

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:0.790

Burnham, Lance
We have a lot of.

0:0:1.860 --> 0:0:6.250

Burnham, Lance
Uh, phone numbers. Can I get a?

0:0:7.940 --> 0:0:11.660

Burnham, Lance
I can't see it. Everybody's number 498, whoever is 498.

0:0:14.630 --> 0:0:15.320

99b87cab-563e-4b23-b681-2b8c25ed667f
Karen Carr.

0:0:16.410 --> 0:0:17.800

Burnham, Lance
Uh, hi, Karen. How are you?

0:0:18.560 --> 0:0:19.380

99b87cab-563e-4b23-b681-2b8c25ed667f
I'm good. How are you?

0:0:19.620 --> 0:0:21.330

Burnham, Lance
I should know that by now doing your thing.

0:0:22.710 --> 0:0:23.250

99b87cab-563e-4b23-b681-2b8c25ed667f
You said.

0:0:24.800 --> 0:0:25.450

Burnham, Lance
Sorry.

0:0:27.170 --> 0:0:30.140

Burnham, Lance
Let's see 342.

0:0:35.790 --> 0:0:36.440

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0
I've slacker.

0:0:37.460 --> 0:0:38.160

Burnham, Lance
Good morning, Bob.

0:0:39.200 --> 0:0:39.630
b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0
Hi.

0:0:41.460 --> 0:0:42.950
Burnham, Lance
And 537.

0:0:44.550 --> 0:0:46.950
87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e
Jack helm HLM.

0:0:47.600 --> 0:0:48.300
Burnham, Lance
Good morning, Jack.

0:0:49.130 --> 0:0:49.750
87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e
Good morning.

0:0:54.340 --> 0:0:55.950
Burnham, Lance
Chief Cambridge. I see you on.

0:1:0.360 --> 0:1:1.200
Cambridge, Anthony
Yes. Yeah, I'm here.

0:1:3.540 --> 0:1:6.330
Burnham, Lance
And Drew, does that give us a quorum?

0:1:7.540 --> 0:1:8.340
Burnham, Lance
I don't believe so.

0:1:16.970 --> 0:1:18.910
Burnham, Lance
No, it does not give us quorum.

0:1:20.160 --> 0:1:29.980
Burnham, Lance
All right. We have started the recording and the transcripts just so everyone's everyone is aware because we do not have a quorum. Unfortunately, we can't.

0:1:30.500 --> 0:1:35.10
Burnham, Lance
Uh. Approve the Minutes or go by the?

0:1:37.840 --> 0:1:39.390

Burnham, Lance
Our agenda today.

0:1:41.60 --> 0:1:48.90

Burnham, Lance
And so I think it's important that to kind of keep some momentum because we have done some work over the week.

0:1:49.840 --> 0:2:4.530

Burnham, Lance
And I wanna keep the momentum going. We do have members of the public on here. I would like to potentially have an informational meeting more than anything just to discuss where what we have done, where we are and where we move forward.

0:2:6.510 --> 0:2:7.300

Burnham, Lance
And then.

0:2:7.540 --> 0:2:12.290

Burnham, Lance
Uh, next week, when we have a quorum, we can.

0:2:13.420 --> 0:2:19.120

Burnham, Lance
Take the next steps that we think that we're going to do. So we'll leave this as an informational meeting.

0:2:20.320 --> 0:2:26.910

Burnham, Lance
And I'll set the stage and then I'll have Mandy bring up a some data that we have been working on.

0:2:29.140 --> 0:2:37.750

Burnham, Lance
Last week, the Commissioner, myself, Mandy and a number of other representatives met with the Joint Fiscal Committee to discuss.

0:2:37.830 --> 0:2:59.210

Burnham, Lance
Uh, the current status of the grant process, where we move forward and things such as that, we at the same time after that meeting we had discussed the the the write up for where we anticipate the write up to be that we have to present to legislature on December 1.

0:3:1.380 --> 0:3:10.210

Burnham, Lance
And I know we've been meeting for five weeks and it seems that we've had some really great discussions, but we also have to put our feet to the road and actually start moving forward.

0:3:10.870 --> 0:3:20.780

Burnham, Lance

So Mandy and I met early this week because we do believe some of the data that the legislature is asking for, we have identified and we have at our disposal.

0:3:21.730 --> 0:3:25.80

Burnham, Lance

And it's at least something to start with and.

0:3:26.150 --> 0:3:36.180

Burnham, Lance

Essentially what we did is we pulled up the legislative mandate that was presented to us and what we have to do and what we have to present. And we looked at what we had and we just kind of put pen to paper.

0:3:37.240 --> 0:3:52.380

Burnham, Lance

And we started that process and it's something that we felt that we want to be transparent with everybody. We did meet with Paul White discussed what we had. He agreed with everything that we had had no further issues. However, the three of us, when we did meet.

0:3:53.360 --> 0:3:55.410

Burnham, Lance

All said clearly, there's more work to be done.

0:3:56.940 --> 0:4:7.510

Burnham, Lance

So that's I think what we'll do today unless there's any grievance about that, we'll show you what our product is and where we stand and really where we have to go.

0:4:8.910 --> 0:4:14.740

Burnham, Lance

So Mandy, I'll turn it over to you if you want. If you wanna pull up the report what we've done.

0:4:15.770 --> 0:4:16.890

Wooster, Mandy

Are you able to see it?

0:4:17.820 --> 0:4:18.270

Burnham, Lance

Yes.

0:4:19.340 --> 0:4:20.600

Wooster, Mandy

Alright. Is it big enough?

0:4:19.760 --> 0:4:22.510

Burnham, Lance

Is there a way to make it a? Is there a way to make it a little bit bigger?

0:4:23.740 --> 0:4:25.70

Burnham, Lance

There you go. Thank you.

0:4:30.90 --> 0:4:30.410

Wooster, Mandy

Great.

0:4:28.0 --> 0:4:30.870

Burnham, Lance

Better, much better. My eyes are getting old.

0:4:33.430 --> 0:4:36.520

Wooster, Mandy

All of them, all of our eyes are getting over, OK, so.

0:4:37.690 --> 0:4:45.820

Wooster, Mandy

So as Lance mentioned, we just came together and and essentially took that information from the mandate and created an outline with that information.

0:4:46.850 --> 0:4:49.570

Wooster, Mandy

I'm not able to add people to the lobby from the screen.

0:4:49.90 --> 0:4:50.60

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I got him.

0:4:51.890 --> 0:4:52.720

Wooster, Mandy

And so.

0:4:53.860 --> 0:5:13.770

Wooster, Mandy

That that's what this is. And so this is just kind of this is the legislation, the first part that you see highlighted simply saying that we need to do the report and then we broke, we took out P pieces of the legislation that we don't need to focus on such as the membership of the group, all those things that have already been done. So it left us with kind of this bare bones.

0:5:15.310 --> 0:5:18.20

Wooster, Mandy

Outline of the legislation itself.

0:5:19.60 --> 0:5:20.210

Wooster, Mandy

And so under.

0:5:19.200 --> 0:5:26.430

Burnham, Lance

Give Mandy Mandy's. Excuse me one second. The person that I just admitted. Can you identify yourselves, please, just so we can add it to the list.

0:5:30.30 --> 0:5:31.770

Anderson, Mark

But that's I think that's me, Mark Anderson.

0:5:32.80 --> 0:5:32.940

Burnham, Lance

Hey, good morning, Mark.

0:5:35.540 --> 0:5:35.900

Anderson, Mark

No more.

0:5:35.90 --> 0:5:36.300

Burnham, Lance

OK. Thank you.

0:5:38.820 --> 0:6:10.790

Wooster, Mandy

So what we had done is we took the the legislation, we took out the pieces that we didn't have to focus on and it left us with a just kind of an outline of the information that is being required of this group to come up with. And from there, we just started brainstorming. So this is not completely inclusive and it probably doesn't cover everything that was discussed, but we were trying to add some pieces to this to help the direction going forward. So if you look under section one that's listed here and again the numbers are just reflective of the legislation. So they may not go in order.

0:6:11.680 --> 0:6:24.80

Wooster, Mandy

Uh, but number one, so is about long term funding models for regional dispatch. The fairly assessed cost statewide and do not unduly affect property taxes. We had talked about.

0:6:25.420 --> 0:6:42.350

Wooster, Mandy

How we were going to present this and you know, talking about presenting options, knowing there that some of the options are gonna be really challenging to meet that criteria as it's laid out. So we started to write down a couple of these. So we know we had talked about the Universal Service Fund.

0:6:43.190 --> 0:6:51.580

Wooster, Mandy

And there are current grants that I will I will write up about cops. Gray, just different grants in general.

0:6:52.0 --> 0:6:56.830

Wooster, Mandy

Umm, not not necessarily. Cop grants. That's just another thing to explore.

0:6:57.880 --> 0:7:4.480

Wooster, Mandy

But Homeland Security grants that have been in existence, as well as some other funding that might be available.

0:7:4.860 --> 0:7:10.470

Wooster, Mandy

Ah, last did you wanna talk to you? Had mentioned the water districts. One. Did you wanna talk about that a little bit?

0:7:11.160 --> 0:7:20.790

Burnham, Lance

For sure, the reason that we brought up and again please if anybody has anything to add to this, please speak up or raise your hand and this is meant to be.

0:7:22.100 --> 0:7:24.10

Burnham, Lance

A back and forth conversation here.

0:7:24.760 --> 0:7:37.760

Burnham, Lance

The reason that the water districts came up was because while we were testifying to legislature, then Commissioner Sherling brought up a number of options to include. One of them was a water district.

