

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:1.10

White, Paul

Aaron, could you?

0:0:1.750 --> 0:0:2.610

White, Paul

Start the recording.

0:0:5.870 --> 0:0:7.60

09b060be-c2f2-4df0-b562-c172a716ac7f

I just heard it all.

0:0:3.320 --> 0:0:7.960

White, Paul

Transcription has started recording has started. Thank you. Thank you.

0:0:9.90 --> 0:0:10.440

White, Paul

So good morning everybody.

0:0:12.280 --> 0:0:16.10

White, Paul

For those that were here last week, I apologize for my absence, but it was just.

0:0:16.980 --> 0:0:20.30

White, Paul

One of those weeks I just couldn't be in two places at one time so.

0:0:22.890 --> 0:0:28.560

White, Paul

The agenda is pretty much the same as it's been every week, but if we could just go through the formality of approving the agenda.

0:0:35.100 --> 0:0:35.500

White, Paul

Looking.

0:0:34.800 --> 0:0:36.700

Anderson, Mark

I'll make a motion to approve the agenda.

0:0:38.930 --> 0:0:39.510

Burnham, Lance

I'll 2nd.

0:0:37.90 --> 0:0:39.720

White, Paul

Thank you, Marcus. Or second, I'll second it.

0:0:41.20 --> 0:0:44.260

White, Paul

Any discussion about the agenda, anything anybody wants to add or delete?

0:0:46.590 --> 0:0:52.690

Anderson, Mark

I guess just a point of information, are we required to approve the agenda if it's properly warned?

0:0:54.560 --> 0:1:3.930

White, Paul

Well, I'm not an expert on open meeting law, but my understanding was that supposed to be the first order of business of any public meeting is to approve the agenda.

0:1:7.90 --> 0:1:7.450

Anderson, Mark

OK.

0:1:10.190 --> 0:1:12.650

White, Paul

All those in favor of the agenda as presented.

0:1:13.990 --> 0:1:14.360

Burnham, Lance

Aye.

0:1:15.460 --> 0:1:15.850

Neal, Barbara

Hi.

0:1:15.990 --> 0:1:16.420

Drew Hazelton

Aye.

0:1:16.240 --> 0:1:22.10

White, Paul

Anybody. Anybody opposed? We have an agenda and just read briefly, the Minutes from last week.

0:1:23.190 --> 0:1:25.390

White, Paul

Everyone's had a chance to review them. They're.

0:1:27.760 --> 0:1:29.110

White, Paul

They're pretty basic.

0:1:31.890 --> 0:1:35.60

White, Paul

You could have a motion to approve the Minutes from last week. We can get that out of the way.

0:1:37.840 --> 0:1:38.630

Burnham, Lance
I'll make a motion.

0:1:37.760 --> 0:1:46.250

Neal, Barbara
So Paul, I have a question of kind of like marks. I didn't attend last weeks meeting, but I did watch the recording. Can I vote on the Minutes?

0:1:46.430 --> 0:1:47.120

White, Paul
Yes, we can.

0:1:47.660 --> 0:1:48.10

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:1:49.440 --> 0:1:52.70

Neal, Barbara
Then I'll move to accept the minutes from last week's meeting.

0:1:55.900 --> 0:1:56.500

Burnham, Lance
I'll 2nd.

0:1:56.220 --> 0:2:5.20

White, Paul
There a second. Wait, thank you. Any comments on the Minutes? See, they are just pretty basic minutes. All those in favor of approval say aye.

0:2:5.940 --> 0:2:6.350

Burnham, Lance
Right.

0:2:6.140 --> 0:2:6.590

Neal, Barbara
I.

0:2:7.970 --> 0:2:8.320

Drew Hazelton
Aye.

0:2:7.880 --> 0:2:8.800

White, Paul
Anybody pose?

0:2:9.670 --> 0:2:22.730

White, Paul

You guys have it. Minutes are approved. OK, so down to the real Business Today. I did. I invited Sheriff Roger Marcus to join us. Roger. Probably everybody here knows Roger and one way or another. But.

0:2:24.430 --> 0:2:53.120

White, Paul

Roger, in addition to being the Sheriff of Lamoille County, runs a regional dispatch center, which I met with Roger a couple of weeks ago and I learned some things myself. I didn't realize that Lamar County had had a dispatch Center for so long. It kind of a pioneer in this area, not only in Vermont, but in New England. And I thought it would be helpful for Roger to come share with us what works for them. And you know what?

0:2:53.500 --> 0:3:10.640

White, Paul

We might want to stay away from. We don't want to ruin a good thing that's already working, and I did share with him the the legislation that created this working group and asked him if he could prepare some notes with that legislation in mind. Any comments you might have about it?

0:3:12.350 --> 0:3:21.280

White, Paul

So, Roger, you told me that your predecessor started dispatching on a countrywide basis and what the 70s?

0:3:21.830 --> 0:3:27.50

roger m (Guest)

Yeah, the mid 70s and he had gone to.

0:3:27.130 --> 0:3:47.600

roger m (Guest)

You, as a new sheriff in about 73 or 74, he went out to California and and spent a few weeks there learning how the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department or Sheriff's Department out in that area worked in any discovered this 911.

0:3:48.430 --> 0:4:6.640

roger m (Guest)

System. So he figured a way to have a A to work with. I don't know if it was Bell telephone back then or who it was, but to to create a countywide 911 system and about think it actually came online and about 76 or or 75, something like that.

0:4:7.150 --> 0:4:9.740

White, Paul

Long, long before the rest of the state had 911, though.

0:4:10.20 --> 0:4:13.820

roger m (Guest)

Right. I think that that conversation started in the early 90s.

0:4:18.570 --> 0:4:26.370

White, Paul

So you you've I know you looked over the legislation that I gave you and what the committee charge is and do you have?

0:4:27.510 --> 0:4:30.360

White, Paul

Well, I guess I guess I'll just turn it over to you. What do you have for uh?

0:4:31.720 --> 0:4:34.140

White, Paul

Observations or uh feedback for us?

0:4:34.740 --> 0:4:35.190

roger m (Guest)

Well.

0:4:35.970 --> 0:4:43.260

roger m (Guest)

Kind of an interesting thing. I'll go back to the the history of the funding model that we now use in Lamoille County.

0:4:43.990 --> 0:4:50.520

roger m (Guest)

Because it's a similar, there was a similar problem, but on a much smaller smaller scale.

0:4:51.260 --> 0:5:1.310

roger m (Guest)

So when UM Sheriff Menashe started law enforcement operations in in dispatch operations, they were funded out of the county.

0:5:2.180 --> 0:5:8.970

roger m (Guest)

And he worked with the assistant judges, who have a A some control over taxation.

0:5:10.610 --> 0:5:16.100

roger m (Guest)

And that's how he funded both his his patrol operation and his dispatch operation.

0:5:17.10 --> 0:5:40.940

roger m (Guest)

So the problem was that a couple of communities more astounded stove had their own police departments, so and they push for so push back particularly and refused to pay their county taxes that it resulted in a court battle between the stove and the county of of Lamoille.

0:5:42.10 --> 0:5:46.280

roger m (Guest)

So still lost that battle, but it won it on appeal.

0:5:47.350 --> 0:6:0.940

roger m (Guest)

And to something to the effect of the language from the court said that you cannot force a municipality to pay for a county.

0:6:1.720 --> 0:6:9.790

roger m (Guest)

They can't use county funds to to pay for it. I think the word was used was Constabulary or something along those lines.

0:6:10.630 --> 0:6:15.160

roger m (Guest)

So that force the parties to come up with a different funding model.

0:6:16.130 --> 0:6:18.430

roger m (Guest)

And consideration.

0:6:19.790 --> 0:6:29.220

roger m (Guest)

During that deliberation was that some towns drive they need a lot of service because of the population.

0:6:30.130 --> 0:6:38.440

roger m (Guest)

And some towns maybe didn't have as much population but had agree or grand list, like Stow and the ski area.

0:6:38.720 --> 0:6:57.470

roger m (Guest)

The demands quite a lot of of attention as well from our dispatches, so they they they split the difference and came up with a funding model which took into account the grand list and the population and they they.

0:6:58.620 --> 0:7:5.190

roger m (Guest)

They mingled the two when it came up with, with the way that Lamoille County is funded.

0:7:6.50 --> 0:7:16.980

roger m (Guest)

The reason it works here is because all of the emergency services and every town in Lamoille is a are included in the partnership.

0:7:18.10 --> 0:7:18.700

roger m (Guest)

And so.

0:7:20.300 --> 0:7:24.310

roger m (Guest)

So it's a very, very equitable way of of.

0:7:25.680 --> 0:7:27.700

roger m (Guest)

Funding the regional dispatch.

0:7:28.860 --> 0:7:33.590

roger m (Guest)

Through the years we've taken, we've taken on some other Members.

0:7:34.310 --> 0:7:51.310

roger m (Guest)

And you know what we've done in, in, in terms of Berry Town for instances, there are roughly the same in size of Morristown. So we didn't use that same model because it's it's, it's not part of the original partnership, but we used.

0:7:52.110 --> 0:7:56.120

roger m (Guest)

A. A similar situation to to assess what.

0:7:57.160 --> 0:7:59.150

roger m (Guest)

You know what the what they were going to pay.

0:8:0.310 --> 0:8:15.140

roger m (Guest)

And so that's kind of lamoille's uh, that's that's the short history of how we operate and continued operations. It's it's not easy. You know you've got 1010 different towns, 10 different.

0:8:15.220 --> 0:8:15.670

roger m (Guest)

Yeah.

