbara

So when I heard you say you agree with the approach of asking televic for assistance that the Paul Jim like Jen thoughts on that.

0:37:53.520 --> 0:37:56.570

White, Paul

I guess my thought is I just, I don't wanna have too many.

0:37:56.620 --> 0:37:58.490

White, Paul

I mean, I don't know.

0:37:58.950 --> 0:38:8.410

White, Paul

Maybe just not such thing as having too many sets of eyes, but I don't want to pay too many different vendors billable hours to look at the same plan and come up with the same conclusion.

0:38:8.720 --> 0:38:18.90

White, Paul

So I guess I'm not sure that I would want to have MCP do it at this point, but since Tel Aviv is our trusted advisor for the task force I get it would make sense to have them look at it, yes.

0:38:20.200 --> 0:38:20.610

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:38:20.720 --> 0:38:21.300

Neal, Barbara

Thanks Paul.

0:38:22.600 --> 0:38:23.590

Neal, Barbara

Jim Mac, what do you think?

0:38:25.430 --> 0:38:26.390

James Mack

I can go with that.

0:38:29.180 --> 0:38:29.480

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:38:28.730 --> 0:38:33.20

James Mack

They there used to be a plan copy of the plan on the website.

0:38:33.370 --> 0:38:34.590

James Mack

There's nothing there now is.

0:38:37.410 --> 0:38:37.910

Morrison, Jennifer

But we'll.

0:38:36.500 --> 0:38:38.610

White, Paul

I think I saw it this morning.

0:38:38.60 --> 0:38:39.540

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, we'll get the update.

0:38:39.550 --> 0:38:41.410

Morrison, Jennifer

Remember, we just resubmitted last week.

0:38:41.420 --> 0:38:46.190

Morrison, Jennifer

So let me get the updated with the request for the extension of the timeline etcetera.

0:38:47.460 --> 0:38:48.130

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:38:48.520 --> 0:38:53.410

Neal, Barbara

Mike Dungeness do you have any any concerns about asking televised?

0:38:53.420 --> 0:38:54.800

Neal, Barbara

Is that a direction you think we should go?

0:38:55.820 --> 0:38:58.200

Mike d

No, I don't think I have concerns about acting television.

0:38:58.210 --> 0:38:59.770

Mike d

I like the way you presented it, Barbara.

0:38:59.780 --> 0:39:1.360

Mike d

I think that I was.

0:39:3.350 --> 0:39:16.220

Mike d

I was having a discussion with a couple of people about, you know, what levels of the words I I've been using is like kind of like overlap or or where we can share those resources when this is done.

0:39:16.230 --> 0:39:27.670

Mike d

And I think it's important to know, I do not think, I mean I would love to be able to see that all of that CDs money goes to stuff that could be used for both, but I don't think that's feasible.

0:39:27.760 --> 0:39:30.320

Mike d

I don't think that they're without having a plan.

0:39:30.330 --> 0:39:50.190

Mike d

Just as I continue to discuss the timing of this is just what it is and I think that the efforts are being put into the use that money into the best of its ability, so that those places where that overlap or those the shared resources can come together as primarily what I'm looking for to be the biggest value.

0:39:50.320 --> 0:39:54.910

Mike d

So having television kind of evaluate where those that overlap is is probably not a bad idea.

0:39:57.230 --> 0:39:58.290

Neal, Barbara

OK, that's good.

0:39:59.390 --> 0:40:1.0

Neal, Barbara

Umm Michael, right?

0:40:1.10 --> 0:40:1.870

Neal, Barbara

I see you've joined us.

0:40:1.930 --> 0:40:6.940

Neal, Barbara

I'm not sure if you came in at the beginning of the conversation or or where you are and what you've heard.

0:40:7.10 --> 0:40:24.20

Neal, Barbara

We're talking about whether we would ask televote to help us evaluate well, help us develop recommendations for Commissioner Morrison about the use of the congressionally directed spending, specifically about the plan that's already out there right now.

0:40:24.230 --> 0:40:26.60

Neal, Barbara

Do you have any thoughts you want to share on that?