0:7:38.570 --> 0:7:51.70

Burnham, Lance

And at the point at that time, I didn't really know what a water district was. I didn't know if there was tax revenue that came from there. Is that tax revenue available to other state agencies? What actually is it?

0:7:52.960 --> 0:8:2.610

Burnham, Lance

When we spoke to Paul White, he kind of set us on the right path and really we're only aware of 1. Actually I I do know of another which is actually in Lamoille County.

0:8:4.560 --> 0:8:6.800

Burnham, Lance

We're really it's just a matter of.

0:8:7.490 --> 0:8:29.650

Burnham, Lance

Towns coming together and deciding how they're gonna distribute water and and they pay for it individually. I don't believe in my opinion, is it? It's not really a a revenue source that we have the ability to do. But I I feel like it more as I feel like it being more of a funding model that we may be able to be able to look at so.

0:8:30.830 --> 0:8:40.280

Burnham, Lance

We we will explore that we do know there's one in Chittenden County, there is one in Lamoille that I will look at and maybe somebody else in the group we can.

0:8:41.60 --> 0:8:47.0

Burnham, Lance

If they're aware of a water district somewhere else in the state, then we can uh, we can go from there.

0:8:48.460 --> 0:8:50.620

Burnham, Lance

When I see your hands, just give me one second.

0:8:53.690 --> 0:9:13.970

Gwynn Zakov

Go ahead. One. Hi. So I can give a little bit of a background on the water district stuff there. Just essentially another municipality that are recognized by the state. There are similar to fire districts, mosquito control districts, towns, cities, those sorts of things are created by Charter. So I think you're on the right track thing that they're not a great revenue source, they're.

0:9:14.50 --> 0:9:22.140

Gwynn Zakov

And generally, like funded by their fees on into as they don't really have the ability to levy taxes or anything.

0:9:45.980 --> 0:9:46.260

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

0:9:22.220 --> 0:9:50.840

Gwynn Zakov

And and they're also similar to similar, but different to municipal union districts, where, again, there are towns were coming together and he's coming together to create their own sort of entity. I think that would be kind of like a better track. It's sort of like what the Chittenden County dispatch folks are doing up there, but just wanted to give you some background that that's not really, they're just municipalities recognized by statute. They don't really have any special abilities. So let me taxes.

0:9:51.440 --> 0:10:3.360

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, great. Thank you. That's pretty much what we were was our understanding and we didn't. I think we've kind of taken taken it off the table as far as the tax revenue, but definitely more of a funding model that we can explore down the road.

0:10:6.370 --> 0:10:7.220

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:10:8.350 --> 0:10:19.680

Wooster, Mandy

So I think it would be important for us to see if anyone, any member of the group, has any other thoughts on things that we should add under these funding options.

0:10:24.690 --> 0:10:28.380

Wooster, Mandy

And I'm unable to see the group unless I stop sharing my screen to be able to.

0:10:28.430 --> 0:10:29.540

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I see.

0:10:31.600 --> 0:10:32.470

advocate (Guest)

Steve Whittaker.

0:10:32.110 --> 0:10:35.460

Burnham, Lance

App Steve. Has that yours? Yeah, go ahead, Steve.

0:10:35.740 --> 0:10:42.600

advocate (Guest)

I just I had shared the uh the public safety authorities Charter with Rutland County.

0:10:43.920 --> 0:10:48.870

advocate (Guest)

Bob, Slacker the I think it was the late Juliana.

0:10:50.230 --> 0:10:56.0

advocate (Guest)

Called Giuliani, then crafted that some years ago, and it's a pretty well thought out.

0:10:56.150 --> 0:10:58.920

advocate (Guest)

A public safety authority that can.

0:10:59.890 --> 0:11:1.260

advocate (Guest)

Provide services.

0:11:3.40 --> 0:11:3.730

advocate (Guest)

And.

0:11:4.820 --> 0:11:15.60

advocate (Guest)

It has taxing authority. It has bonding authority, so that model needs to be investigated. That charter is easily modified for any other area of the state.

0:11:15.840 --> 0:11:16.290

advocate (Guest)

Umm.

0:11:17.480 --> 0:11:20.40

advocate (Guest)

Under current law, it does require a.

0:11:20.810 --> 0:11:29.690

advocate (Guest)

Popular vote of the the select boards can't vote to create one. You gotta do it through. Gotta join through a popular vote so.

0:11:30.600 --> 0:11:36.30

advocate (Guest)

But it it's worth investigating. I think I would advise and I I did.

0:11:37.840 --> 0:11:58.280

advocate (Guest)

Put uh recommend some changes in the copy I sent to Bob Schlachter that you don't reach for taking over municipal services of fire and police region wide at the beginning, which is how it was drafted, but narrow it to communications and training at this point, which is really what we're doing here.

0:12:1.130 --> 0:12:1.730

Burnham, Lance

Thank you, Steve.

0:12:5.840 --> 0:12:6.250

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:12:7.700 --> 0:12:15.230

Burnham, Lance

Umm, back to Mandy's point. Does anybody else have any other potential funding models? I know that.

0:12:17.630 --> 0:12:20.820

Burnham, Lance

Mark provided a good example from New Hampshire.

0:12:22.40 --> 0:12:26.640

Burnham, Lance

Other than a, obviously that's what we're gonna explore later on, but.

0:12:28.190 --> 0:12:28.670

Burnham, Lance

Went.

0:12:30.780 --> 0:12:42.850

Gwynn Zakov

Is this the right place to put in things like just general fund appropriations like is this where you would be adding all of the funding recommendations? Because I think like the if we're just.

0:12:43.780 --> 0:12:58.120

Gwynn Zakov

Looking at the Universal Service Fund and then whatever grants that you might be able to sort of carve into and water districts not really being one of them and then the Charter still needs legislative approval. Not only does it need voter approval and needs legislative approval.

0:12:58.360 --> 0:13:0.850

Gwynn Zakov

And then.

0:13:1.490 --> 0:13:13.80

Gwynn Zakov

That's a really small, shallow pond. You're dipping your fishing pole into. So I think like, you know, if you're just looking at the general budget of the state, I mean, you kind of have to look at.

0:13:14.310 --> 0:13:29.90

Gwynn Zakov

Current appropriations, and I think the general fund you know, coming from a variety of taxing sources, might I mean it's very broad, but it's at least something, you know, like just putting it right back out them that you have hey legislature. Hey State you have a pot of money to fish from. It's called the general fund.

0:13:29.560 --> 0:13:38.550

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, for sure. And it's definitely something that was on there. We that's obviously one of those last things we wanna do, but we also know it's a reality and that what we're gonna do.

0:13:40.10 --> 0:13:40.470

Burnham, Lance

Mark.

0:13:45.80 --> 0:13:46.350

Anderson, Mark

If I can find my new button.

0:13:48.740 --> 0:14:0.630

Anderson, Mark

I'm totally in agreement with Gwen, and I mean just coming to the the reality that the legislature is gonna be kicking this football around, which means all the towns issues are gonna be raised right now or.

0:14:1.600 --> 0:14:13.370

Anderson, Mark

A portion of the general Fund is paying for dispatching. I mean that is being recognized at least in part, as a state responsibility right now. And I don't know which way the legislature will go with that.

0:14:14.120 --> 0:14:14.480

Anderson, Mark
But.

0:14:15.170 --> 0:14:15.700

Anderson, Mark
Ohio.

0:14:17.410 --> 0:14:24.80

Anderson, Mark

I I think that that's going to be a strong consideration towards some, some goodwill from the state towards municipalities.

0:14:25.360 --> 0:14:25.930

Burnham, Lance
Yep.

0:14:27.780 --> 0:14:28.270

Burnham, Lance
Thanks.

0:14:27.510 --> 0:14:35.390

Wooster, Mandy

And I view as identifying these as like all any and all possibilities without limitations. This is kind of a little bit of a brainstorming thing and it.

0:14:35.470 --> 0:14:35.960

Wooster, Mandy
You know.

0:14:37.100 --> 0:14:42.190

Wooster, Mandy

Does it mean it's a way that we go or to work its way into the recommendations, but just really trying to open up?

0:14:43.750 --> 0:15:18.620

Wooster, Mandy

It for people to provide any thoughts that we could add to this list to start to explore the thought behind it. Being that you know, once we are able to narrow this list, we're gonna ask individuals from the group to take a piece, right. So let's use the Universal Service Fund piece when we get to the point of writing this report, which we're hoping that this outline will help us do is we're, you know, we might ask for, say, barred Neil, who knew an awful lot about the Universal Service fund and maybe ask for a few lines to write up on the universal service funds as we.

0:15:18.690 --> 0:15:27.860

Wooster, Mandy

There's that options, so this is really just kind of opening it up for any suggestions that hopefully the group will narrow things down and then we'll use this to write the report.

0:15:32.20 --> 0:15:32.360

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:15:33.780 --> 0:15:34.320

Burnham, Lance

Any.

0:15:33.630 --> 0:15:52.370

advocate (Guest)

The last time I mentioned a few in public comments prior, but they didn't make it into your draft. The public service. This is communications related because that's what dispatch is and I will want the public service department proposed to the legislature a connection fee.

0:15:53.130 --> 0:16:9.930

advocate (Guest)

A per telephone line which would generate a lot of money. A lot of this work has been done in the work group created by the legislature regarding to funding for public access community media centers. But there's also the right of way fee under Title 19.