0:8:15.800 --> 0:8:24.610

roger m (Guest)

Uh, uh, uh economic situations, but we've managed to keep the partnership going all of these years, so.

0:8:26.30 --> 0:8:31.380

roger m (Guest)

With that going to the the dispatch Working Group Act.

0:8:33.110 --> 0:8:45.980

roger m (Guest)

Number one says long term funding model for regional dispatch at fairly assesses, costly wide, does not unduly affect property taxes and clearly identifies the potential impact on property taxes.

0:8:46.660 --> 0:8:54.640

roger m (Guest)

But I can tell you here in Lamoille we've had, which many people think is a successful model and it's \$1,000,000 right around \$1,000,000.

0:8:55.350 --> 0:9:3.880

roger m (Guest)

And I think that Lamoille is probably a medium size dispatch operation and some of the new.

0:9:4.480 --> 0:9:8.250

roger m (Guest)

Uh. Proposed regional dispatches are gonna be bigger.

0:9:10.30 --> 0:9:22.400

roger m (Guest)

I do not see, and I always disagreed with the Commissioner Shirley, that there was a cost neutral solution to this. There is it's gonna cost somebody money.

0:9:23.800 --> 0:9:43.350

roger m (Guest)

And and if you're gonna keep good people, you're gonna have to continue to. You're gonna have to pay them well, and you gonna have to continue to give them raises through the years, and you're gonna be competing with with other job markets. So you're gonna have to pay them. Well, our top dispatcher here probably makes around \$38.00 an hour.

0:9:44.440 --> 0:9:48.390

roger m (Guest)

So, but that person's been with me for 15 years.

0:9:49.80 --> 0:10:6.250

roger m (Guest)

Uh, so. And we've had people that have retired out of our dispatch. So it's like anything else, if you want a quality service, you're gonna have to pay them. And that's. I don't see there's only one other place that I see where the money could come from, and that's if.

0:10:6.920 --> 0:10:10.650

roger m (Guest)

Department of Public Safety where to take their.

0:10:12.500 --> 0:10:19.950

roger m (Guest)

Slice of of their budget that goes towards dispatching and somehow allocates that to to this.

0:10:20.770 --> 0:10:25.90

roger m (Guest)

Uh program, but I don't even think that that's I don't think that they're gonna do that.

0:10:26.110 --> 0:10:29.340

roger m (Guest)

And and I don't think that that would fully support.

0:10:30.500 --> 0:10:32.890

roger m (Guest)

You know, four or five regional dispatches, so.

0:10:33.780 --> 0:10:48.300

roger m (Guest)

Umm, so uh #2 is the estimated timeline transition funding needed as a new regional dispatch centers come online and local dispatch services are transitioned away from from facilities.

0:10:49.80 --> 0:10:52.130

roger m (Guest)

I suppose that could be as early as next.

0:10:52.870 --> 0:11:6.400

roger m (Guest)

Next, the the next budget cycle after this one. So it might be possible to be in in 2024 or 25. However, there are some some issues. One is you have to consider.

0:11:7.170 --> 0:11:9.580

roger m (Guest)

The backlog of being able to get equipment.

0:11:10.350 --> 0:11:16.290

roger m (Guest)

That's been a problem for for us. Just replacing equipment and everything that's an issue.

0:11:17.160 --> 0:11:18.900

roger m (Guest)

Another issue is.

0:11:21.270 --> 0:11:28.250

roger m (Guest)

You know, determining if each of the regional centers have the the technical ability to reach their customers.

0:11:29.70 --> 0:11:35.750

roger m (Guest)

Uh, and uh. And then if there are any a line issues where there's licensing?

0:11:36.450 --> 0:11:37.620

roger m (Guest)

And licensing.

0:11:38.940 --> 0:11:47.30

roger m (Guest)

You know it. It's it's. If you wanna put any transmitter up or repeater. What have you up on a tower?

0:11:48.750 --> 0:11:56.100

roger m (Guest)

You you have to go through the process where the FCC and then you have to get permission from, from, from Quebec.

0:11:58.220 --> 0:12:9.870

roger m (Guest)

If it's above the A line which is, I believe 50 or 75 miles and can't remember what it is, but so that that can really hold up the process too.

0:12:12.890 --> 0:12:14.640

roger m (Guest)

Let me just review my notes here.

0:12:16.270 --> 0:12:38.760

roger m (Guest)

Yeah. So and then building towers. You know, if you need to build towers, hopefully you would be able to piggyback on existing infrastructure with the Department of Public Safety. And but you know, pretty permitting for those is a bear. So I built a tower years ago and ended up at the exact same height. And I think it was a A2 year process.

0:12:39.610 --> 0:12:40.280

roger m (Guest)

UM.

0:12:41.440 --> 0:12:52.210

roger m (Guest)

#3 identify the reduction in workload that will result in the two Vermont State Police dispatch centers from fully operational regional dispatch model.

0:12:53.130 --> 0:12:59.630

roger m (Guest)

Uh, if I were charged with this, the first thing I'd be doing is to identify the President workload.

0:13:0.360 --> 0:13:9.80

roger m (Guest)

I'd be doing that through Valcour and through E 911 records that they have, so you take.

0:13:10.450 --> 0:13:17.40

roger m (Guest)

For example, you take uh, what's happening in terms of emergency calls that E911 has.

0:13:17.680 --> 0:13:20.890

roger m (Guest)

Uh try to marry that up with the valcour information.

0:13:21.860 --> 0:13:22.300

roger m (Guest)

And.

0:13:23.810 --> 0:13:29.340

roger m (Guest)

So there's definitely, I think the the ability to do that, but it's it's a labor intensive.

0:13:30.260 --> 0:13:35.160

roger m (Guest)

A issue so but that would identify workload times a day.

0:13:35.770 --> 0:13:36.780

roger m (Guest)

Uh.

0:13:37.490 --> 0:13:48.400

roger m (Guest)

You know, compare that to other, perhaps compare it to other piece apps or or existing regional dispatches to see how the the workload compares.

0:13:49.20 --> 0:13:59.910

roger m (Guest)

Uh, uh 12. But you know, there's no doubt that there's a tremendous workload when you're short handed all the time on the existing people. And then that continues to.

0:14:1.170 --> 0:14:9.690

roger m (Guest)

To be a problem because existing people get burned out because they're overworked because they're short handed. And but that's a.

0:14:10.430 --> 0:14:17.580

roger m (Guest)

That's kind of the same situation that all of the all of law enforcement and and dispatches is facing right now.

0:14:19.630 --> 0:14:31.670

roger m (Guest)

So that you know it's just a question of having the resources to to be able to study that and and it takes it's a big demand on a committee to do that.

0:14:32.400 --> 0:14:34.70

roger m (Guest)

The other problem.

0:14:34.950 --> 0:14:50.880

roger m (Guest)

Standing up regional dispatches is even if people or agencies or towns communities want to have a regional dispatch, are they gonna run into the same problem that the state is running with? Being able to find staffing so?

0:14:52.810 --> 0:14:55.400

roger m (Guest)

And then under the, the powers and duties.

0:14:59.470 --> 0:15:7.670

roger m (Guest)

I I'm just. I'm not gonna repeat myself if I can have just a minute to to see if I didn't cover anything and those three.

0:15:14.170 --> 0:15:32.960

roger m (Guest)

Alright, I'll just jump down. I think I covered one and two in my previous comments on on #3 on powers and duties, identify any state resources that may become available once a new dispatch system is fully operational. That would be things like tower space, microwave fiber.

0:15:33.540 --> 0:15:34.120

roger m (Guest)

Uh.

0:15:35.620 --> 0:15:43.610

roger m (Guest)

And just making sure that we have accessibility to the towers in, in the assistance and getting the proper permits or licenses there.

0:15:44.320 --> 0:15:44.810

roger m (Guest)

Uh.

0:15:48.190 --> 0:15:51.520

roger m (Guest)

Yeah, in, in, in the study, in terms of.

0:15:52.550 --> 0:15:58.60

roger m (Guest)

Identifying the workload and and the reduction in workload, it fits where to go through.

0:15:58.540 --> 0:16:0.830

roger m (Guest)

Umm, I think that.

0:16:3.600 --> 0:16:8.630

roger m (Guest)

Yeah, I think that a lot of people are going to look at should that equate.

0:16:9.830 --> 0:16:19.320

roger m (Guest)

Somehow, in a reduction of the budget that goes towards the ongoing cost of of the regional dispatch, so reduction of the DPS budget.

0:16:20.30 --> 0:16:50.840

roger m (Guest)

Uh, just an observation there. I have gone through the studies. Unless you want me to. I won't go through them. But it's not as simple as what Commissioner Sherling told people. He said he's I've been in several meetings where he said he gone through every study and they all said the same thing. That

regional dispatch was the way to go. And whether you agree with, that's the way to go or not. That's not the the studies were not.

0:16:50.950 --> 0:16:55.60

roger m (Guest)

Ohh saying the same things. Many of the studies talked about.

0:16:55.850 --> 0:17:0.450

roger m (Guest)

The state funding dispatch as a state they talked about governance.

0:17:0.950 --> 0:17:1.440

roger m (Guest)

Uh.

0:17:1.970 --> 0:17:4.730

roger m (Guest)

Uh, depending on who the Commissioner was.

0:17:5.900 --> 0:17:8.500

roger m (Guest)

They just they talked about perhaps.

0:17:8.620 --> 0:17:21.420

roger m (Guest)

A consolidating everything under under DPS and then all the way to the other end, which is where we're at now is is doing away with local local dispatches, but.