0:40:28.40 --> 0:40:31.670

Michael

Umm, no, I'm a little bit pumped behind, so I'll catch up.

0:40:31.730 --> 0:40:32.230

Michael

I'm sorry.

0:40:32.240 --> 0:40:33.400

Michael

It's been crazy week.

0:40:33.410 --> 0:40:35.780

Michael

We've been crazy busy and filling some sick calls.

0:40:36.80 --> 0:40:41.290

Michael

Umm, so I'll go with whatever the group decides at this point and then I'll catch up.

0:40:44.70 --> 0:40:55.100

Neal, Barbara

OK, umm and and I did have a A, A Paul and I actually had a conversation with television last week to see if they even had the bandwidth to take on this project.

0:40:55.150 --> 0:40:58.990

Neal, Barbara

If the task force decided to go that direction, so I'm gonna.

0:40:59.720 --> 0:41:6.870

Neal, Barbara

I seek input now from Dom and or Rick about you know your capacity to do this work.

0:41:6.880 --> 0:41:15.190

Neal, Barbara

I would think it would be good if it aligned with the timeline that Jen is looking at for her independent reviewer.

0:41:16.80 --> 0:41:20.270

Neal, Barbara

So is that like three weeks a month, Jen?

0:41:20.280 --> 0:41:22.690

Neal, Barbara

You think that work would be wrapped up or.

0:41:23.420 --> 0:41:26.940

Morrison, Jennifer

From the time I we have a signed contract and the work begins.

0:41:27.620 --> 0:41:27.880

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:41:28.0 --> 0:41:30.550

Morrison, Jennifer

I would say it's 4 ish weeks.

0:41:34.970 --> 0:41:35.130

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:41:35.350 --> 0:41:35.460

White, Paul

You.

0:41:30.620 --> 0:41:36.230

Morrison, Jennifer

That's I'm guessing I I'm state government never fails to surprise me with where things get bogged down.

0:41:37.20 --> 0:41:45.120

Morrison, Jennifer

So I would say you know, 4-4 weeks outside, six weeks till we have a report to review, even if it's just a draft initial report.

0:41:45.690 --> 0:41:47.620

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, but that would be my guess.

0:41:48.710 --> 0:41:48.990

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:41:49.0 --> 0:41:51.310

Morrison, Jennifer

Sometimes, sometimes I'm overly optimistic. Mark.

0:41:52.60 --> 0:41:52.210

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:41:54.100 --> 0:41:54.270

White, Paul

You.

0:41:54.90 --> 0:41:58.210

Neal, Barbara

I think we all we all fall into that trap sometimes and then we're jolted back to reality.

0:41:56.820 --> 0:41:59.100

White, Paul

You you just haven't you.

0:41:59.150 --> 0:42:1.320

White, Paul

You just haven't worked in state government quite long enough, Jen.

0:42:2.110 --> 0:42:2.450

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:42:3.780 --> 0:42:4.850

Neal, Barbara

So Rick and Dom?

0:42:5.10 --> 0:42:10.440

Neal, Barbara

Ohh, having listened to all of this conversation with a a four to six week.

0:42:10.450 --> 0:42:14.310

Neal, Barbara

Sort of preliminary anyway, timeline.

0:42:14.320 --> 0:42:14.990

Neal, Barbara

Is this doable?

0:42:17.100 --> 0:42:28.20

Dominick Arcuri

Yes, certainly from our perspective, we do have additional resources that would correct expertise that we can apply to this and we can certainly help out within that schedule.

0:42:30.540 --> 0:42:30.900

Rick Burke

That and.

0:42:30.540 --> 0:42:32.270

Neal, Barbara

And and go ahead.

0:42:33.80 --> 0:42:33.510

Rick Burke

No, I'm.

0:42:33.510 --> 0:42:37.610

Rick Burke

I'm just gonna say and and we are very familiar with the contents of what's being pursued.

0:42:40.790 --> 0:42:40.990

Dominick Arcuri

Right.

0:42:37.620 --> 0:42:44.350

Rick Burke

So we have reviewed the you know the the, the initial thinking of the state regarding the spending of that funding.