0:16:10.180 --> 0:16:12.500

advocate (Guest)

Ohh, that's where all.

0:16:13.260 --> 0:16:21.290

advocate (Guest)

Users of the public right away pay a fee based on the fair market value of the real estate. Currently, it only applies to broadband providers.

0:16:22.450 --> 0:16:27.500

advocate (Guest)

But that has the potential to generate significant revenue from.

0:16:28.320 --> 0:16:34.650

advocate (Guest)

Telecommunication sources. So I think it's 19 VSA 54 a, but that's subject to check.

0:16:36.190 --> 0:16:38.560

advocate (Guest)

So connection fee right away fee.

0:16:41.570 --> 0:16:44.560

advocate (Guest)

There was some exploration of.

0:16:45.420 --> 0:16:45.770

advocate (Guest)

Uh.

0:16:47.210 --> 0:16:50.570

advocate (Guest)

Well, it's still related to the right of way fee, whether you charge.

0:16:51.200 --> 0:16:56.510

advocate (Guest)

Per mile of fiber or whatever, those are a couple that I mentioned earlier.

0:16:57.220 --> 0:16:57.500

Burnham, Lance

That.

0:16:58.350 --> 0:16:58.930

Burnham, Lance

Thank you, Steve.

0:17:0.80 --> 0:17:7.340

Burnham, Lance

I'm just getting back and I wanna take a quick step back. Now they're mark is here. Maybe. Do you know if we have a quorum now?

0:17:8.660 --> 0:17:9.10

Wooster, Mandy

If it.

0:17:8.320 --> 0:17:11.290

Wooster, Mandy

Now that marks on it would require 6 including you.

0:17:15.70 --> 0:17:16.400

Burnham, Lance

OK, we do have a quorum then.

0:17:20.940 --> 0:17:21.410

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:17:23.350 --> 0:17:25.460

Wooster, Mandy

Perhaps you want to go back and try to get the minutes.

0:17:26.650 --> 0:17:30.550

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, let's do that. Now that we have a quorum, let's kind of break some of this out.

0:17:32.130 --> 0:17:32.860

Burnham, Lance

If I can.

0:17:32.70 --> 0:17:35.690

advocate (Guest)

Sure, because I only have 5. Unless did did George join?

0:17:36.600 --> 0:17:38.990

Burnham, Lance

George is not on? No. So.

0:17:38.580 --> 0:17:40.390

Burnham, Lance

I have myself.

0:17:42.350 --> 0:17:43.380

Burnham, Lance

Bob schlachter.

0:17:44.140 --> 0:17:45.80

Burnham, Lance

Jack helm.

0:17:46.420 --> 0:17:50.420

Burnham, Lance

Chief Cambridge drew mark that gives us 6.

0:17:55.920 --> 0:18:6.110

Burnham, Lance

OK, so we will officially call the meeting to order. We are being recorded identifying the work group members present obviously as myself.

0:18:6.800 --> 0:18:11.590

Burnham, Lance

Bob Schlachter, Jack Helm Chief Cambridge Drew Hazelton and Mark Anderson.

0:18:13.420 --> 0:18:14.430

Burnham, Lance

This is.

0:18:16.190 --> 0:18:21.110

Burnham, Lance

Obviously being recorded, uh open to the general public. So what I would like to do is go back.

0:18:22.660 --> 0:18:26.580

Burnham, Lance

Has everybody received a copy of the agenda Manda? Mandy has it up.

0:18:29.60 --> 0:18:31.0

Wooster, Mandy

And it's it's actually posted on the website.

0:18:30.950 --> 0:18:34.780

Burnham, Lance

And it is posted on the website. I'm looking for a motion to approve the agenda.

0:18:35.180 --> 0:18:45.640

advocate (Guest)

It's just as a not to be a stickler or to be a stickler. The law requires that the Members present identify themselves on these virtual recordings.

0:18:49.360 --> 0:18:49.740

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:18:51.90 --> 0:18:52.320

Burnham, Lance

Bob, can you identify?

0:18:57.540 --> 0:18:58.720

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

So I would like you to get me.

0:18:59.190 --> 0:18:59.540

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:19:0.590 --> 0:19:1.120

Burnham, Lance

Jack.

0:19:2.90 --> 0:19:3.710

87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e

Jack helm Benson.

0:19:5.40 --> 0:19:6.990

Burnham, Lance

Myself, chief Cambridge.

0:19:10.70 --> 0:19:11.570

Cambridge, Anthony

Anthony Cambridge, Hinesburg police chief.

0:19:12.500 --> 0:19:15.410

Burnham, Lance

She drew saw that you're on.

0:19:16.270 --> 0:19:17.30

Drew Hazelton

Drayton.

0:19:18.480 --> 0:19:19.890

Burnham, Lance
And sheriff Anderson?

0:19:23.430 --> 0:19:24.960

Anderson, Mark
Sure. Fanderson, we don't county.

0:19:27.650 --> 0:19:35.800

Burnham, Lance
If we could go back and if we could, now that it has been posted and everyone can see the agenda and looking for a motion to approve the agenda.

0:19:37.490 --> 0:19:38.80

Drew Hazelton
So moved.

0:19:40.480 --> 0:19:40.870

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0
2nd.

0:19:40.700 --> 0:19:43.940

Burnham, Lance
OK. And I got a second. Thank you.

0:19:45.70 --> 0:19:51.520

Burnham, Lance
I'm gonna go back now that we do have a quorum, the approval of the minutes of August 17th and the 24th.

0:19:53.150 --> 0:19:58.990

Burnham, Lance
They have been posted, they've been posted for a couple of weeks, so I'm looking for approval to approve those minutes.

0:20:0.370 --> 0:20:2.170

Burnham, Lance
A motion to approve those minutes.

0:20:16.860 --> 0:20:19.690

Burnham, Lance
Not hearing anything. Does anybody have a problem or?

0:20:21.30 --> 0:20:22.840

Burnham, Lance
The reason why we should not approve the Minutes.

0:20:30.110 --> 0:20:31.340
87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e
I would give a second.

0:20:32.50 --> 0:20:34.910
87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e
Except I'm on voice only I can't see them.

0:20:37.980 --> 0:20:38.140
Burnham, Lance
Yeah.

0:20:37.770 --> 0:20:39.520
Drew Hazelton
I'll make a motion to approve the Minutes.

0:20:40.740 --> 0:20:40.970
Burnham, Lance
Yeah.

0:20:41.970 --> 0:20:43.390
Burnham, Lance
Who? Who made the motion? Sorry.

0:20:44.470 --> 0:20:45.180
Drew Hazelton
Drew.

0:20:45.480 --> 0:20:46.110
Burnham, Lance
Thank you, drew.

0:20:48.260 --> 0:20:49.650
b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0
5 character I'll 2nd.

0:20:52.800 --> 0:20:53.930
Burnham, Lance
OK. Thank you, Bob.

0:20:57.350 --> 0:20:59.360
Burnham, Lance
All those that approve please.

0:20:59.480 --> 0:21:1.830
Burnham, Lance
I'm represented by saying aye.

0:21:3.20 --> 0:21:3.510
b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0
I.

0:21:5.720 --> 0:21:6.170
87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e
Hi.

0:21:12.760 --> 0:21:15.50
Burnham, Lance
I only heard two. I need at least.

0:21:16.780 --> 0:21:17.430
Burnham, Lance
Three more.

0:21:23.210 --> 0:21:24.570
Burnham, Lance
Chief gamers do approve.

0:21:26.140 --> 0:21:26.670
Cambridge, Anthony
I approve.

0:21:27.750 --> 0:21:28.830
Burnham, Lance
True. Do you approve?

0:21:30.200 --> 0:21:31.720
Drew Hazelton
I approve mark.

0:21:35.610 --> 0:21:36.70
Anderson, Mark
Yes.

0:21:36.850 --> 0:21:38.260
Burnham, Lance
Alright, we have approval.

0:21:41.220 --> 0:21:42.90
Burnham, Lance
Alright.

0:21:43.910 --> 0:21:44.820
Burnham, Lance
And now we.

0:21:44.270 --> 0:21:49.170

Anderson, Mark

And so I'm going to drop off for planting. I got you, mark, go ahead.

0:21:51.320 --> 0:22:0.780

Anderson, Mark

I'm gonna drop off for just a minute. I'm having some connectivity issues and I'm getting like every third word, so I'm gonna try to fix that and join back in. OK. Thank you, mark.

0:22:2.730 --> 0:22:3.220

Burnham, Lance

Uh.

0:22:4.150 --> 0:22:10.580

Burnham, Lance

All right, Mandy, let's continue on then. While we're now that we're back on track. Sorry. Thank you, everybody.

0:22:12.560 --> 0:22:14.290

Wooster, Mandy

No, I think that was perfect, so.

0:22:15.30 --> 0:22:33.710

Wooster, Mandy

You know, I'll leave it open. I think we were kind of at a pause in this, but these are just some if you have other options that you can think of please you know, let's include them for the group so that we can keep kind of moving forward on this particular one. But I'll go ahead and move forward unless somebody else has another option and we can always go back.

0:22:34.750 --> 0:22:36.930

Burnham, Lance

Well, now that we're officially in a meeting.

0:22:35.980 --> 0:22:37.340

Wooster, Mandy

Uh, so the next piece of this?

0:22:38.530 --> 0:22:45.260

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I was just gonna say maybe now that we're in an official meeting, why don't we go through all this and then we can open it back up to public comment.

0:22:46.940 --> 0:22:47.690

Burnham, Lance

And.