0:17:21.860 --> 0:17:33.910

roger m (Guest)

Uh, we did synopsize here in Lamoille. We did synopsize each year of all of the of all of the reports that we had and I haven't got them typed out yet, but.

0:17:34.660 --> 0:17:40.270

roger m (Guest)

You know, we do have a pretty good idea as to what we said. And one thing that I I was part of a committee.

0:17:41.40 --> 0:17:46.480

roger m (Guest)

Uh with uh powerful Aman chairing and we said that this that.

0:17:47.680 --> 0:18:10.30

roger m (Guest)

DPS should be a default and I still believe that I still believe that the lessons learned and Katrina 911 Irene was communications is of the utmost importance and I think somehow there remains there needs to to be a connection between the state.

0:18:10.790 --> 0:18:15.280

roger m (Guest)

And all of the locals. Yeah. In terms of uh, of.

0:18:16.370 --> 0:18:19.650

roger m (Guest)

A communications system. So that's my opinion.

0:18:20.340 --> 0:18:21.280

roger m (Guest)

And and.

0:18:22.180 --> 0:18:24.250

roger m (Guest)

And I just want to say that.

0:18:25.30 --> 0:18:41.450

roger m (Guest)

Even though that disagrees with the DPS line of things with Captain Burnham here, I have nothing but the utmost respect for him and what he's had to go through and I think he knows that. But I'm saying it publicly that this is not meant to disparage the work that you've done, Captain.

0:18:41.590 --> 0:18:47.740

roger m (Guest)

So. So that's kind of basically, you know what I have and.

0:18:48.590 --> 0:19:13.560

roger m (Guest)

Again, if I were somebody in power, I would certainly look into Derby again. And maybe Rutland, I had a long talk with Vince Illuzzi. We'll explain to me what he went through to, to, to build Derby up there when he was a senator certainly is a lot of people that are looking for jobs up there and.

0:19:14.880 --> 0:19:17.410

roger m (Guest)

And the the facility is there.

0:19:18.320 --> 0:19:18.720

roger m (Guest)

And.

0:19:19.920 --> 0:19:22.770

roger m (Guest)

Yeah, that's that's kind of basically what I have for.

0:19:24.290 --> 0:19:26.200

roger m (Guest)

You know my personal thoughts on all of this.

0:19:28.0 --> 0:19:36.960

White, Paul

OK. Thank you, Roger. So just to to back up a little bit, you you threw out a figure of roughly \$1,000,000 for your operation.

0:19:38.820 --> 0:19:41.860

White, Paul

Now you you talked about the funding.

0:19:42.740 --> 0:19:56.280

White, Paul

Plan for the municipalities that you dispatch for, but you also receive your your dispatch center is also a PSAP, right? So you receive something from there. Is that included in the million or is that on top of the million?

0:19:57.280 --> 0:19:58.810

roger m (Guest)

That's included in the million.

0:19:59.190 --> 0:20:0.590

White, Paul

This included a million. OK.

0:20:1.820 --> 0:20:6.0

White, Paul

And how many positions you have three positions, four positions. Dispatch positions.

0:20:4.680 --> 0:20:7.670

roger m (Guest)

I have 11 positions.

0:20:8.740 --> 0:20:9.600

roger m (Guest)

So that gives us.

0:20:10.760 --> 0:20:11.30

roger m (Guest)

What?

0:20:8.900 --> 0:20:12.380

White, Paul

But I mean as far but, but as far as dispatch seats in the dispatch center?

0:20:12.40 --> 0:20:23.50

roger m (Guest)

Yes, yes, we have four. We have three. The staff, one is left for training and emergencies. So you know if we have bad weather or something, we'll we'll bring in an extra dispatcher.

0:20:23.730 --> 0:20:25.800

roger m (Guest)

Or if we have a law enforcement event.

0:20:27.10 --> 0:20:28.160

roger m (Guest)

Click it or ticket where.

0:20:32.680 --> 0:20:32.970

White, Paul

Right.

0:20:29.340 --> 0:20:34.470

roger m (Guest)

You know there's money to help pay for that. You know about that ball? But but yes, we have 4.

0:20:38.410 --> 0:20:40.230

roger m (Guest)

And the positions that we have.

0:20:40.860 --> 0:20:42.210

roger m (Guest)

When we were building them.

0:20:43.280 --> 0:20:53.130

roger m (Guest)

We went to Derby, we went to other other places with fairly modern dispatches and they helped us actually with the design of how we built it.

0:20:55.100 --> 0:20:55.330

White, Paul

OK.

0:20:57.980 --> 0:20:59.410

White, Paul

And UM.

0:21:0.400 --> 0:21:6.440

White, Paul

Total number of municipalities that you're dispatching for 1214, something like that.

0:21:5.980 --> 0:21:8.650

roger m (Guest)

OK. Then 13, I think right now.

0:21:8.490 --> 0:21:9.170

White, Paul

13 yeah.

0:21:16.260 --> 0:21:19.420

White, Paul

OK. Other members questions for Sheriff Markku.

0:21:29.120 --> 0:21:29.950

Drew Hazelton

A quick question.

0:21:31.590 --> 0:21:31.940

White, Paul

Yes.

0:21:33.740 --> 0:21:34.600

Drew Hazelton

So one of the.

0:21:34.680 --> 0:21:46.130

Drew Hazelton

Uh griddles in from on the EMS side, so one of the questions that the EMS folks have been having is about kind of the modernizing of EMS dispatch and the ability to do.

0:21:48.10 --> 0:22:7.720

Drew Hazelton

Basically like 911 diversion almost where calls are triaged by a healthcare provider and not sent through someone called 911. They're triage and then and maybe not even activating the 911 system. Have you guys given any thought to that kind of the modern EMS dispatch model that exists in the country right now?

0:22:9.410 --> 0:22:11.30

roger m (Guest)

No, I've heard of it, but.

0:22:14.360 --> 0:22:20.700

roger m (Guest)

You know, I I haven't got. That's it. I've heard of it. And and I will hasn't gone any further with us so.

0:22:22.870 --> 0:22:25.300

White, Paul

Through, you know, is that being done anywhere in Vermont now?

0:22:27.430 --> 0:22:48.540

Drew Hazelton

Not that I'm aware of. I, you know, between last week and this week, I reached out to you. You know, people are on the state and certainly you know, as we're looking at a dispatch system, you know, one of the things is like, we should probably be looking at a modern despite system that doesn't send an ambulance to patients that don't need ambulances. I spoke to some people around the country that are doing it. It's very successful.

0:22:49.170 --> 0:22:49.870

Drew Hazelton

That you know.

0:22:51.460 --> 0:22:52.680

Drew Hazelton

Reducing ER.

0:22:53.510 --> 0:23:2.140

Drew Hazelton

Overcrowding as well as kind of easing the the workload on, you know, a very stressed system. So just curious if that been something was considered.

0:23:3.250 --> 0:23:5.410

roger m (Guest)

My I wonder.

0:23:6.130 --> 0:23:11.950

roger m (Guest)

Given the short, you know the the staffing shortages that we have is if we'd be able to.

0:23:12.850 --> 0:23:16.320

roger m (Guest)

Even if we did one system statewide, if you'd be able to.

0:23:17.280 --> 0:23:20.990

roger m (Guest)

Have enough people to to man something like that 24/7.

0:23:24.550 --> 0:23:30.540

roger m (Guest)

I I and I was unaware that there was an issue that we were sending ambulances where they weren't needed.

0:23:33.50 --> 0:23:40.280

Drew Hazelton

Uh, yeah. Large presence percentage of EMS calls are not acute to the point where they need actually ambulance transport.

0:23:40.940 --> 0:24:7.120

Drew Hazelton

Umm and that's. You know, that's pretty universal across the country and you know more progressive systems have figured out ways to appropriately triage those and dispatch other resources that may be better suited to treat the patient, you know, mental health services, for example, or what's called mobile integrated healthcare providers, where it's not an ambulance and a fire truck that shows up, but a clinician that can actually help kind of solve their problem.

0:24:8.180 --> 0:24:11.840

roger m (Guest)

No, I'll. I'll try to look into that with District 4. Thank you.

0:24:12.810 --> 0:24:13.310

Drew Hazelton

Yep.

0:24:17.110 --> 0:24:18.340

White, Paul

OK. Other questions.

0:24:24.180 --> 0:24:24.510

Burnham, Lance

But.

0:24:23.920 --> 0:24:36.610

White, Paul

I didn't mention during the introduction and maybe you would rather I not, but in addition to being the Sheriff of Lamoille County and running a dispatch center, Roger also serves as chair of the 911 board.

0:24:37.470 --> 0:24:39.480

White, Paul

I mean, you've been on that board for.

0:24:40.450 --> 0:24:53.0

White, Paul

Quite a while, do you? What? Do you see any interaction between that organization and what we're trying to accomplish here? Any way that maybe one organization might help the other?

0:24:54.70 --> 0:24:55.40

roger m (Guest)

Yeah, I think at.

0:24:56.740 --> 0:24:58.240

roger m (Guest)

I talked that over with Barb.

0:24:59.330 --> 0:25:1.340

roger m (Guest)

Who is really the brains of the operation?

0:25:1.720 --> 0:25:1.980

White, Paul

Right.

0:25:2.220 --> 0:25:2.540

roger m (Guest)

Uh.

0:25:3.200 --> 0:25:3.550

roger m (Guest)

But.

0:25:4.940 --> 0:25:8.270

roger m (Guest)

You know, so much of this depends on the legislature and the funding.