0:42:44.360 --> 0:42:47.830

Rick Burke

So we we understand exactly so far what they're looking for.

0:42:47.840 --> 0:43:5.160

Rick Burke

I mean, we don't know the details behind it, but but the the high level of what they're asking for makes sense and and and so to us how it aligns with the with our program, we're not quite sure because we don't really have those results, but but we you know, we just see no reason why they can't be and shouldn't be aligned.

0:43:5.970 --> 0:43:16.190

Rick Burke

And you know, I I I think you can certainly support the task force and and reviewing that and providing that you know that recommendations for your consideration.

0:43:18.560 --> 0:43:18.970

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:43:18.900 --> 0:43:19.430

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

A box?

0:43:21.100 --> 0:43:21.370

James Mack

Yeah.

0:43:18.980 --> 0:43:22.40

Neal, Barbara

And do you have, uh, it's one second.

0:43:19.760 --> 0:43:23.590

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

I don't know how perfect but.

0:43:22.50 --> 0:43:23.860

Neal, Barbara

Steven, please one second.

0:43:24.830 --> 0:43:29.300

Neal, Barbara

Televator, do you have all of the information you need from us?

0:43:30.880 --> 0:43:38.520

Neal, Barbara

To undertake the work I'm thinking we we probably ought to write down like a like a scope of what we're looking for.

0:43:38.200 --> 0:43:38.760

Morrison, Jennifer

Don't.

0:43:50.970 --> 0:43:51.90

Dominick Arcuri

But.

0:43:53.910 --> 0:43:54.250

Neal, Barbara

I'm not.

0:43:38.800 --> 0:43:55.750

Morrison, Jennifer

If you're trying to double check the work of the person we're hiring, we'll just give him once the contract is executed, we'll give them everything that we have that lays out the scope of the work that we've asked the reviewer to do, plus the plan that we resubmitted to.

0:43:56.700 --> 0:44:0.360

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, DOJ so that everybody's working from the same set of documents?

0:43:58.810 --> 0:44:2.270

Neal, Barbara

So same same same scope of work.

0:44:23.640 --> 0:44:23.880

Rick Burke

Umm.

0:44:4.640 --> 0:44:23.930

Neal, Barbara

Although I don't know that you've asked your consultant to identify what's foundational and what is restrictive in that sense, and I think that's an important component, and I do want to clarify, I'm not asking, I don't believe we are asking televote to double check the work of the vendor that you are hiring.

0:44:24.200 --> 0:44:38.220

Neal, Barbara

We're asking them to take their set of eyes and look at this plan from the perspective of the task force and in the context of all of those issues that I raised a few minutes ago about foundational and restrictive.

0:44:38.270 --> 0:44:42.10

Neal, Barbara

So I see that as a little bit of a distinction. Umm.

0:44:44.680 --> 0:44:48.260

Neal, Barbara

So I'll put it back to to Brick and Dom, what do you need from us?

0:44:51.500 --> 0:44:52.880

Neal, Barbara

To to start the work.

0:44:52.220 --> 0:44:56.400

Rick Burke

I'll, I'll contribute and I've done my chime in.

0:44:56.590 --> 0:45:16.960

Rick Burke

I think exactly what you were saying or what are your requirements so that we're sure that whatever we contribute is is specific to the requirements that you identify for us that you

want us to follow so that we don't come at it from a different direction and and we come from it from the direction that best suits you.

0:45:16.970 --> 0:45:25.870

Rick Burke

So I think that would be the best way to do that would be for you to provide an email or or however the stating.

0:45:25.880 --> 0:45:36.570

Rick Burke

These are the requirements and so whatever we do will be based on on on your needs and and if you know along the way if it evolves, we'll, you know, we'll share that together.

0:45:37.510 --> 0:45:37.730

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:45:36.580 --> 0:45:42.820

Rick Burke

But that's that would be the best way for us to know so that we don't provide you with something that isn't what you need.

0:45:43.720 --> 0:45:49.330

Neal, Barbara

OK, So what I'll do is is I'll kind of put together a summary of my thoughts on this, where we are.