0:22:48.500 --> 0:22:51.610

Burnham, Lance

Get everyone's opinion at that point, just for the lack of time.

0:22:54.300 --> 0:23:2.880

Burnham, Lance

Would you like me to go through just a quick rundown again? Yeah, let's go through. Like, we can pick up where we are, but let's just go through the whole thing and then we can open up public comment. Very good.

0:23:4.750 --> 0:23:7.580

Wooster, Mandy

So the next piece of this is the funding mechanisms.

0:23:8.330 --> 0:23:8.990

Wooster, Mandy

Umm.

0:23:9.790 --> 0:23:15.440

Wooster, Mandy

And there's a couple of different pieces that Lance and I were thinking about as we were thinking about the funding mechanisms.

0:23:26.290 --> 0:23:26.620

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:23:16.810 --> 0:23:28.680

Wooster, Mandy

One is the dispatch fee worksheet and Lance, do you have that to be able to share with the group? And I'm gonna go and drop my screen for a minute and let you explain what that worksheet is. Yep.

0:23:38.950 --> 0:23:39.910

Burnham, Lance

And everybody see this.

0:23:43.600 --> 0:24:3.510

Burnham, Lance

Yes, OK. So what this is, this is many people that are are that are on this meeting have already seen this. This is what then Commissioner Sherling myself and a few other people developed when back in 2020 when we were Commissioner, was thinking about charging a fee for.

0:24:4.450 --> 0:24:15.990

Burnham, Lance

Uh, those agencies that we actually dispatch for and to make this very simple, there are two options that you'll see on this paper and I'll start with what I feel is the most appropriate.

0:24:16.930 --> 0:24:23.740

Burnham, Lance

Essentially, what we boil down to is we wanted to look and what you'll see here is essentially.

0:24:24.770 --> 0:24:44.260

Burnham, Lance

The total cost of what it costs the state police to run our. Excuse me, where is it? It's the total cost of essentially what it cost for us to run our two piece apps. So Westminster in the Williston Peace app and we're roughly averaging right around \$6 million annually.

0:24:45.140 --> 0:24:48.720

Burnham, Lance

And now that is only the cost of.

0:24:49.390 --> 0:24:55.340

Burnham, Lance

Our dispatchers it is not administrative costs, it's not equipment costs. It's basically how much does it cost the state.

0:24:56.130 --> 0:25:5.70

Burnham, Lance

To fund our dispatchers so we can put the butts in the seats to answer the actual calls and that's what we came up with. So then what we did?

0:25:5.840 --> 0:25:16.790

Burnham, Lance

Is we took 2018 data because really it hasn't changed significantly. I mean obviously it's gone up, but that is the most readily available data we had at the time.

0:25:17.830 --> 0:25:35.410

Burnham, Lance

We took all those calls and we divided them up and basically said if all these calls that we had for the year, how much would we have to charge the agencies to essentially break Even so we could actually fund the piece app.

0:25:36.320 --> 0:25:41.390

Burnham, Lance

And essentially what we bought it down to is \$53.39 per call.

0:25:42.160 --> 0:25:50.920

Burnham, Lance

And what we did is all the agencies that we dispatched, we said, OK, if you will use Middlebury for example.

0:25:51.790 --> 0:26:1.60

Burnham, Lance

You had 484 calls back in 2018. If we use this data 5339.

0:26:1.680 --> 0:26:6.770

Burnham, Lance

It would cost you approximately \$25,000 annually for a dispatch fee.

0:26:8.40 --> 0:26:19.180

Burnham, Lance

It was very simple math. It wasn't meant to be complicated and it was something where the state would actually receive money to be able to fund the actual piece app.

0:26:20.150 --> 0:26:27.940

Burnham, Lance

Now this is a. This is a document that we used for the fire departments. All of our law enforcement.

0:26:29.810 --> 0:26:32.820

Burnham, Lance

As well as what's going on with my screen.

0:26:33.950 --> 0:26:37.0

Burnham, Lance

As well as our EMS rescue agencies.

0:26:38.10 --> 0:26:42.610

Burnham, Lance

And all of this data essentially boiled out to we would be able to cover.

0:26:43.150 --> 0:26:46.910

Burnham, Lance

Umm, the the financial needs to to dispatch.

0:26:48.100 --> 0:26:54.160

Burnham, Lance

Also on this screen and I don't, this is my own personal opinion is.

0:26:55.0 --> 0:27:17.150

Burnham, Lance

We also had the ability we broke it down by agency size. Now that's most people would think your agencies bigger because your call volume is bigger. So if you have say a Burlington, you clearly have more staff than what you would have if you were in Richmond Police Department. So we wanted a chart potentially brought up the idea of charging per full time and part time.

0:27:17.470 --> 0:27:23.650

Burnham, Lance

Uh employees and based on that number would be the amount of money that we would actually charge.

0:27:24.780 --> 0:27:33.130

Burnham, Lance

It's not a good formula because the reality is if you look at Castleton PD where you look at any college town.

0:27:34.810 --> 0:27:47.840

Burnham, Lance

Their numbers skyrocket during the school year, and Castleton PD may have three officers, yet they could be running 5 to 6000 cases a year, if not more.

0:27:48.630 --> 0:27:53.40

Burnham, Lance

Umm, so that is also another example of what we have in here.

0:27:54.250 --> 0:28:4.70

Burnham, Lance

Same with the fire department. We went with their calls. We didn't take the the volunteers. It was just too hard. We just took the number of calls that you had in 2018 and again we grouped it.

0:28:5.730 --> 0:28:11.580

Burnham, Lance

It would be anywhere between 5000 and \$20,000, depending on how many cases you actually got called to.

0:28:12.760 --> 0:28:17.640

Burnham, Lance

And I believe we did the same. Yeah, we did the same thing for rescue agencies as well, so.

0:28:18.880 --> 0:28:25.190

Burnham, Lance

I don't think that's a great way to do it, but it's an example of what is actually out there.

0:28:29.10 --> 0:28:29.700

Burnham, Lance

That makes sense.

0:28:31.670 --> 0:28:32.100

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:28:29.90 --> 0:28:33.80

Anderson, Mark

Plans. Can I throw in a reason it's a bad, bad reason or bad?

0:28:34.90 --> 0:28:34.510

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:28:32.950 --> 0:28:34.600

Anderson, Mark

Example of a formula.

0:28:36.50 --> 0:28:47.350

Anderson, Mark

But I'm gonna call him agency A and agency B, but the reality is these are two agencies now when this was being explored, the discussion pivoted to how to gamify?

0:28:49.230 --> 0:29:4.620

Anderson, Mark

That if hang per call was how they were charged, they were gonna gamify how they would capture their data. And so, for example, organizations who capture directed patrols or capture foot patrols or capture.

0:29:6.380 --> 0:29:15.750

Anderson, Mark

Property tax they would create one property check for the entire year and they would just keep reusing that and so.

0:29:17.320 --> 0:29:46.840

Anderson, Mark

The other piece to that is that especially as we are looking at a statewide record system, we're looking at the governor's 10 point plan on reducing violence and all these other things, every single one of them ties to data. And as we break away from capturing data points to consolidating into single calls for service, we are going to absolutely eviscerate our ability to collect reliable data. So just want to put that out there.

0:29:47.270 --> 0:29:50.250

Anderson, Mark

Not critical, if any of the work that that you did. It was just a.

0:29:50.920 --> 0:29:54.940

Anderson, Mark

The reaction of smaller agencies looking to minimize their budget.

0:29:56.490 --> 0:30:26.240

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I I couldn't agree more with you, Mark. And that was a discussion that we had with Paul and Mandy and I discussed it as well that that is data you can skew data anyway. You really wanna do it. We know that there are agencies out there that issue fire permits that have absolutely no impact on dispatch. The reason they punch a case for it is because they have to be able to audit that at the end of the year to say this is how many we've actually issued. So.

0:30:27.210 --> 0:30:43.0

Burnham, Lance

One of the things and you brought up a great point, mark, is how do we identify law enforcement if just using law enforcement as an example of how do we identify those law enforcement incidents that actually require dispatch?

0:30:44.400 --> 0:30:56.390

Burnham, Lance

Uh hours or or getting them involved into the incident. So a lot of that may be. And again, I'm not a valcour person. You you certainly are, but.

0:30:57.100 --> 0:30:59.250

Burnham, Lance

How do we identify those cases?

0:31:0.150 --> 0:31:7.640

Burnham, Lance

That have dispatch involvement and take that and using only that data rather than the data that has zero.

0:31:8.70 --> 0:31:8.950

Burnham, Lance

Dispatch in common.

0:31:11.290 --> 0:31:19.680

Anderson, Mark

Yeah, with without diving into the weeds too deeply. I mean, right now exists the functionality just by seeing who generated it. And while that's not a.

0:31:20.470 --> 0:31:29.950

Anderson, Mark

Of and I'll be all an analysis. It's at least one that we could do right now. Valcourt does capture a lot of the interactions of.

0:31:32.460 --> 0:31:39.680

Anderson, Mark

If if the developer could add, it could potentially be to measure, measure those data points that are under the hood.

0:31:40.150 --> 0:31:43.10

Burnham, Lance

Yeah. So, yeah, I I.

0:31:44.420 --> 0:31:44.800

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

0:31:42.80 --> 0:31:50.490

Anderson, Mark

We we've never asked for that ability stuff. If we have a model, it might be be worth putting in the report a recommendation to develop the ability to measure.