0:25:8.960 --> 0:25:20.830

roger m (Guest)

If, if the legislature were to decide that we should fund this just like we fund 911 statewide, then I talked to Barb about well, then that kind of opens the door.

0:25:21.510 --> 0:25:25.280

roger m (Guest)

But my issue with all of this is.

0:25:26.50 --> 0:25:28.420

roger m (Guest)

Dictating to communities different.

0:25:30.180 --> 0:25:31.590

roger m (Guest)

Things that you have to do.

0:25:32.570 --> 0:25:33.180

roger m (Guest)

When?

0:25:33.820 --> 0:25:47.920

roger m (Guest)

They're the ones who fund the operation and and is in terms of a statewide, regional funding model. I didn't hit on that, but my thought is is that look, if the state, if the legislature isn't gonna fund this on the statewide basis.

0:26:6.560 --> 0:26:6.890

Drew Hazelton

Yeah.

0:25:48.650 --> 0:26:18.470

roger m (Guest)

Who are we or this committee or whatever to tell ex community that, hey, you gotta pay for your dispatching and this is the funding model. I think that that should be worked out with the contract to her and the contractee as to what works best for that particular community. I don't think that that should be dictated. However, if we were to look at a statewide dispatch model much like the statewide 911 model, then I think that.

0:26:18.590 --> 0:26:27.380

roger m (Guest)

OK, it has to be fully funded and and also that there has to be a suitable governance structure.

0:26:28.170 --> 0:26:34.980

roger m (Guest)

But what makes 911 different from dispatching is 911 is funded.

0:26:36.360 --> 0:26:44.820

roger m (Guest)

Through the general fund and and the USF, but on a statewide model, dispatching is funded locally.

0:26:45.600 --> 0:26:55.170

roger m (Guest)

Yeah. And so and I just don't think that we should have the government overreach is to tell them what they should do in terms of the state if the state.

0:26:56.70 --> 0:27:8.260

roger m (Guest)

When this all started with the Department of Public Safety, it was trying to convince the legislature that people have to communities have to pay for dispatching and state can't continue to do it for free.

0:27:9.300 --> 0:27:18.790

roger m (Guest)

So and then, you know, Commissioner Shirley kind of came up with a between, I think it was a \$50 and \$55 an hour per call range.

0:27:19.550 --> 0:27:22.20

roger m (Guest)

And I can't say that that's the wrong.

0:27:23.200 --> 0:27:35.10

roger m (Guest)

That's the wrong way to go, and I I'm here to tell you that our way here in Lamoille is not gonna work for everybody if it. If it does, then great, but.

0:27:36.150 --> 0:27:38.760

roger m (Guest)

I'm very, very resist resistant about.

0:27:39.580 --> 0:27:45.910

roger m (Guest)

Dictating to different communities as to how they should, you know what formula they need to use.

0:27:52.170 --> 0:27:52.850

Burnham, Lance

Which chair?

0:27:52.340 --> 0:27:54.330

White, Paul

Thank you. Any other. Yeah, let's go ahead.

0:27:54.900 --> 0:28:4.130

Burnham, Lance

Umm I I'm glad you brought that up, Rogers. Thank you. Because I think one of the things that even I have been struggling with and I think some of the committee members here.

0:28:5.180 --> 0:28:18.580

Burnham, Lance

Is that proposing to legislature the actual funding model, that or the OR the fee structure that should be built out? And I'm glad you said that it won't work and every every area.

0:28:19.560 --> 0:28:28.620

Burnham, Lance

You know Chittenden County is going to be different than Essex County. There's going to be those those anomalies that that it's just not going to work.

0:28:29.720 --> 0:28:34.640

Burnham, Lance

One of the things that we are doing I I have like 17 or 7.

0:28:35.430 --> 0:28:37.400

Burnham, Lance

Funding models.

0:28:38.640 --> 0:28:44.390

Burnham, Lance

And what I would like to do and with you know, once we discuss this more with the committee is.

0:28:45.420 --> 0:29:1.870

Burnham, Lance

Presenting it to legislature like here are the ones that are actually out there. Here's the ones that work in those areas rather than us just coming up with one solid solution because I I honestly don't think there is one. There is not one solid solution for funding.

0:29:3.670 --> 0:29:17.530

Burnham, Lance

What is your opinion on that? Would would we be better to come up with one solid solution or would we be better to propose? This is what is out there and leave it to legislature to to discuss that and come up with a decision.

0:29:18.690 --> 0:29:19.620

roger m (Guest)

I I think.

0:29:20.610 --> 0:29:28.930

roger m (Guest)

Captain to to offer one solution. There there is one solution and the one solution is is that the state funds it.

0:29:29.820 --> 0:29:30.170

White, Paul

Right.

0:29:29.920 --> 0:29:35.170

roger m (Guest)

The legislature. That's the only one solution in terms of trying to come up with formulas. I agree with you.

0:29:35.900 --> 0:29:36.730

roger m (Guest)

Uh, that?

0:29:38.600 --> 0:29:39.60

roger m (Guest)

That that.

0:29:39.690 --> 0:29:55.940

roger m (Guest)

We should maybe present, you know, you you mentioned seven. You present those to them and give them the the the high side and the short side of each one. The short, you know the shortcoming of my solution is is that.

0:29:56.760 --> 0:29:58.800

roger m (Guest)

We have a solid partnership.

0:29:59.640 --> 0:30:15.990

roger m (Guest)

And and so every one of these communities is a percentage of the they pay a percentage based on the the grand list and the population. If we lose any one of those communities, then the percentages all.

0:30:17.0 --> 0:30:32.870

roger m (Guest)

Change in everything as opposed to and. I'm not advocating for it, but as opposed to paying a per cost or or per call cost, it is, you know, it's based on history. It's based on what you've you had for calls last year or.

0:30:34.270 --> 0:30:39.200

roger m (Guest)

You know, in terms of when you're trying to to put a budget together, but.

0:30:42.110 --> 0:30:46.900

roger m (Guest)

You know, it's just, it just doesn't seem to me that there's a one solution that's.

0:30:47.730 --> 0:31:5.620

roger m (Guest)

Gonna be the panacea that's that's you know, everybody's going to to agree to, but that's the way I do it because I think it's kind of an impossible situation to to present that one that one cost and identify that there the one formula.

0:31:7.360 --> 0:31:18.870

Burnham, Lance

And just to continue on that, we know and I think we're on agreement here, we all know that that's probably the best way to go about doing it. I think it was Gwen last week that brought up an excellent point.

0:31:19.920 --> 0:31:27.970

Burnham, Lance

Of providing legislature with options, not just go to legislature and say this needs to be funded out of the quote UN quote general fund.

0:31:29.350 --> 0:31:49.340

Burnham, Lance

But there are there are avenues within the general fund that would probably be most appropriate to use the tax out of. For example, the marijuana registry, things like that. Something that actually could be quite frankly linked to dispatch responsibilities. And we know that marijuana is probably one of them.

0:31:51.110 --> 0:31:58.600

Burnham, Lance

Is there anything else out there that you would recommend within the general Fund to be more specific, if we were to propose that?

0:31:59.700 --> 0:32:3.830

Burnham, Lance

That would be that could set the stage or identify the tax revenue.

0:32:5.960 --> 0:32:22.550

roger m (Guest)

Well, we're having a some issues, as you know with the 911 of of funding, we've always used the Universal Service Fund, which has been outside the General Fund and many folks have talked about.

0:32:23.340 --> 0:32:26.290

roger m (Guest)

That uh, however, that's kind of a.

0:32:31.720 --> 0:32:32.80

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

0:32:26.980 --> 0:32:37.640

roger m (Guest)

Yeah, I'm a farmer. It's kind of like dairy farming. It's a Diane thing. So they're looking to move us more towards the general Fund now, so.

0:32:39.180 --> 0:32:44.270

roger m (Guest)

So that's that's not a possibility. I've heard people talking about going with county taxes.

0:32:44.940 --> 0:32:50.920

roger m (Guest)

Well, the stove versus Lamoille case right presently would make that not.

0:32:50.680 --> 0:32:51.170

Drew Hazelton

What? What?

0:32:51.580 --> 0:32:52.370

roger m (Guest)

Not possible.

0:32:53.580 --> 0:32:54.30

Drew Hazelton

No.

0:32:53.470 --> 0:32:56.720

roger m (Guest)

So, and I think that.

0:32:57.430 --> 0:33:4.760

roger m (Guest)

That's just another way of taxing communities, because the communities are gonna be the ones paying for it anyways. So so.

0:33:8.250 --> 0:33:11.630

roger m (Guest)

You know, I cannot think of another source of revenue that we could.

0:33:12.770 --> 0:33:17.920

roger m (Guest)

Could access, but I've I've never given out any thought and I will so.

0:33:19.200 --> 0:33:19.960

Burnham, Lance

Yeah. Thank you.

0:33:21.800 --> 0:33:22.410

Burnham, Lance

So I have to.

0:33:24.790 --> 0:33:27.340

White, Paul

Any other questions for Sheriff Markku?

0:33:33.560 --> 0:33:35.300

White, Paul

Once or twice.

0:33:39.780 --> 0:33:41.360

White, Paul

Well, thank you Roger for.

0:33:42.490 --> 0:33:59.600

White, Paul

Meeting with me the other day and for your time today, you clearly you've gotta you, you, Lu and your department have a lot of history in this. And I think I'm sure I will be back in touch again before this whole process is over. To pick your brain a little bit more. I'm sure I don't know yet. What I didn't ask you.