0:45:49.340 --> 0:46:5.530

Neal, Barbara

So incorporating the scope of work that will be in the DPS contract and these other questions perhaps, and then I'll circulate to Paul and Jen and will come up with an agreed upon approach.

0:46:6.120 --> 0:46:7.0

Neal, Barbara

Does that make sense?

0:46:7.200 --> 0:46:13.750

James Mack

I I don't think we need to include the scope of work that is gonna be done by DPS is expert.

0:46:13.980 --> 0:46:22.540

James Mack

I think we just need to know is it gonna fit in with our operation here which you is what you ask, what is foundational and what isn't?

0:46:21.520 --> 0:46:24.860

Neal, Barbara

Umm to focus on on that.

0:46:24.70 --> 0:46:26.440

James Mack

That's all I think, Don and yeah.

0:46:25.730 --> 0:46:26.490

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, OK.

0:46:26.250 --> 0:46:26.530

Dominick Arcuri

All right.

0:46:27.720 --> 0:46:30.990

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Hey, Barb, can can you take some public comment on this topic?

0:46:31.0 --> 0:46:33.360

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Cause I've devoted a lot of time on this.

0:46:31.550 --> 0:46:37.780

Neal, Barbara

I I I would really like to finish the conversation with the task force first.

0:46:37.840 --> 0:46:41.810

Neal, Barbara

So Steven, Steven.

0:46:37.550 --> 0:46:42.140

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

I know, but I'm probably just persuade the task force that you should have mission critical.

0:46:41.880 --> 0:46:42.220

Neal, Barbara

Steven.

0:46:42.150 --> 0:46:42.790

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Do this work.

0:46:43.380 --> 0:46:45.160

Neal, Barbara

Steven, please stop.

0:46:46.360 --> 0:46:58.470

Neal, Barbara

Are we anything else from task force members on, uh, sort of this plan, this plan that to circulate the scope of work, if you will, for televote?

0:47:0.460 --> 0:47:5.520

Neal, Barbara

Jim has added that it should focus on this foundational restrictive sort of analysis.

0:47:12.720 --> 0:47:13.70

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:47:13.340 --> 0:47:15.330

Rick Burke

May I ask you a question for for the team?

0:47:13.440 --> 0:47:16.600

Neal, Barbara

So yet yes, Rick?

0:47:16.370 --> 0:47:30.850

Rick Burke

So, Barb, you said a minute ago that you'd circulate your draft requirements to Paul and to Jen and and I just wanna be sure that that would you be in compliance, the task force being compliance of course Janice.

0:47:30.920 --> 0:47:32.630

Rick Burke

You know, go chair of the task force.

0:47:41.540 --> 0:47:42.0

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:47:32.680 --> 0:47:42.750

Rick Burke

But by including Jen and that decision, because I, you know, I don't know if we have to have a separation of responsibilities and I'm not trying to create a, you know, an issue I'm trying to avoid an issue.

0:47:42.760 --> 0:47:45.570

Rick Burke

And I I just wanted to, you know, just ask that question.

0:47:44.360 --> 0:47:46.870

Morrison, Jennifer

You're not going to get any argument from me, right?

0:47:46.880 --> 0:47:47.660

Morrison, Jennifer

No argument.

0:47:48.520 --> 0:47:49.210

Morrison, Jennifer

Keep it over there.

0:47:49.970 --> 0:47:50.430

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:47:49.90 --> 0:47:50.730

Neal, Barbara

OK, so should we?

0:47:50.440 --> 0:47:51.680

Rick Burke

Well, I'm not saying.

0:47:51.810 --> 0:47:52.860

Rick Burke

Yeah, that's my.

0:47:52.800 --> 0:47:53.440

Neal, Barbara

Should we leave?

0:47:52.870 --> 0:47:54.280

Rick Burke

I just wanna be sure that we.

0:47:54.350 --> 0:47:54.910

Rick Burke

Yeah, go ahead.

0:47:54.920 --> 0:47:55.210

Rick Burke

I'm sorry.