0:31:51.960 --> 0:31:54.250

Anderson, Mark

Measure dispatch involvement in an incident.

0:32:0.460 --> 0:32:1.570

Burnham, Lance

The point. Thank you. Bye.

0:32:3.920 --> 0:32:9.630

Burnham, Lance

I paused there because I have a meeting with them on tomorrow regarding the Governor's 10 point plan here so.

0:32:11.990 --> 0:32:20.360

Burnham, Lance

So again, this is just one funding mechanism. We had it available and then we can.

0:32:21.960 --> 0:32:23.650

Burnham, Lance

Move on to the next Mandy if you'd like.

0:32:30.800 --> 0:32:31.940

Wooster, Mandy

As my screen popped back up.

0:32:32.330 --> 0:32:33.90

Burnham, Lance

Yep, you're on.

0:32:33.760 --> 0:32:38.830

Wooster, Mandy

OK, so just delete into this. You know, part of that discussion was.

0:32:38.100 --> 0:32:43.850

Burnham, Lance

I'll wait. I'm sorry. Mandy, I'm sorry. I I meant to see. Chief came. Chief Cambridge. Go ahead. If you had a comment on that.

0:32:45.510 --> 0:32:52.660

Cambridge, Anthony

Yeah. I just wanted to say that a case punched by dispatch is is a case that, I mean, there is work there.

0:32:54.200 --> 0:33:0.590

Cambridge, Anthony

There's there's things to be entered and uh, there is time being consumed.

0:33:1.790 --> 0:33:4.900

Cambridge, Anthony

So every I I just think it is, it is sort of a fair.

0:33:5.640 --> 0:33:10.380

Cambridge, Anthony

Uh analysis to say. Let's look at in some way the amount of cases.

0:33:10.980 --> 0:33:30.280

Cambridge, Anthony

Uh, I mean that, that's kind of what our model was before we switched to a flat rate was you know each case we were paying no matter what it was, whether there was a directive patrol, because the idea was that dispatch was taking the time to generate a case, whether they closed it out early or not, they they were still.

0:33:31.140 --> 0:33:48.110

Cambridge, Anthony

Utilizing that time, uh, so it and it and it kind of made us a little bit more cautious of we are an agency that only that only punches directed patrols when we absolutely have to because we got in that habit when we were paying \$33.75 per.

0:33:48.820 --> 0:33:54.410

Cambridge, Anthony

Uh. Per case? Uh. So just wanted to say that, I mean there there is some fairness too.

0:33:55.80 --> 0:33:59.360

Cambridge, Anthony

Paying per you know per call sort of sort of.

0:34:1.910 --> 0:34:22.940

Burnham, Lance

Yeah. And speaking as a piece of commander, I'd also say whenever you punch that case and it and if it dispatcher, whether you're on directive patrol or not, that takes the dispatchers attention because they're ultimately responsible for your safety. They're checking on you. They're doing whatever they they need to track that. So it is a, it is a draw. So thank you.

0:34:24.170 --> 0:34:25.400

Burnham, Lance

Sorry Mandy, I.

0:34:26.790 --> 0:34:27.270

Wooster, Mandy

No.

0:34:26.480 --> 0:34:29.890

Burnham, Lance

So one thing that teens I I see it on my other side of the screen.

0:34:30.780 --> 0:34:47.570

Wooster, Mandy

Now it's great. So you know, I'm wondering, you know, when Lance and I had met, we kinda with Paul, we kind of talked about, you know, trying to break out these different call types or incident types per the discipline of police, fire, EMS to help us.

0:34:48.360 --> 0:34:57.500

Wooster, Mandy

Understand. What would you know what type of incidents do require some work on the dispatcher side? If not all of using the example of?

0:34:58.620 --> 0:34:59.910

Wooster, Mandy

You know the fire permits.

0:35:0.870 --> 0:35:21.650

Wooster, Mandy

And so one of the things we were talking about is maybe it's worth taking a look at and maybe we could get a police fire and EMS representative to take a look at their call incidents and kind of report back to the group next week on what those call incidents are. So we could determine whether or not those would be something that we would consider for dispatch service.

0:35:28.450 --> 0:35:32.440

Burnham, Lance

Drew, that was one of the things I was going to talk to you today about just.

0:35:33.830 --> 0:35:40.960

Burnham, Lance

Opening it up to you, now that we we have a quorum of one of the things is specifically on the EMS side.

0:35:43.970 --> 0:35:52.770

Burnham, Lance

What are those incidents that require the dispatch? Are there things that you guys do that do not require dispatch? I guess is a better way to.

0:35:53.910 --> 0:35:54.570

Burnham, Lance

To ask it.

0:36:6.30 --> 0:36:6.550

Burnham, Lance

Are you there?

0:36:10.930 --> 0:36:11.120

Drew Hazelton

Yeah.

0:36:11.770 --> 0:36:12.500

Drew Hazelton

Yep, go ahead.

0:36:13.810 --> 0:36:16.300

Burnham, Lance

The did you? Did you hear my question?

0:36:17.500 --> 0:36:18.60

Drew Hazelton

I did not.

0:36:18.530 --> 0:36:23.430

Burnham, Lance

OK, one of the one of the things I wanted to speak with you today about it was.

0:36:25.470 --> 0:36:38.280

Burnham, Lance

And we could talk about it now, but on the EMS side, are there things that EMS does that does not require dispatch involvement?

0:36:39.70 --> 0:36:51.800

Burnham, Lance

You may go to a case and go to. I mean rude. I'm 23. I'm 76 of the hospital, whatever it is. But is there. Are there things that you guys do that do not require dispatch involvement where you guys are punching cases?

0:36:54.290 --> 0:36:54.920

Drew Hazelton

So.

0:36:54.380 --> 0:36:55.60

Burnham, Lance

There may not be.

0:36:56.300 --> 0:37:2.980

Drew Hazelton

Yes and no. So we do a lot of hospital hospital work that doesn't, you know, doesn't generate a 911 type call.

0:37:4.580 --> 0:37:9.910

Drew Hazelton

And historically, we have punched those through cases, but a lot of services don't punch those through us.

0:37:10.690 --> 0:37:12.840

Drew Hazelton

This case is are internally managed.

0:37:14.490 --> 0:37:21.230

Drew Hazelton

And and a fair amount of like standby type of event coverage which also doesn't really involve a lot of dispatch work.

0:37:21.920 --> 0:37:29.600

Drew Hazelton

So there is a a chunk, probably that interfacility statewide probably makes up 25% of the total EMS call volume.

0:37:30.540 --> 0:37:31.10

Drew Hazelton

The state.

0:37:36.260 --> 0:37:36.570

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

0:37:31.860 --> 0:37:39.760

Drew Hazelton

That really doesn't come through that kind of traditional system. Some areas are dispatchers to track that some areas don't.

0:37:40.900 --> 0:37:42.290

Burnham, Lance

OK. Thank you.

0:37:44.710 --> 0:37:50.990

Wooster, Mandy

You think there be a possibility to come up with a list of incident types for EMS to bring back to the group to see?

0:37:52.500 --> 0:37:52.800

Drew Hazelton

Yeah.

0:37:54.410 --> 0:37:57.150

Wooster, Mandy

Would you mind if I put you down as as doing that for next week?

0:37:58.130 --> 0:37:59.660

Drew Hazelton

That's fine. Thanks.

0:38:2.850 --> 0:38:5.410

Wooster, Mandy

Do we have somebody from fire that could do the same?

0:38:6.790 --> 0:38:8.540

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

Well, you've got me by selector.

0:38:9.340 --> 0:38:11.390

Wooster, Mandy

But would you be willing to take that up?

0:38:12.360 --> 0:38:18.630

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

Sure. Basically, yeah. We've talked about this a few times here regionally. So I think it wouldn't be too hard to put together.

0:38:19.620 --> 0:38:20.150

Wooster, Mandy

Thanks Bob.

0:38:21.940 --> 0:38:26.610

Wooster, Mandy

And that leaves police. Do we have somebody that could come up with the incident types for police?

0:38:33.350 --> 0:38:33.990

Burnham, Lance

I think I can.

0:38:33.400 --> 0:38:34.470

Drew Hazelton

No, just about jumping another.

0:38:37.840 --> 0:38:38.850

Drew Hazelton

I'll be about 45 min.

0:38:35.480 --> 0:38:40.70

Burnham, Lance

OK, I'll be about I can tag team that with someone. Mandy.

0:38:41.540 --> 0:38:49.530

Burnham, Lance

Because we act if we can just, we can actually just run a Valcour report and a list of incident types.

0:38:50.270 --> 0:38:51.340

Burnham, Lance

And do it that way.

0:38:52.760 --> 0:38:56.650

Burnham, Lance

Problem is, this is going to be different per agency so.

0:38:58.740 --> 0:38:59.140

Wooster, Mandy

Is this?

0:39:1.100 --> 0:39:1.670

Anderson, Mark

The hard.

0:38:58.560 --> 0:39:3.80

Wooster, Mandy

I can possibly somebody from another type of agency that would be willing to do the same.

0:39:10.390 --> 0:39:10.720

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:39:8.620 --> 0:39:10.870

Anderson, Mark

Man, I'm not. Can you hear me? Yes, Sir.

0:39:12.740 --> 0:39:13.790

Drew Hazelton

I'll jump on another meeting.

0:39:14.460 --> 0:39:19.220

Anderson, Mark

That might be the hardest one, simply because not because of the amount of call types you could generate that.

0:39:19.970 --> 0:39:25.190

Anderson, Mark

But everyone interacts differently. I think. Burlington, they've even pretty much for.