0:34:0.300 --> 0:34:1.390

White, Paul

Yeah, but.

0:34:0.740 --> 0:34:2.350

roger m (Guest)

Well, I'm happy to.

0:34:3.790 --> 0:34:15.780

roger m (Guest)

You know, I'm currently invested in this. I'm happy to come back anytime and my opinions or my opinions and but anything I can do to to help, I just don't wanna see us worse off so.

0:34:32.430 --> 0:34:33.980

advocate (Guest)

And Mr, Mr. Chair.

0:34:16.870 --> 0:34:34.680

White, Paul

Yeah. Well, I think your opinions are valuable because you've got a lot of years of a successful operation up there that if we can learn anything from it as we roll this these models out across the state and would be foolish not to look at them. So thank you again. And I guess unless you have any parting comments.

0:34:35.850 --> 0:34:36.660

White, Paul

Yes, who's that?

0:34:36.170 --> 0:34:43.120

advocate (Guest)

Just, yeah, just asking about the. Could you speak to the issue of 911 board helping gather the data?

0:34:46.120 --> 0:35:3.230

roger m (Guest)

I think I mentioned that you know when we're looking to identify the workload or whatever that the the 911 data would be a very important part of it. I think I mentioned that, right? So if the question is would be, would we be willing to do it? The answer is yes.

0:35:5.20 --> 0:35:19.40

advocate (Guest)

I'm specifically talking about the data required by December 1st, which is the current revenues statewide for dispatching that are collected by all their disc regional dispatch centers and then forecasting models in the future.

0:35:21.30 --> 0:35:40.260

Neal, Barbara

So if I could just interject here, I'll certainly defer to the board chair. But we had this question presented to us before in the in the, in the framework of the 911 board staff calling all these dispatch agencies to identify their funding mechanisms for dispatch.

0:35:40.680 --> 0:35:57.510

Neal, Barbara

Umm, my understanding is the statute says that the Department of Public Safety provides administrative support to this group. I feel that that is administrative work that perhaps should be assigned to those responsible for the administrative tasks, so.

0:35:58.160 --> 0:35:58.910

Neal, Barbara

With that.

0:35:59.910 --> 0:36:3.110

Neal, Barbara

I'll defer to Roger if he has a different opinion.

0:36:3.410 --> 0:36:7.590

roger m (Guest)

No, I absolutely agree. You know, we've got our jobs and then our.

0:36:8.680 --> 0:36:26.350

roger m (Guest)

You know, we're not taking that on, you know, we'll we'll help out where we can, but this is a, you know, a DPS initiative here and the charge is pretty specific and it does identify DPS as being the the source of resources.

0:36:27.690 --> 0:36:46.740

White, Paul

I guess so. If in the event that DP if you your department, your board, your employees have certain expertise and if DPS feels that that expertise would be helpful, it would be DPS's place to contact you Barb and ask for that help. If they felt they needed it.

0:36:48.670 --> 0:37:6.190

Neal, Barbara

That makes that makes perfect sense and we do have some pretty robust 911 call data that would speak to like call volumes in at least in the DPSP saps from earlier this year that could certainly be shared on on that topic.

0:37:7.840 --> 0:37:10.490

roger m (Guest)

In terms of in terms of trying to find uh.

0:37:11.630 --> 0:37:29.590

roger m (Guest)

Dispatch budgets or whatever for all of the municipalities and everything. You know we've got the Mark Anderson's the president of the sheriff's and I I don't think Brian's on the line. He's he. I think he's ahead of the Chiefs right now. You could put inquiries that way. But you know, we don't have this staff time where.

0:37:30.350 --> 0:37:34.200

roger m (Guest)

Uh, the interest in in calling each chief?

0:37:34.830 --> 0:37:36.560

roger m (Guest)

You know from 911 end so.

0:37:38.950 --> 0:37:39.480

White, Paul

Understood.

0:37:40.960 --> 0:37:45.870

White, Paul

OK. Well, again, thank you Roger for joining us. And I guess we will.

0:37:47.270 --> 0:37:48.400

White, Paul

Move on to other business.

0:37:48.750 --> 0:37:50.340

roger m (Guest)

Thank you very much. Take care.

0:37:49.750 --> 0:37:50.940

White, Paul

OK. Thank you. Thank you.

0:37:54.470 --> 0:38:21.480

White, Paul

So although I was not present last week, I did watch the meeting after the fact and I guess there were some assignments given out. I don't know. Lance, did you expecting to hear back on those today? I

mean, I know you were working from a document. You and Mandy were working from a document that I think started out as the the legislation, but was sort of turned into a working document that you were. Mandy was typing into as you went.

0:38:23.110 --> 0:38:24.40

White, Paul

Where? Where we with that?

0:38:25.270 --> 0:38:42.920

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I think you know I I we did give out to use the word assignments cause sounds a little preschool ish. But but I think it's data clearly that we would need. Mandy are you able to pull the that can you share that document just to see where people are and.

0:38:44.110 --> 0:38:44.820

Wooster, Mandy

Yeah.

0:38:43.750 --> 0:38:45.800

Burnham, Lance

What we what we were looking for?

0:38:46.500 --> 0:38:50.310

Wooster, Mandy

I'm happy to share, just as a reminder, I have to head out in about 15 minutes so.

0:38:50.350 --> 0:38:51.220

Burnham, Lance

Yep, no problem.

0:38:55.330 --> 0:38:56.480

Wooster, Mandy

And everybody see my screen.

0:38:57.790 --> 0:38:58.100

Burnham, Lance

Yes.

0:38:58.600 --> 0:38:59.10

White, Paul

Yeah.

0:39:0.390 --> 0:39:0.870

Burnham, Lance

Umm.

0:39:2.0 --> 0:39:12.790

Burnham, Lance

So really, we really wanted to talk about specifically, was the funding mechanism, the dispatch fee worksheet that we created with then Commissioner Shearling.

0:39:13.520 --> 0:39:26.820

Burnham, Lance

Uh, determine the types of cost, mark, I don't know if you had a chance to look into this. I looked into it a lot yesterday as well as last week. The first thing that came up to my mind came to mind for me was.

0:39:28.80 --> 0:39:29.350

Burnham, Lance

Using Valcor.

0:39:30.240 --> 0:39:42.830

Burnham, Lance

Uh to narrow down incidents per per agency is going to be extremely difficult and the main reason that I see is that every agency has its own quote UN quote nature.

0:39:43.450 --> 0:39:43.970

Burnham, Lance

Umm.

0:39:44.690 --> 0:39:46.750

Burnham, Lance

BP has our nature incidents.

0:39:47.990 --> 0:39:53.790

Burnham, Lance

Chester PD has a I don't even know where they came up with theirs. It's very, very difficult.

0:39:55.90 --> 0:40:2.920

Burnham, Lance

And all the other agencies are gonna have their own. So really, what I came up with it in in a welcome your idea mark is.

0:40:3.700 --> 0:40:24.560

Burnham, Lance

I almost think it's better to say not what should we charge for, but what should we not be charging for? Because I think that narrows it down a lot more because there's so many every each department can be very specific and Valve core as to what they're going to be responding to and how they're going to classify their nature of incidents.

0:40:25.330 --> 0:40:26.80

White, Paul

A land.

0:40:25.440 --> 0:40:27.100

Burnham, Lance

And yeah.

0:40:34.190 --> 0:40:34.750

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

0:40:26.870 --> 0:40:35.40

White, Paul

Just so you know, I've never used Valcour. As you know, when I left, we were still heavily into Spillman, but.

0:40:36.370 --> 0:40:56.700

White, Paul

Is valcor, so I'm I'm a little ignorant to Valcour does Valcour I I understand each agency can create their own nature of the call. You know what Barry Town can create a whatever versus Chester, but don't they all get sort of boiled down to, you know, what we used to call Vipers codes. So it's in order to report.

0:40:57.380 --> 0:41:5.170

White, Paul

To the FBI and to the CIC. Don't they all? All of those natures get boiled down into a common set of codes.

0:41:5.830 --> 0:41:8.420

Anderson, Mark

Well, I should probably speak to that, Lance, if you don't mind.

0:41:8.700 --> 0:41:9.640

Burnham, Lance

Yeah. No, please do.

0:41:10.80 --> 0:41:16.10

Anderson, Mark

So we're gonna talk about conflating multiple different data points.

0:41:17.690 --> 0:41:23.860

Anderson, Mark

To equate it to how Spillman worked, so Valcourt does report nibrs data.

0:41:24.750 --> 0:41:34.340

Anderson, Mark

Uh, because that's reported to the FBI, most agencies generally haven't wanted to call out how it reports neighbors data. It's possible, but we just take what the FBI.

0:41:35.450 --> 0:42:1.690

Anderson, Mark

A generates so to our initiative. I don't think that that's necessarily the data set we're going to approach. Agencies are able to identify their own individual call types, as Lance mentioned, but there's also a mapping to common called types. And so just I can't release the data because I don't have authorization too. But just as an example, until Lance's point there's 1200 roughly 12151 call types.

0:42:3.180 --> 0:42:8.730

Anderson, Mark

For individual agencies right now, and so that's if we take away all the duplications.

0:42:10.20 --> 0:42:39.430

Anderson, Mark

Of all the agencies in there, uh, but at the same time, I mean, there are going to be things that are very similar. For example, motor vehicle crash could have the same title or three different names. So there would be in its own right, there would be a process if we wanted to go by individual call types. But Valcour also has what's known as common call types. And so while individual agencies have the ability to create their own data set, they also have the ability to map that data.