0:47:55.820 --> 0:47:56.360

Neal, Barbara

No, that's OK.

0:47:56.370 --> 0:48:7.300

Neal, Barbara

I was thinking we that's so Paul and I could work on developing this and do we want to include one more task force member or task force comfortable with Paul and I developing it.

0:48:10.580 --> 0:48:12.940

Neal, Barbara

I'm seeing a nod at two couple nods.

0:48:13.130 --> 0:48:13.320

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:48:14.810 --> 0:48:15.150

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:48:17.480 --> 0:48:18.180

Neal, Barbara

I will.

0:48:22.720 --> 0:48:22.910

James Mack

Sure.

0:48:17.950 --> 0:48:28.410

Rick Burke

Well, I I hope I I hope my request didn't create an issue but I just want to be sure that that you know we can potentially avoid a someone suggesting that we created a conflict in doing that.

0:48:30.570 --> 0:48:30.870

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:48:32.630 --> 0:48:33.80

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:48:33.90 --> 0:48:35.90

Neal, Barbara

Then those are my marching orders.

0:48:39.820 --> 0:48:40.40

White, Paul

OK.

0:48:35.100 --> 0:48:41.510

Neal, Barbara

Then I'll put something together, Paul, and get it over to you and we can hammer this out and and get it figured out.

0:48:43.350 --> 0:48:44.670

Neal, Barbara

OK so.

0:48:46.740 --> 0:48:50.570

Neal, Barbara

Steven, what would you like to add on this topic?

0:48:49.310 --> 0:49:7.380

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Yeah, I I would like to add that television was hired as a project manager, they they the topics that you're asking the congressionally directed spending is to support the transition to reach statewide, regional, public safety communications.

0:49:7.390 --> 0:49:27.710

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

So that's really a an analysis that has to be done by mission critical because they're the ones that are developing the statewide system plan and strategy, not by a a a project manager who has every incentive to deliver you what you they hope you wanna hear.

0:49:28.360 --> 0:49:30.890

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

This is clearly a technical.

0:49:32.320 --> 0:49:53.810

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Analysis of how certain aspects of the CDs program plan, which I haven't seen the latest version of, will support or conflict with the statewide transition to regional public safety communications and it's not televised. Scope of work.

0:49:53.820 --> 0:50:3.810

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

Televise scope of work is project management and advisor and and I would argue strongly, vehemently, that this is mission critical analysis not televised.

0:50:5.910 --> 0:50:6.170

cbe838e9-8e26-42be-99a8-17b92ae8a00a

It's just.

0:50:5.200 --> 0:50:6.950

Neal, Barbara

Thank you for your comments, Steven.

0:50:7.80 --> 0:50:9.430

Neal, Barbara

I'm gonna throw it back to the task force.

0:50:9.520 --> 0:50:11.80

Neal, Barbara

Is there any other discussion?

0:50:11.980 --> 0:50:16.940

Neal, Barbara

Umm on this topic, are we good to proceed as we just discussed?

0:50:22.640 --> 0:50:22.900

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:50:22.450 --> 0:50:24.190

James Mack

I'm good with what we've just discussed.

0:50:26.260 --> 0:50:26.610

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:50:26.620 --> 0:50:27.110

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Jim.

0:50:29.450 --> 0:50:38.330

Neal, Barbara

Going once, going twice looks like we'll move on then as discussed and we will move on to new business.

0:50:39.700 --> 0:50:42.720

Neal, Barbara

Is there any new business from Task Force members for today?

0:50:46.920 --> 0:50:47.370

Neal, Barbara

A Paul.

0:50:47.380 --> 0:50:47.640

Neal, Barbara

Go ahead.

0:50:48.460 --> 0:50:52.280

White, Paul

It's maybe not really new business, but I don't really know where else to ask it.

0:50:53.580 --> 0:50:56.810

White, Paul

Two things I just wanted to follow up on something Steven said earlier.

0:50:58.190 --> 0:51:12.330

White, Paul

Umm, about the legal counsel that we're trying to engage and I guess I just wanted to, he mentioned something about public information requests and that was not my intent.