0:39:29.70 --> 0:39:29.820

Anderson, Mark

There are people.

0:39:31.400 --> 0:39:31.820

Anderson, Mark

Stone.

0:39:33.490 --> 0:39:47.740

Anderson, Mark

I think they're doing everything with stuff. It's all the the demands on dispatch, I think will shift differently by law enforcement operations, cell phone connectivity and I'm not sure how reliable that metric will be, but I can generate it.

0:39:49.0 --> 0:39:54.310

Wooster, Mandy

OK, if if that worked, if I can put you down with Lance for that for next week, that would be super helpful, Mark.

0:39:56.680 --> 0:39:56.870

Anderson, Mark

No.

0:39:58.10 --> 0:40:1.520

Wooster, Mandy

Thank you all. Thank you all for your willingness to do that. I appreciate it.

0:40:8.900 --> 0:40:9.190

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:40:10.780 --> 0:40:11.120

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:40:3.900 --> 0:40:13.990

Wooster, Mandy

And then just to give a heads up, lands, I have to leave at 9:30 just to give you the heads up. I have another meeting I have to happen, but that leads us to kind of the the formulas and I don't know.

0:40:20.640 --> 0:40:21.30

87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e

OK.

0:40:15.330 --> 0:40:26.820

Wooster, Mandy

How much conversation you wanna have about each in particular format, but maybe looking at it and saying what formulas are missing so that we could populate them in this particular outline.

0:40:28.170 --> 0:40:28.720

Wooster, Mandy

So.

0:40:28.120 --> 0:40:28.780

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, it.

0:40:29.420 --> 0:40:29.730

Wooster, Mandy

OK.

0:40:29.420 --> 0:40:39.720

Wooster, Mandy

Go ahead. No, go ahead. So I put Hanover, NH, which I saw from Mark in there. And then I added the ones that you provided to me, which are gonna be Shelburne.

0:40:41.200 --> 0:40:44.300

Wooster, Mandy

St. Albans, Hartford, Lamoille.

0:40:45.260 --> 0:40:47.850

Wooster, Mandy

And Barry City police.

0:40:48.920 --> 0:40:51.510

Wooster, Mandy

Are there others that are out there that we should be?

0:40:52.980 --> 0:40:56.30

Wooster, Mandy

Making sure that we're getting capturing so we can take a look at.

0:40:57.240 --> 0:41:5.290

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I can. I have a St. John's berries billing structure. I can pull up Newport Cities as well.

0:41:6.200 --> 0:41:6.520

Wooster, Mandy

OK.

0:41:8.270 --> 0:41:17.200

Wooster, Mandy

Does anybody else know of any existing formulas that are out there that we should add to this list that maybe we've missed at this point?

0:41:22.530 --> 0:41:33.780

Burnham, Lance

Bob, a lot of the stuff that we pulled is from up north and I think that a lot of it was just for ease. They were close by and we could contact them and literally go talk to him. Are you aware of any down South?

0:41:35.550 --> 0:41:37.110

Burnham, Lance

That I might not be familiar with.

0:41:38.360 --> 0:41:43.570

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

No, at this point, I think we're pretty much what you have there is what we see.

0:41:44.870 --> 0:41:52.210

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

Obviously right in this area right now, we're not using a formula at all, obviously. So you know, we should look at these and see what the.

0:41:52.790 --> 0:41:53.950

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

Strong and weak points are.

0:41:55.180 --> 0:42:4.150

Burnham, Lance

Do you know? Maybe you don't know, but do you know if Brattleboro or Dover or those agencies that have their own dispatch, do they dispatch for other agencies as well?

0:42:5.700 --> 0:42:11.510

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

I can look at it, but I don't believe there's any large group. There might be somebody that doesn't want or two.

0:42:12.360 --> 0:42:13.530

Anderson, Mark

I can speak to that.

0:42:14.300 --> 0:42:14.800

Burnham, Lance

But.

0:42:18.10 --> 0:42:21.730

Anderson, Mark

A proud of our dispatches for only their services.

0:42:22.810 --> 0:42:31.570

Anderson, Mark

Dover, Wilmington currently go through the state, so they don't really bill for their services, but they're discussing a possible coop.

0:42:32.320 --> 0:42:32.640

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

0:42:33.540 --> 0:42:38.150

Anderson, Mark

When halls well and then I dispatch for Bells falls.

0:42:39.20 --> 0:42:42.640

Anderson, Mark

And Bennington County and I would not recommend my current funding model.

0:42:44.190 --> 0:42:44.510

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:42:45.400 --> 0:42:45.820

Wooster, Mandy

That's fair.

0:42:45.590 --> 0:42:48.190

Anderson, Mark

It's not because it's bad, it just doesn't make any sense.

0:42:50.880 --> 0:42:52.320

Burnham, Lance

There's a fine line there, right?

0:42:56.860 --> 0:42:57.950

Burnham, Lance
Alright, thank you.

0:42:58.940 --> 0:43:20.190

Wooster, Mandy
So that's super helpful. And I think that if we can, you know, so part of this is just kind of compiling some of the information we have. So we'll try to get those extra two if others can think of another formula or something that's out there, let's we'll go ahead and add it to the timeline. Feel free to send it along to the group or bring it for next time and we'll get it added.

0:43:22.730 --> 0:43:25.80

Wooster, Mandy
So if we move on from.

0:43:24.300 --> 0:43:27.210

Anderson, Mark
It might be worth reaching out to Manchester for theirs.

0:43:28.390 --> 0:43:28.910

Burnham, Lance
Ohh yeah.

0:43:33.30 --> 0:43:37.380

Anderson, Mark
I think they're standing up a model or have one stood up. I'm not entirely sure on the.

0:43:38.400 --> 0:43:38.780

Anderson, Mark
On that.

0:43:40.200 --> 0:43:42.210

Anderson, Mark
I've tried to call but without luck.

0:43:49.210 --> 0:43:59.660

Wooster, Mandy
Well, I'll be honest, I don't know where exactly Manchester is. Is there somebody that is a representative of a member of the group that is somewhere near there that could reach out and try to understand what that funding model is?

0:44:0.440 --> 0:44:0.950

Wooster, Mandy
Formula.

0:44:10.110 --> 0:44:15.250

Burnham, Lance
My might be easier coming from another chief Chief Chief Cambridge. Do you think you could do that?

0:44:18.310 --> 0:44:18.840

Cambridge, Anthony

Uh, sure.

0:44:19.910 --> 0:44:20.440

Burnham, Lance

Thank you.

0:44:27.490 --> 0:44:49.60

Wooster, Mandy

So again, we'll just try to keep working towards what we have already towards this mandate and then pulling it together. So we will be ready to be able to write the report. So if we move on from that particular part, it gets down into the next sections of the legislation. And again, I remind you that the numbers and letters are just associated way it was written in the legislation. It's not necessarily.

0:44:50.160 --> 0:45:6.410

Wooster, Mandy

As we're as we're writing it, so the next piece is the estimated timeline and transition funding needed as new regional dispatch centers come online in local dispatch services or transitioned away from state operated facilities. And so what we were trying to do is write what information we currently have that's going to help to this.

0:45:7.360 --> 0:45:9.30

Wooster, Mandy

You know, meet this particular.

0:45:9.970 --> 0:45:33.840

Wooster, Mandy

Mandy. And so you know, right now that application process is likely going to dictate the funding needed because it's part of the application process. Those applications are due on 9/2. So they're not available yet and it will take that group some time to process that as well. So as well as for the timeline that should be based on the scope of the project, which is also in the application.

0:45:34.370 --> 0:45:47.110

Wooster, Mandy

And so just listing kind of the thoughts that we had on information for this particular section thinking that we might have to wait a little bit longer for some of that information, anything else that we should add to this particular bullet?

0:45:58.310 --> 0:45:59.960

Wooster, Mandy

Not hearing any.

0:45:58.510 --> 0:46:12.880

Burnham, Lance

I think, yeah, I I think I think this is the unknown, quite frankly, Mandy, it's, we, we we don't know what we don't know. And I mean I think I've heard it for the past four years. Well, we'd like to get here within one to three years.

0:46:14.430 --> 0:46:19.360

Burnham, Lance

I think that's doable, but I don't. I can't. I don't wanna speak for everybody.

0:46:21.810 --> 0:46:40.20

Wooster, Mandy

Well, like we can leave it open. This is not going to be a close. And obviously when we hopefully the thought is we'll get into the next meeting and go over some of the stuff that we came up with and the different assignments that we put out there. So this is not closed. If anybody comes up with additional things, we can continue to add to the list. Again, it's just to help us kind of identify what we have, what we don't have.

0:46:41.240 --> 0:46:52.750

Wooster, Mandy

So the next piece of it was identifying, sorry, identify the reduction in workload that result at the two Vermont State Police dispatch centers from a fully operational regional dispatch model.

0:46:53.590 --> 0:47:8.230

Wooster, Mandy

Uh, so Lance provided one of the bullet points, which was streamlining of the SP dispatch positions. Greater focus on 911 to meet the catchment rates. Are there other pieces that folks can think of that we should put under this particular?

0:47:9.930 --> 0:47:10.480

Wooster, Mandy

Bullet.

0:47:11.450 --> 0:47:17.960

Wooster, Mandy

About identifying reduction in workload, what does that bring up for people? Is there any thoughts that we should just jot down here?

0:47:25.610 --> 0:47:33.820

Anderson, Mark

I think it's kind of the wise guy answer, but reinforcing employment for the Department of Public Safety, I think has to be said.