0:42:39.850 --> 0:42:49.340

Anderson, Mark

To a common set, uh, which does follow closer to nyhus type data and there are 57 of those O.

0:42:49.540 --> 0:42:49.830

White, Paul

OK.

0:42:50.770 --> 0:42:52.400

Anderson, Mark

Can we do that work? Sure.

0:42:55.550 --> 0:42:58.890

Anderson, Mark

Even then, though, I mean if we just stuck with the 57 data points.

0:43:0.190 --> 0:43:10.710

Anderson, Mark

I mean, it's there are one of the the common call types is called administrative. And I mean arguably we could say that an administrative call type generates no dispatch.

0:43:11.470 --> 0:43:30.680

Anderson, Mark

While at work, but some agencies depend heavily on dispatch. Some agencies don't, so I don't think this is so much of an issue around data. I think this is an issue around resources available to small versus large agencies and how they work with with the system, which is where it will become incredibly problematic.

0:43:31.460 --> 0:43:34.810

Anderson, Mark

For us to try and develop what is and is not.

0:43:37.870 --> 0:43:38.200

White, Paul

OK.

0:43:37.360 --> 0:43:44.790

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I I I can tell you that yesterday afternoon and I just delving into Valve core and looking all this I.

0:43:45.950 --> 0:43:48.190

Burnham, Lance

Got quickly overwhelmed and.

0:43:50.150 --> 0:43:53.70

Burnham, Lance

Mainly because of the, as Mark said the.

0:43:53.880 --> 0:44:18.990

Burnham, Lance

The 1200 different type of natures and some every agency uses them for different things. What I see is, as directed patrol. Should we be charging for a directive patrol? Well, some agencies have a directed patrol, but it's a huge draw on dispatch because they're running every plate and stopping everything that moves. Where you may have one agency that uses a directed patrol and all they're doing is.

0:44:19.760 --> 0:44:21.450

Burnham, Lance

You know, walking around the community.

0:44:22.690 --> 0:44:28.220

Burnham, Lance

Doing proactive police work, however, there really isn't any drawn on dispatch.

0:44:30.490 --> 0:44:38.320

Burnham, Lance

But yeah, so that kind of brought up the for me of with everything that's here, it it might be easier.

0:44:39.280 --> 0:44:44.510

Burnham, Lance

Like what is not? What should we just take out? Because I think that's gonna be a lot easier.

0:44:46.190 --> 0:44:47.610

White, Paul

Barb got your hand up.

0:44:50.480 --> 0:44:55.180

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, I just had a couple questions about the valve core and the call types, so.

0:44:56.860 --> 0:44:57.400

Neal, Barbara

Of the.

0:44:59.230 --> 0:45:6.850

Neal, Barbara

Of the of the 57 common call types is that across police, fire and EMS, or is that just law enforcement?

0:45:9.530 --> 0:45:14.350

Anderson, Mark

A bar give me a second and I will try to get you definitive answer. I'm not positive.

0:45:14.120 --> 0:45:17.460

Neal, Barbara

And then my other question is I think related.

0:45:19.690 --> 0:45:24.300

Neal, Barbara

So there's 12151 call types that are used by individual agencies.

0:45:24.950 --> 0:45:36.120

Neal, Barbara

But they can be mapped back to common call types. Are they required to be mapped back to common call types? Is my next question. So if you zeroed in on common call types, are we really getting a picture?

0:45:38.290 --> 0:45:41.890

Anderson, Mark

Uh, to answer your first question, it does not.

0:45:43.280 --> 0:45:47.50

Anderson, Mark

Appear required for fire and EMS, though I think they can.

0:45:47.840 --> 0:45:48.250

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:45:49.980 --> 0:46:1.840

Anderson, Mark

They can and then in terms of your second question, is not forced. So an agency could omit a common call type for some reason and then it won't be reported out.

0:46:2.590 --> 0:46:3.40

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:46:3.790 --> 0:46:29.550

Neal, Barbara

OK. I just that when we did some work that Roger alluded to when he was when he was talking earlier, really trying to drill down about what types of calls are coming in over the 911 lines we wanted to, we wanted to continue that work to understand. So we know the workload on the 911 lines we were trying to have an understanding of the workload on the dispatch lines and.

0:46:30.400 --> 0:46:43.160

Neal, Barbara

I haven't been able for all the reasons just described. I think to get that picture and I'm just, I just think that's critically important to the work that this group is doing is knowing what that workload is.

0:46:44.500 --> 0:46:48.230

Neal, Barbara

So I guess my point is, I'm just curious if there's a way to even get.

0:46:48.960 --> 0:46:50.870

Neal, Barbara

Close I mean.

0:46:52.650 --> 0:47:14.600

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, even with our 911 data we had, we had a essentially listened to about 3000 calls that happened in the beginning of this year and drew some conclusions on call types based from our review of individual calls. Now that's not possible in a in a larger set of records or even on an ongoing basis for us, but.

0:47:16.10 --> 0:47:21.220

Neal, Barbara

I just I I think it's important to have a very clear picture of the dispatch workload.

0:47:23.40 --> 0:47:24.810

Neal, Barbara

And I wish I knew a way to get there.

0:47:25.260 --> 0:47:31.50

Anderson, Mark

So two responses to that Barb, one is just take this as but one agency.

0:47:31.570 --> 0:47:48.520

Anderson, Mark

UM examples of ones that don't have common call types associated with it is called cancel call. So it's kind of a non a non call. So do we wanna associate dispatch time with it or not? Probably not. But maybe because they are at least answering the phone.

0:47:50.0 --> 0:47:50.900

Anderson, Mark

The.

0:47:51.820 --> 0:47:57.210

Anderson, Mark

Another ones a late entry call which I don't know how this agency uses that, but it's there.

0:47:58.710 --> 0:48:2.640

Anderson, Mark

The second part is that the common call types are generally associated.

0:48:4.80 --> 0:48:9.650

Anderson, Mark

For the agency, and so the agency has the ability to to modify it, so the.

0:48:10.620 --> 0:48:24.200

Anderson, Mark

An an agency would have to actively go in and try to disrupt their common call types or take the effort to disrupt their call types to miss the data as opposed to we have a gap in the data if that makes sense.

0:48:29.150 --> 0:48:35.120

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, I think that does make sense. I mean that kind of says to me that the common call types might be worth looking at.

0:48:40.380 --> 0:48:43.190

Neal, Barbara

But that's all I have for questions on that. Thanks.

0:48:43.920 --> 0:49:14.330

White, Paul

And so again, forgive my ignorance about Valcour, but I'm assuming that again, making a comparison to Spillman in Spillman, there was a difference between the incident whether it's a low incident, a fire incident, or an EMS incident. The difference between that and the call. So like some calls generate an incident, some calls don't generate an incident like in Spillman, it would be a miscellaneous call or an informational call. Would it documents the dispatchers work who they spoke with, what the conversation was?

0:49:14.510 --> 0:49:23.210

White, Paul

But it doesn't generate an incident for the police officer, the firefighter or the Mt to have to write up. I assume that the valcour is not doesn't go along the same lines.

0:49:23.660 --> 0:49:28.900

Anderson, Mark

That they do not. So as a a basic explanation of valcor.

0:49:30.40 --> 0:49:38.410

Anderson, Mark

For the people who are cops back in the the 80s and before, this is based off of what I believe was called the seven part folder nine part folder.

0:49:39.110 --> 0:49:41.780

Anderson, Mark

Uh. And so the idea being, uh.

0:49:42.490 --> 0:49:59.640

Anderson, Mark

That that folder could address the most serious crime, such as a homicide, and it could also address the

least serious law enforcement investigations, such as the motor vehicle stop or a traffic hazard, or whatever it is, and so each part of that folder has an associated.

0:50:0.860 --> 0:50:24.590

Anderson, Mark

Heading and so the initial call, uh, that's where the dispatchers work is primarily done, whereas people involved. And so there's a slot in the folder, if you will, for people and vehicles and any crimes and reports. And so it breaks it out into that organization. So it is a different workflow than than Spillman in that regard.

0:50:25.190 --> 0:50:33.0

Anderson, Mark

Uh, the the way that we are able to capture data, to identify what a dispatcher's done versus.

0:50:34.660 --> 0:50:39.510

Anderson, Mark

Versus how Spillman would have looked at it, I think it's still possible.

0:50:41.210 --> 0:50:55.330

Anderson, Mark

There's a lot of data points that are collected under the hood of Alcor. For who's doing what in the system, and really that comes back to auditing appropriate and inappropriate actions where they to occur. And so I think we could actually with some.

0:50:56.370 --> 0:51:3.780

Anderson, Mark

Some engineering work from the developer probably get a metric that could report out if we requested that metric.

0:51:7.660 --> 0:51:13.390

Anderson, Mark

That request would need to come from a sponsoring agency, and the program Managers group which?

0:51:15.350 --> 0:51:22.350

Anderson, Mark

I mean, certainly my agency could do, DPS could do. Anybody could do it just needs to. The request needs to be made to the developer.

0:51:25.530 --> 0:51:27.620

White, Paul

OK. Thank you for that explanation.

0:51:30.420 --> 0:51:35.880

White, Paul

Umm, so I know. Mandy, you are about to jump off and you are the one managing that working document.

0:51:40.370 --> 0:51:40.620

White, Paul

OK.

0:51:41.540 --> 0:51:41.930

White, Paul

OK.

0:51:38.440 --> 0:51:43.150

Wooster, Mandy

Lance should also have that document, and if not, I can forward it along. Before Lance, do you have that already?