0:51:12.340 --> 0:51:19.550

White, Paul

And once we get that legal counsel on staff was not my understanding that we were going to use them to screen public information requests. That's.

0:51:21.470 --> 0:51:28.470

White, Paul

Something that I don't know, something we just we hadn't thought of maybe or hadn't discussed, but I don't know for sure where we're at with that.

0:51:30.50 --> 0:51:40.760

White, Paul

Getting that legal counsel, but it wasn't not my understanding that they would be the recipient or the Keeper of records for each public records requests for the task force.

0:51:41.30 --> 0:51:44.160

White, Paul

And then my second thing is just a question.

0:51:44.170 --> 0:51:50.190

White, Paul

Who I still don't seem to have access to our SharePoint site, so I'm who can I contact about that?

0:51:50.780 --> 0:51:53.340

Morrison, Jennifer

Female me not give it to my to the ADS team.

0:51:52.220 --> 0:51:54.240

White, Paul

OK. OK.

0:51:54.250 --> 0:51:54.580

White, Paul

Thank you.

0:51:54.490 --> 0:51:54.730

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:51:56.610 --> 0:51:57.50

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:52:0.770 --> 0:52:0.950

White, Paul

OK.

0:51:56.930 --> 0:52:1.140

Morrison, Jennifer

Our Paul, we can speak more about your first item in executive session.

0:52:4.960 --> 0:52:5.670

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:52:5.760 --> 0:52:6.180

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:52:6.660 --> 0:52:9.460

Neal, Barbara

Anything else from task force members under new business?

0:52:12.580 --> 0:52:19.870

Neal, Barbara

OK, we do have, as Jen just mentioned some items to discuss in executive session.

0:52:20.490 --> 0:52:24.160

Neal, Barbara

So I will take us through the usual two step process here.

0:52:24.980 --> 0:52:25.680

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:52:25.740 --> 0:52:42.140

Neal, Barbara

I'll make a motion that the task force find that sharing information about bitter responses and contract negotiations publicly would put the task force at a substantial disadvantage in making a decision about awarding a contract or contracts.

0:52:44.220 --> 0:52:45.70

White, Paul

I'll second that.

0:52:42.770 --> 0:52:46.290

Neal, Barbara

Is there a second to that he could call?

0:52:46.300 --> 0:52:47.260

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion?

0:52:50.80 --> 0:52:50.450

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:52:50.650 --> 0:52:52.950

Neal, Barbara

All in favor of making this finding?

0:52:53.100 --> 0:52:55.0

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:52:56.20 --> 0:52:56.300

Michael

Right.

0:52:56.410 --> 0:52:58.380

Neal, Barbara

I see all hands are up.

0:52:59.340 --> 0:53:1.110

Neal, Barbara

Umm, I don't see Mike.

0:53:1.120 --> 0:53:1.830

Neal, Barbara

Did he leave us?

0:53:1.840 --> 0:53:2.490

Neal, Barbara

Mike dungeons.

0:53:4.60 --> 0:53:4.780

James Mack

He's got his hand up.

0:53:4.420 --> 0:53:4.950

Mike d

There it is.

0:53:4.430 --> 0:53:5.570

White, Paul

Not various various.

0:53:5.580 --> 0:53:5.860

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:53:4.960 --> 0:53:6.160

Mike d

Sorry, I just slow on the button.

0:53:7.0 --> 0:53:9.910

Neal, Barbara

All right, Kelly, looks like that motion has passed.

0:53:9.920 --> 0:53:10.240

Neal, Barbara

Correct.

0:53:10.250 --> 0:53:21.340

Neal, Barbara

OK, next step, then I'll make a motion that we move into executive session for the purpose of discussing one or more contracts and that Tel Aviv be included in that discussion.

0:53:23.70 --> 0:53:23.380

White, Paul

2nd.

0:53:24.820 --> 0:53:25.390

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Paul.

0:53:26.750 --> 0:53:29.780

Neal, Barbara

Uh, all in favor of moving into executive session?

0:53:30.790 --> 0:53:32.800

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:53:34.540 --> 0:53:35.390

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:53:35.440 --> 0:53:36.790

Neal, Barbara

I see all hands are up.