0:47:35.60 --> 0:47:35.710

Burnham, Lance

Retention.

0:47:37.370 --> 0:47:45.520

Burnham, Lance

Retention. Yep, let's take. Is that what you meant, Mark? We need to retain our people because the workload right now is why people are leaving.

0:47:48.700 --> 0:48:0.970

Anderson, Mark

Yeah, I mean, it's looking at the the equation backwards, but yeah, whether it's retention or or recruitment or whatever, whatever that X Factor is, I mean you reduce workload by increasing employees.

0:48:2.210 --> 0:48:10.420

Anderson, Mark

And while I know that we're talking about regional models, the current model is a statewide, generally a statewide model with some support from regional centers.

0:48:12.840 --> 0:48:13.180

Burnham, Lance

So.

0:48:16.830 --> 0:48:19.760

Wooster, Mandy

OK, I've added that in there and certainly.

0:48:21.620 --> 0:48:22.400

Wooster, Mandy

And any others?

0:48:29.370 --> 0:48:39.510

Wooster, Mandy

And as people are thinking again, this is just to try to open this up and start to put some of it to paper. So if you know it anytime that other things come up, just let us know, we'll go ahead and get it added to the list.

0:48:41.260 --> 0:48:41.690

Burnham, Lance

Money.

0:48:42.320 --> 0:48:46.490

Burnham, Lance

It's going to save the Department of Retention, saves, the saves, the state money.

0:48:47.630 --> 0:48:48.520

Burnham, Lance

It costs us.

0:48:49.600 --> 0:48:51.390

Burnham, Lance

I don't know what the figure is but.

0:48:52.170 --> 0:49:1.890

Burnham, Lance

You know, we we spend six months training somebody, we spend roughly \$80,000 to get them on board and they leave within four months. It's it's a waste of money.

0:49:8.160 --> 0:49:8.550

Wooster, Mandy

OK.

0:49:9.30 --> 0:49:9.320

Burnham, Lance

Umm.

0:49:20.930 --> 0:49:21.200

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:49:13.270 --> 0:49:21.690

Wooster, Mandy

Not hearing anymore. I'll go ahead and move on again. Lance, I don't know what you want to do for the transition of this, or if you, you know.

0:49:23.0 --> 0:49:25.890

Burnham, Lance

You want me to take take over and you can prepare for your next.

0:49:28.700 --> 0:49:29.590

Anderson, Mark

Mandy, can I?

0:49:26.740 --> 0:49:30.630

Wooster, Mandy

Well, I'm just wondering, in order for you to be able to, to, Yep.

0:49:33.130 --> 0:49:35.680

Anderson, Mark

Mark, I just had a thought.

0:49:37.0 --> 0:49:40.490

Anderson, Mark

We have now that we have a statewide record system.

0:49:41.280 --> 0:49:42.600

Anderson, Mark

Uh, that?

0:49:43.800 --> 0:49:44.30

Anderson, Mark

It's.

0:49:45.180 --> 0:49:52.250

Anderson, Mark

Capable of both online and offline work, maybe in car computer stands.

0:49:52.990 --> 0:50:11.160

Anderson, Mark

Communications. All those things. Things. I mean, we we could even tip the CAP towards Steve Whittaker's conversations about a broadband LTE getting more deployment but shifting some of the work onto officers and cars as is done in larger cities and other states.

0:50:12.40 --> 0:50:15.100

Anderson, Mark

God be another way to reduce load on VSP dispatch.

0:50:17.870 --> 0:50:18.670

Wooster, Mandy

I haven't. Thank you.

0:50:26.150 --> 0:50:47.640

Wooster, Mandy

So Lance, I guess I'll leave this to you. Whether or not you want to continue down the list, I think you've a lot has been covered today. So that's completely up to you if you wanna. If you can do whatever you want to continue on the list or you can move to public comment, whatever you think is appropriate. A lot has been covered and some work has been asked of others to bring back for next week.

0:50:48.40 --> 0:50:48.370

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:50:48.330 --> 0:50:50.580

Burnham, Lance

Uh, can you just Scroll down?

0:50:51.720 --> 0:50:52.190

Wooster, Mandy

Yeah.

0:50:49.670 --> 0:50:56.480

Burnham, Lance

But I'm I'm gonna be can you just Scroll down? I think there was one less thing. One more thing. I wanted to cover. Sure.

0:50:57.500 --> 0:51:0.360

Wooster, Mandy

Beyond number one, we're down to the list, maybe.

0:51:0.560 --> 0:51:1.350

Burnham, Lance

Right here's fine.

0:51:5.390 --> 0:51:9.550

Burnham, Lance

Yeah. No, we don't have to discuss. We can, we can wait on this until next week, so.

0:51:10.440 --> 0:51:12.590

Wooster, Mandy

And perhaps we can pick up there, yeah.

0:51:15.0 --> 0:51:17.10

Burnham, Lance

We're good. Why don't we leave it there?

0:51:20.610 --> 0:51:22.770

Burnham, Lance

This will continue to be worked on.

0:51:24.790 --> 0:51:25.390

Burnham, Lance

I know.

0:51:26.490 --> 0:51:33.540

Burnham, Lance

Those members of the group I know you have other jobs and this is just something that you either got assigned to or somehow by Mandy. Thank you.

0:51:35.420 --> 0:51:52.90

Burnham, Lance

Or somehow you were volunteered or for some reason you decided it was good working group to be on. But you also have full time jobs. So this is part of my job, obviously as well as Mandy. So we will continue to work on this and we'll present it to the group as every week as best we can.

0:51:53.710 --> 0:52:1.720

Burnham, Lance

And those that got assigned some quote UN quote task, if you could have them ready by next week, that would be outstanding.

0:52:4.340 --> 0:52:5.890

Burnham, Lance

Any questions?

0:52:8.0 --> 0:52:11.310

Burnham, Lance

Going forward, any comments by the working group?

0:52:12.680 --> 0:52:13.620

Burnham, Lance

Or any questions?

0:52:14.450 --> 0:52:16.540

Burnham, Lance

Before I open it up to public comment.

0:52:25.350 --> 0:52:29.470

Burnham, Lance

OK, hearing none, I will open up to public comment.

0:52:33.160 --> 0:52:35.590

advocate (Guest)

I don't need to be first if there's anybody else waiting.

0:52:37.320 --> 0:52:38.480

Burnham, Lance

You're talking. Go ahead, Steve.

0:52:39.820 --> 0:52:40.470

advocate (Guest)

Alright.

0:52:41.970 --> 0:52:43.320

advocate (Guest)

There's a couple of Corrections.

0:52:44.400 --> 0:52:58.630

advocate (Guest)

Lance, that you can relate to Mandy in her list, she caught right up right away rather than right of way. The right of way is the publicly owned land along the roads, and that's the basis for that fee.

0:53:2.150 --> 0:53:4.170

advocate (Guest)

So this inventory.

0:53:4.810 --> 0:53:10.970

advocate (Guest)

I believe I had started that a couple years ago and I don't wanna take it on for the group.

0:53:11.370 --> 0:53:23.680

advocate (Guest)

Uh, being that the agency I recommended, Lance was at the 911 board meeting yesterday, I recommended that the 911 board take on the inventory of current dispatch.

0:53:24.920 --> 0:53:27.630

advocate (Guest)

Fees. Where are the revenues flowing?

0:53:28.400 --> 0:53:31.360

advocate (Guest)

I've begun filling in the list that I have.

0:53:31.740 --> 0:53:45.930

advocate (Guest)

Umm, but the complication is the towns that dispatch for themselves. There's no uniform way of

deciding what to include in that and whatnot, where it's very easy if you send a bill to somebody else to capture that number.

0:53:46.530 --> 0:54:9.700

advocate (Guest)

Umm, but I believe the way the law is written, we need to capture both. We need to capture all the fees that are charged from the dispatch centers to other municipalities and agencies like ambulance services. And we also need to capture the self, the the cost of the self dispatch towns and cities like Burlington.

0:54:10.540 --> 0:54:11.50

advocate (Guest)

Umm.

0:54:14.10 --> 0:54:23.830

advocate (Guest)

So this this work that you're doing is I I is foundational to making this work in the future. And Lance, you'll hear.

0:54:24.550 --> 0:54:41.970

advocate (Guest)

A permit. Similar criticism of this the way we're going about this, we're looking at a little slice just like the 911 board was looking at a little slice and what we need to be looking at is the arc of communications from the caller asking for help, which is dependent on cell service.

0:54:42.770 --> 0:54:53.540

advocate (Guest)

And all the way to the first responder arriving, locating the person in need and having both radio and data communications to.

0:54:55.850 --> 0:55:2.580

advocate (Guest)

To provide that help. So unless we're looking at that whole arc and let you mentioned it here.

0:55:3.720 --> 0:55:5.550

advocate (Guest)

All these tinkering with form.

0:55:6.660 --> 0:55:12.650

advocate (Guest)

And is nonsense. If it doesn't include capital costs, you know we we need to.

0:55:13.870 --> 0:55:39.320

advocate (Guest)

You need to request of the Joint Fiscal Committee to release some of these funding to get the statewide radio systems assessments done in some estimate of what the upgrades and infill are gonna cost. And that should include cellular infill. So to me the most productive use of this first tranche of money would not be to just throw spaghetti at the wall money at the wall and see what sticks.