0:51:43.470 --> 0:51:46.110

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I have it up. I can share the screen if you have to leave many.

0:51:45.820 --> 0:51:46.110

White, Paul

OK.

0:51:47.340 --> 0:51:47.720

Wooster, Mandy

Thank you.

0:51:50.120 --> 0:51:50.360

Burnham, Lance

Just.

0:51:53.370 --> 0:51:54.150

Burnham, Lance

Hold on a second.

0:52:0.930 --> 0:52:1.700

Burnham, Lance

Can you see that?

0:52:2.390 --> 0:52:2.840

White, Paul

Yes.

0:52:3.490 --> 0:52:3.770

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:52:5.790 --> 0:52:6.260

Burnham, Lance

Uh.

0:52:5.510 --> 0:52:6.900

White, Paul

A little small, but it's.

0:52:7.740 --> 0:52:8.900

Burnham, Lance

Small, OK.

0:52:9.20 --> 0:52:9.820

White, Paul

Yeah, that's alright.

0:52:11.460 --> 0:52:11.820

Burnham, Lance

Better.

0:52:11.370 --> 0:52:12.800

White, Paul

Oh, that's much better, yes.

0:52:14.190 --> 0:52:16.700

Burnham, Lance

My my 50 year old eyes are the same problem, right?

0:52:16.900 --> 0:52:18.80

White, Paul

Yeah.

0:52:19.850 --> 0:52:28.800

Burnham, Lance

Alright, I really didn't have anything else on the PD side marked anything else you wanted to add to that other than what we haven't discussed already?

0:52:29.760 --> 0:52:31.410

Anderson, Mark

No, I think you hit it all in. Thank you.

0:52:31.760 --> 0:52:35.70

Burnham, Lance

OK. Bob, did you get a chance to?

0:52:37.150 --> 0:52:38.520

Burnham, Lance

Speak about the fireside.

0:52:41.770 --> 0:52:53.280

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

We are in the process of gathering some info and again we have certain incident types that we track through the National Fire Incident Reporting system and I think that's gonna be a potential source of.

0:52:54.460 --> 0:52:57.690

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

How we can group these so that you know we have an idea?

0:52:59.50 --> 0:53:28.200

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

We've been working with, you know, the dispatch stuff, at least here in our area, for a long time and, you know pretty much, we know where the calls typically come from and what they typically are. I don't think it's quite as involved as law enforcement is. I think probably we're looking at somewhere in the vicinity of maybe 50 or so typical calls, call types that are out there.

0:53:28.980 --> 0:53:55.540

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

One of the things that you know, we look at too is a lot of times we're doing things in concert with law enforcement and in concert with EMS. So you know, how will that be treated from the standpoint, let's say we have a motor vehicle crash and you know, right now basically the call for us goes into Westminster and fire police and EMS are all basically dispatched from.

0:53:56.180 --> 0:54:14.420

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

The peace app at this point and so there are probably some very frequent ones there that you know, come to mind right away such as motor vehicle crashes. Obviously structure fires are pretty much structure fires, hazmat calls though can have a larger.

0:54:15.640 --> 0:54:40.290

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Involvement from the standpoint of the fire service and also a lot more that the folks out in the field need dispatch to help with, such as notifying the state hazmat team, such as notifying potentially the Spillers folks as far as you know what the state has mat team wants them to do or what the local department needs them to do to get somebody there who's a responsible party.

0:54:41.620 --> 0:55:0.130

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

So pretty much, you know, we deal with structure fires. We also deal with here in Killington search and rescue. So we're again working in with DPS, search and rescue resources, but we're all working together. So again the dispatcher right now is a key.

0:55:0.850 --> 0:55:5.780

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Person in that situation, they'll call uh.

0:55:6.610 --> 0:55:31.70

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Your your officer, who's in charge of your search and rescue team, and tell them that there's a call and then usually a decision will be made if they want to activate, for example, Killington search and rescue. So the call comes out to us. Once that happens again the dispatcher is kind of the go between between

DPS and our locals, at least until we get to the scene. Both of us and then we can work on the scene together. But there's a lot of.

0:55:31.340 --> 0:55:34.230

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

You know, stuff that goes on in between there so.

0:55:34.580 --> 0:55:39.130

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Uh, you get other things that are like a simple fire alarm drop.

0:55:39.590 --> 0:55:54.650

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

A company calls in alarm company calls in and says. You know, we're the alarm monitoring company for the XYZ company and they have a fire alarm at, for example GE Wincrest Rd. They had that this morning.

0:55:55.700 --> 0:55:57.100

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

And again.

0:55:58.520 --> 0:56:8.620

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

That information is taken by the dispatcher and yes, it's a thing that they have to deal with as far as getting the information out to the local departments so.

0:56:9.720 --> 0:56:20.180

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Again, I'm working on this in a lot more detail and talking to a number of agencies about it to make sure that I'm capturing everything that I need. But you know, we have a pretty good understanding I think, of what.

0:56:20.900 --> 0:56:21.820

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Overall.

0:56:22.690 --> 0:56:28.180

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Types of incidents that dispatch is going to typically deal with us on and to what level.

0:56:29.380 --> 0:56:30.620

Burnham, Lance

OK. Thank you.

0:56:35.100 --> 0:56:40.670

Burnham, Lance

And just to continue on briefly, drew, were you able to do anything with the Ms on the EMS side?

0:56:44.720 --> 0:56:54.670

Drew Hazelton

So as far as an easy way to kind of track EMS activity, that doesn't actually really exist. Vermont does have.

0:56:55.910 --> 0:57:21.220

Drew Hazelton

Siren, which is a reporting system that is required to have ambulance services required to report into in January, rules changed and had first response. Services are now required, but we still don't have 100% of services in Vermont reporting, so there's no single source that would give us information about EMS total EMS activity in Vermont.

0:57:22.600 --> 0:57:38.460

Drew Hazelton

So we do know about ambulance, ambulance transport is really the only kind of definitive chunk of information we could provide from any of the EMS databases. We looking at kind of call types and where.

0:57:39.480 --> 0:58:7.390

Drew Hazelton

Kind of the dispatchers and EMS cross paths, the the obvious ones would be 911 in some areas the dispatchers do track and keep track of the resources for hospital, hospital transports standby for events. Again, kind of like the law enforcement directed patrol discussion for fairs and parades and those type of activities.

0:58:8.610 --> 0:58:10.130

Drew Hazelton

Again, we crossed paths with.

0:58:11.190 --> 0:58:15.120

Drew Hazelton

Fire service and law enforcement on searches.

0:58:17.190 --> 0:58:24.930

Drew Hazelton

Disaster evacuation and sheltering. We do a lot of work with dispatching on on that and I guess so. One other area that I think is.

0:58:26.310 --> 0:58:46.660

Drew Hazelton

And I don't know how we tie this together, but this whole mental health response and how the dispatch interacts with providing law enforcement with the information they need to make decisions about response and providing that information to EMS, I'm still very concerned about.

0:58:47.840 --> 0:59:3.680

Drew Hazelton

The lack of information that actually gets put forward to the EMS providers in the field, you know, recently we had crews standing by at a homicide that VSP knew was a homicide, but it was never relayed to the EMS crews that were in the field. In fact the.

0:59:4.560 --> 0:59:8.430

Drew Hazelton

You know the dispatcher on the other end that was giving law enforcement information.

0:59:9.890 --> 0:59:16.900

Drew Hazelton

Said that, the suspect was in the area and come to find out that EMS staging area was the same place that.

0:59:18.950 --> 0:59:27.360

Drew Hazelton

That law enforcement had had identified the the potential suspect, so you know, those are kind of the areas. Unfortunately, there's no good.

0:59:28.380 --> 0:59:32.390

Drew Hazelton

Good single source of data that we can provide for emails.

0:59:36.350 --> 0:59:36.700

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:59:37.600 --> 0:59:43.690

Burnham, Lance

And just to be fair, I can, I can assure you that it's usually dispatched. That's the last to know that it's on the side as well.

0:59:48.900 --> 0:59:49.350

Burnham, Lance

OK.

0:59:48.720 --> 1:0:7.330

White, Paul

Through a dude, do we have an idea how many do we know how many EMS agencies there are total in the state? I mean, would it be a huge task? I assume that if if we had the ability and I'm not saying we do necessarily, but if we were to contact each individual EMS agency in the state, do they individually track their own today?

1:0:8.720 --> 1:0:9.160

White, Paul

Report.

1:0:9.860 --> 1:0:18.460

White, Paul

So that we could compile it. I know you're saying that there's no central source of gathering that data because not everybody participates or not everybody contributes their data, but.

1:0:20.550 --> 1:0:30.330

Drew Hazelton

So theoretically, yes, that would be possible. We've tried it before from the EMS Advisory Committee trying to get data about EMS responses we.

1:0:30.900 --> 1:0:32.560

Drew Hazelton

Uh, typically.

1:0:33.270 --> 1:0:38.0

Drew Hazelton

Get about 40 or 50% participation in gathering that information.

1:0:39.390 --> 1:0:49.240

Drew Hazelton

But you know the the theory is if we called them all, we might be able to get that. Excuse me. That data, one of the other pieces is a lot of that is overlapping data so.

1:1:6.450 --> 1:1:6.660

White, Paul

Right.

1:0:50.460 --> 1:1:8.340

Drew Hazelton

Many first response calls end up with a dispatch of an ambulance. Quite often, those are different agencies, but there are some first response calls that do not trigger an ambulance response and those are the ones that we're capturing or not capturing. So all the EMS calls that.