0:53:37.620 --> 0:53:38.510

Neal, Barbara

I will see.

0:53:38.520 --> 0:53:43.530

Neal, Barbara

We will see task force members in the executive session link and Cali.

0:53:43.540 --> 0:53:47.40

Neal, Barbara

You can let everybody know when we're coming back into public session.

0:53:48.90 --> 0:53:48.600

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:53:48.690 --> 0:53:49.540

Neal, Barbara

See you on the other link.

Part II (After Executive Session)

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:0.710

Neal, Barbara
Steam.

0:0:3.520 --> 0:0:3.720

Neal, Barbara
Cool.

0:0:5.470 --> 0:0:6.270

Neal, Barbara
We have everybody.

0:0:7.120 --> 0:0:10.680

Neal, Barbara
Umm Kelly, you've started the recording.

0:0:10.690 --> 0:0:11.450

Neal, Barbara
Here's Rick.

0:0:11.510 --> 0:0:12.0

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:0:12.170 --> 0:0:14.60

Neal, Barbara
I think we're ready to go then.

0:0:14.70 --> 0:0:19.230

Neal, Barbara
So there are, there is no action to be taken after executive session.

0:0:22.860 --> 0:0:24.630

Neal, Barbara
So that moves us on to.

0:0:24.640 --> 0:0:26.590

Neal, Barbara
Sorry, I didn't realize Ron wasn't here.

0:0:26.640 --> 0:0:38.760

Neal, Barbara

So no action after executive session which moves us on to setting the next meeting date that has already been set for April 10th at 11:15.

0:0:40.430 --> 0:0:40.910

Neal, Barbara

So unless.

0:0:40.320 --> 0:0:41.620

James Mack

If we survive the eclipse.

0:0:43.800 --> 0:0:44.200

Dominick Arcuri

That's good.

0:0:43.980 --> 0:0:44.240

Rick Burke

The.

0:0:42.990 --> 0:0:45.630

Neal, Barbara

Oh, Jim, you've just introduced a whole nother layer.

0:0:49.380 --> 0:0:50.110

Neal, Barbara

We will.

0:0:50.560 --> 0:0:56.890

Neal, Barbara

And so I think that unless there's anything else from task force members, we're ready to adjourn if there is a motion to do so.

0:0:59.300 --> 0:0:59.910

Doenges, Mike

Motion to adjourn.

0:1:0.720 --> 0:1:1.210

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Mike.

0:1:0.740 --> 0:1:1.230

James Mack

Seconded.

0:1:0.770 --> 0:1:1.380

Michael

So moved.

0:1:0.980 --> 0:1:1.650

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I'll second that.

0:1:2.710 --> 0:1:3.360

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:1:6.370 --> 0:1:7.820

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I I.

0:1:7.590 --> 0:1:7.830

James Mack

Hi.

0:1:3.410 --> 0:1:8.0

Neal, Barbara

All in favor, raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:1:8.540 --> 0:1:9.200

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Or do both.

0:1:8.610 --> 0:1:9.400

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:1:9.770 --> 0:1:13.180

Neal, Barbara

Thank you all for your extended efforts.

0:1:13.190 --> 0:1:16.400

Neal, Barbara

Today we want a little over and we will talk to you all soon.

0:1:17.390 --> 0:1:17.870

Rick Burke

Thank you.

0:1:17.880 --> 0:1:18.620

Rick Burke

Have a great day everyone.

0:1:19.60 --> 0:1:19.470

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:1:19.400 --> 0:1:19.600

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah.

0:1:19.480 --> 0:1:20.170

Neal, Barbara

Thanks a lot.

0:1:18.530 --> 0:1:20.230

White, Paul

Hello, right.

0:1:20.220 --> 0:1:20.650

Neal, Barbara

Bye bye.

0:1:20.790 --> 0:1:21.30

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Bye.

0:1:21.50 --> 0:1:21.320

Rick Burke

And.

0:1:21.410 --> 0:1:21.640

James Mack

Right.