0:55:39.870 --> 0:55:57.230

advocate (Guest)

Uh, this committee is report, if done well, is gonna recommend transitional timing and traditional funding and to the degree that we've already thrown three or four or five million out there, we may be have have squandered some of that.

0:55:58.60 --> 0:56:29.500

advocate (Guest)

Ohh, I remember Barb meal with raising the maximum. If it's not fixed. If it's not broke, don't fix it. I understand that some of Windham County is in a hurry to do something different, but I suspect there's a lot of Windham County that's not and to basically launch a an expensive project that lacks a radio system in order to cannibalize an existing working arrangement out of Southwest New Hampshire might not be best use of our money.

0:56:30.120 --> 0:56:33.170

advocate (Guest)

So I think this is where the this.

0:56:34.880 --> 0:56:44.660

advocate (Guest)

Committee needs to focus on the integrity of the advice that is gonna be foundational. This'll might be the most read report.

0:56:45.390 --> 0:56:55.700

advocate (Guest)

Uh, in years or decades, even by the entire legislature, many of them knew. Because this effects every town and every town's tax base. So.

0:56:56.650 --> 0:57:0.140

advocate (Guest)

There are four at least four people on this work group.

0:57:0.990 --> 0:57:2.950

advocate (Guest)

Who have a.

0:57:4.220 --> 0:57:13.340

advocate (Guest)

Perceived, if not actual conflict of interest and are gonna reach for the money regardless of whether the planning is done.

0:57:15.30 --> 0:57:17.870

advocate (Guest)

Those being Chief Pete, Sheriff Anderson.

0:57:19.170 --> 0:57:19.550

advocate (Guest)

I'm.

0:57:20.520 --> 0:57:22.30

advocate (Guest)

It's like to Rutland.

0:57:23.360 --> 0:57:32.110

advocate (Guest)

I don't know the status of their project, but I believe they wanna move faster than they had been and the department which is represented by Lance, so.

0:57:32.870 --> 0:57:39.670

advocate (Guest)

Lance is in our I I understand. I believe in Lance or the department is in a hurry to offload.

0:57:40.360 --> 0:57:54.570

advocate (Guest)

Regardless of whether it's the long term solution or not, let's throw some millions out there, but without the planning to support it, I I'm shocked that the department didn't come out with a plan, as are most legislators and.

0:57:54.970 --> 0:58:2.100

advocate (Guest)

Uh experts that I talked to out in the field, there is no plan and I don't believe that the.

0:58:2.790 --> 0:58:6.890

advocate (Guest)

Proposals are going to constitute an integrated plan.

0:58:7.310 --> 0:58:7.890

advocate (Guest)

Umm.

0:58:9.750 --> 0:58:10.280

advocate (Guest)

So.

0:58:12.810 --> 0:58:17.260

advocate (Guest)

A big elephant in the room is valcor. You know, Valcour is a.

0:58:18.100 --> 0:58:32.440

advocate (Guest)

Cats is is not a CAD system, it's a records management system. It may someday be a CAD system, but it seems to be a prerequisite to accessing any of these funding and it's a assumed statewide platform. But.

0:58:33.310 --> 0:58:38.180

advocate (Guest)

It's not a sure that it's gonna meet the terms of its contractor be completed.

0:58:38.860 --> 0:58:51.420

advocate (Guest)

Some of the nationwide consultants have never heard of it. That impacts the staffing and recruitment for getting people to move to Vermont and become dispatchers. If they're trained in another platform and.

0:58:52.80 --> 0:58:55.850

advocate (Guest)

Some of the people who are walking out DPS are doing it because of valcor.

0:58:56.830 --> 0:58:59.410

advocate (Guest)

And I've heard that directly from first hand so.

0:59:0.350 --> 0:59:0.910

advocate (Guest)

Ohm.

0:59:2.600 --> 0:59:7.710

advocate (Guest)

The card is before the horse here, and the question is, are we gonna focus on?

0:59:8.730 --> 0:59:12.440

advocate (Guest)

Uh. Measured integrated planning? Or are we gonna just?

0:59:14.0 --> 0:59:15.910

advocate (Guest)

Take the easy money quick and.

0:59:16.950 --> 0:59:19.780

advocate (Guest)

Suffer the consequences later so.

0:59:20.590 --> 0:59:39.40

advocate (Guest)

But the funding has to include capital costs. That's gonna be a much harder thing. It might be a million or two to hire consultants to travel the state, help get the governance in place. I know you're gonna see a proposal from, you know, Brian, Pete and in Montpelier that.

0:59:40.40 --> 0:59:52.350

advocate (Guest)

Basically fully misrepresents the other. The governance is in place, there is no governance other than central Vermont Public Safety Authority being undermined by the folks trying to get this money. So.

0:59:53.280 --> 1:0:11.270

advocate (Guest)

When you're talking about 9118, categorizing the calls, I think we're, it's premature, there's an A

nationwide discussion around reinventing 911 and the service delivery model where not just police, fire and EMS, but you might have domestic domestic peace.

1:0:11.350 --> 1:0:33.260

advocate (Guest)

But experts call types. You might have a mental health and you know community support the cat in the tree kind of thing. You basically break all that out of these three emergency service providers. So it's not as simple as taking old narrow formulas and applying them and.

1:0:33.920 --> 1:0:47.870

advocate (Guest)

Similarly, with this dispatch funding model should be fully integrated with the 911 funding model. It is 1 system ultimately and we're approaching it piece meal and it's gonna result in a bad product.

1:0:48.620 --> 1:0:49.130

advocate (Guest)

So.

1:0:55.70 --> 1:0:56.420

advocate (Guest)

That's probably enough for now.

1:0:59.480 --> 1:1:2.10

advocate (Guest)

Oh, oh, there one more thing, the governance.

1:1:3.200 --> 1:1:27.290

advocate (Guest)

Y'all need to make talk long and hard about governance. What constitutes governance? Who's gonna own the infrastructure? Is it portable? In case the sheriff or police chief changes in the communities that are being served? Want somebody else to run it? That's a core fundamental thing that's not being addressed even in this current round of grant proposals. So the.

1:1:28.150 --> 1:1:52.570

advocate (Guest)

Are we going to talk about governance or are we gonna just default to the recommendations of governance that ended up in the funding opportunity? The departments, you know, grant availability proposal because that may or may not be the best governance and it would be a shame to basically assume that that's in place because this Community never talked about it. So that's enough for now.

1:1:54.550 --> 1:1:55.40

advocate (Guest)

Thanks.

1:2:3.80 --> 1:2:10.760

Burnham, Lance

Sorry. Thank you. Steve. Any other comments from the public? Gwen, I saw you had your hand up and I didn't mean to cut you off when we started the meeting.

1:2:16.340 --> 1:2:22.930

Gwynn Zakov

I well, I was just going back to the funding sources and my only comment was that.

1:2:23.800 --> 1:2:26.740

Gwynn Zakov

And having that general fund appropriation is.

1:2:27.580 --> 1:2:28.560

Gwynn Zakov

Pretty broad.

1:2:29.270 --> 1:2:34.620

Gwynn Zakov

And I think it might be helpful to understand what is included in that.

1:2:35.410 --> 1:2:37.820

Gwynn Zakov

Pot and I think if you look at.

1:2:38.930 --> 1:2:44.570

Gwynn Zakov

On the revenue sources, you know whether it's personal income tax, you know sales and use tax.

1:2:45.350 --> 1:3:16.120

Gwynn Zakov

And even meals and rooms. Liquor, telephone. The soon to be cannabis tax. I think you can find an argument that there are Nexuses to a lot of those sources to where this money would be going. So I think we'd be doing a disservice to just generally say general fund without kind of identifying the portions of the general fund that actually have a Nexus to the issue that we're trying to solve. And I think having that identified more clearly, or at least explaining the next steps would be.

1:3:16.200 --> 1:3:17.590

Gwynn Zakov

Some beneficial instead of just.

1:3:18.610 --> 1:3:28.550

Gwynn Zakov

You know, there's it's very easy to argue in Nexus to property taxes, right? But I also think there's a much easier argument to make to a lot of those other sources that are in that pot. So that's the only comment I wanted to make.

1:3:30.590 --> 1:3:31.230

Burnham, Lance

Thank you, Glenn.

1:3:35.870 --> 1:3:38.180

Burnham, Lance

Any other comments from the general public?

1:3:45.90 --> 1:3:46.320

Burnham, Lance

OK. Thank you.

1:3:47.740 --> 1:3:51.160

Burnham, Lance

Next on the agenda is adjourned. I need a motion to adjourn.

1:3:56.260 --> 1:3:57.790

Anderson, Mark

So moved mark.

1:4:0.270 --> 1:4:1.0

Burnham, Lance

Need a second.

1:4:3.590 --> 1:4:4.140

87e81f84-eea6-4115-acb3-ff4998b3d28e

Saying.

1:4:5.270 --> 1:4:5.780

Burnham, Lance

2nd.

1:4:6.580 --> 1:4:11.30

Burnham, Lance

We are adjourned. Thank you very much, everybody. Good meeting. I think we got some stuff done.

1:4:12.630 --> 1:4:15.140

Burnham, Lance

Hopefully it's not pouring where you are, but.

1:4:15.880 --> 1:4:17.600

Burnham, Lance

Enjoy the rest of your day. Thank you very much.

1:4:18.630 --> 1:4:19.320

b4bb2381-9990-4d83-aa1d-ddc9957d6ac0

You have a good day.

1:4:20.20 --> 1:4:20.340

Burnham, Lance

But.

1:4:20.460 --> 1:4:20.750

Anderson, Mark

Take.