1:1:14.710 --> 1:1:14.980

White, Paul

OK.

1:1:9.240 --> 1:1:21.260

Drew Hazelton

For your e-mail and response, do report 100% of ambulance services report into siren. It's just the calls that are being handled solely by first response that we're not capturing.

1:1:31.610 --> 1:1:32.120

White, Paul

OK.

1:1:35.230 --> 1:1:41.20

Drew Hazelton

Would that be helpful data? I mean that you know, as far as ambulance response by region, we I mean that.

1:1:42.180 --> 1:1:45.350

Drew Hazelton

If that data is helpful, that's something we can certainly get pretty easily.

1:1:48.760 --> 1:1:54.940

White, Paul

What do you think, Lance? I mean, I hate to have someone do a bunch of work that's not necessary and ultimately isn't used, but.

1:1:58.100 --> 1:1:58.790

Burnham, Lance

UM.

1:2:1.300 --> 1:2:2.90

Burnham, Lance

I don't know.

1:2:4.610 --> 1:2:8.660

Burnham, Lance

I'm gonna ask probably a question that I should probably know the answer to, but.

1:2:10.110 --> 1:2:11.60

Burnham, Lance

For.

1:2:13.140 --> 1:2:16.920

Burnham, Lance

A Jew? You do not have access to Valcour currently correct.

1:2:17.960 --> 1:2:18.410

Drew Hazelton

Correct.

1:2:20.400 --> 1:2:22.330

Burnham, Lance

Do agencies.

1:2:23.140 --> 1:2:27.60

Burnham, Lance

Like if they're not dispatched, if they're not dispatched by VSP.

1:2:28.340 --> 1:2:36.230

Burnham, Lance

Do agencies such as Hartford or whoever that agent do they assign incident numbers to the EMS agencies?

1:2:37.810 --> 1:2:38.290

Drew Hazelton

Yes.

1:2:37.810 --> 1:2:39.680

Burnham, Lance

Even though they may not have access to it.

1:2:48.640 --> 1:2:49.0

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

1:2:41.50 --> 1:2:50.410

Drew Hazelton

So they wouldn't be necessarily incident numbers in your system. So for example, in our area, we're dispatched out of keen mutual aid. So they're incident numbers.

1:2:51.770 --> 1:2:56.680

Drew Hazelton

In like the Middlebury area, they they're taking from 9 on one, so there'd be a Vermont.

1:2:57.300 --> 1:3:4.110

Drew Hazelton

But like down here, those 911 calls quite often go to New Hampshire because of the tower locations, and they never come through Vermont at all.

1:3:4.710 --> 1:3:4.960

Burnham, Lance

Right.

1:3:7.240 --> 1:3:10.210

Drew Hazelton

I'm assuming it's probably similar on on both borders.

1:3:11.230 --> 1:3:15.240

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I'm. I don't know. I think that's a lot of work for.

1:3:16.0 --> 1:3:16.280

White, Paul

Yeah.

1:3:19.490 --> 1:3:20.0

White, Paul

So there's.

1:3:17.400 --> 1:3:20.310

Burnham, Lance

I'm not so sure we get out of it, especially looking.

1:3:21.60 --> 1:3:35.360

White, Paul

So there's no common numbering system for incidents like a. The cabin ambulance, wouldn't there? Run number wouldn't necessarily look the same as a run number from an ambulance in in southeastern Vermont.

1:3:36.400 --> 1:3:37.670

Drew Hazelton

Not at all. That would be completely.

1:3:37.930 --> 1:3:38.860

White, Paul

OK, OK.

1:3:41.360 --> 1:3:41.780

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Paul.

1:3:42.960 --> 1:3:44.940

White, Paul

Yes, Bob. Yeah, Bob, yes.

1:3:42.700 --> 1:4:13.230

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Bob Schlachter hear you hear me? Uh, yeah. I just wanted to add one more thing. If Lance could put it on the list and that is Department of Public Safety, has the Division of fire safety involved with it and they have the National Fire Incident Reporting System that they manage and would imagine some data could be drawn from there on specific incident types and numbers. Also, it's probably the only repository here in the state where most of the fire departments report their information as you were just saying with the EMS.

1:4:13.540 --> 1:4:45.430

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

If a fire department is not involved, let's say with the state dispatch right now at Westminster, they may be doing their own report numbers and keeping their own data, and that probably might get captured by the division of fire safety system. But there are still a number of departments in the state that don't comply with actually reporting like they should. And you know that's that's a handicap to us also. And this planning from the standpoint, if people understand the importance of participating in these.

1:4:45.510 --> 1:4:52.360

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Uh data systems. You know that this could help them in the long run. It would be great, but we have to try to work within what we got.

1:4:53.380 --> 1:4:53.630

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

1:4:54.380 --> 1:4:54.890

White, Paul

Thank you, Bob.

1:4:55.520 --> 1:4:55.900

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

OK.

1:5:0.860 --> 1:5:3.390

Burnham, Lance

OK. Drew, did you have anything else?

1:5:11.840 --> 1:5:12.920

White, Paul

Correct, right.

1:5:4.970 --> 1:5:16.970

Drew Hazelton

No, I would say it's likely that the the agencies that are not filing their fire reports are probably the same agencies that are running first response quads and not filing their first response or reports that there's supposed to.

1:5:16.740 --> 1:5:19.250

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Yeah, I think I think you're right on there, drew.

1:5:20.490 --> 1:5:20.880

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

1:5:20.440 --> 1:5:20.950

Drew Hazelton

So.

1:5:22.280 --> 1:5:22.650

Burnham, Lance

OK.

1:5:23.870 --> 1:5:33.280

Burnham, Lance

OK. And the only other thing that I have, Paul, is I do have a St Johnsbury PJ's billing mechanism that I can add into this.

1:5:34.740 --> 1:5:47.870

Burnham, Lance

It's very similar, quite frankly, to what Commissioner showing proposed, but on the cost per per call, but I'll make sure that I add this. I just want to make sure that they're aware of it and that it's actually public.

1:5:49.250 --> 1:5:50.500

Burnham, Lance

It's open to the public domain.

1:5:51.570 --> 1:5:51.840

Burnham, Lance

So.

1:5:53.50 --> 1:5:53.410

White, Paul

OK.

1:5:55.850 --> 1:6:2.80

White, Paul

So that document you're working on, I think you and Mandy had started with just, it started with an outline of the.

1:6:3.150 --> 1:6:6.780

White, Paul

The legislative mandate and you just sort of been typing in it. If I followed correctly.

1:6:7.420 --> 1:6:7.830

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

1:6:7.280 --> 1:6:7.930

White, Paul

Umm.

1:6:9.810 --> 1:6:18.580

White, Paul

We had, if I remember from watching your meeting last week, you hadn't. You basically get tackled the first page, you know the three, the three charge the three.

1:6:19.330 --> 1:6:20.200

White, Paul

Bullet points.

1:6:20.990 --> 1:6:26.810

White, Paul

Under creation, but you hadn't tackled the under the powers and duties, the four bullets on the second-half.

1:6:28.440 --> 1:6:28.890

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

1:6:28.10 --> 1:6:31.690

White, Paul

Was that she? Had you planned to go? Had you planned to go through that in the same fashion or?

1:6:41.910 --> 1:6:42.220

White, Paul

Right.

1:6:49.450 --> 1:6:49.740

White, Paul

Right.

1:6:32.580 --> 1:6:51.290

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I think it makes the most sense unless unless others wanna do it differently. But you know, I think like I said last week was let's just get started and get going. And but I to me that makes the most sense. But you know, I'm I'm I'm a I'm a worker bee here. I'm happy to go the other way as well.

1:6:51.470 --> 1:6:51.660

White, Paul

Right.

1:7:0.840 --> 1:7:1.160

Burnham, Lance

Right.

1:6:53.710 --> 1:7:3.400

White, Paul

Well, I think since we started that way, we may as well continue through the document. However, we are also down to 20 minutes left and we do need to allow for public comment.

1:7:4.90 --> 1:7:9.620

White, Paul

So if you think it would, if we have time to jump into the next section.

1:7:11.610 --> 1:7:12.450

Burnham, Lance

Uh, let me.

1:7:11.570 --> 1:7:14.230

White, Paul

We can do that. I think the the, the first one is the biggest one.

1:7:15.60 --> 1:7:25.860

White, Paul

That's where it says consider and document how current dispatch services are provided statewide, and that's kind of what you're working on now, I guess with all of the different funding models that you're collecting.

1:7:27.750 --> 1:7:33.160

White, Paul

Shell include detail town by town or by emergency service provider, which I guess is what you're doing.

1:7:33.740 --> 1:7:34.100

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

1:7:34.680 --> 1:7:38.810

White, Paul

In the analysis, shall identify any funding inequities that exist.

1:7:42.760 --> 1:7:43.850

Burnham, Lance

I think that one kind of.

1:7:48.320 --> 1:7:48.650

White, Paul

Right.

1:7:51.600 --> 1:7:51.850

White, Paul

Yeah.

1:7:45.70 --> 1:7:55.50

Burnham, Lance

Self-evident, where some towns paid none. Some towns pay whatever and who's to say what some are charging are are fair.

1:7:55.750 --> 1:7:56.0

White, Paul

Right.

1:7:55.970 --> 1:7:57.50

Burnham, Lance

But who knows?

1:7:57.800 --> 1:8:0.750

White, Paul

And I don't I I know it does. Yeah, go ahead.

1:7:57.830 --> 1:8:1.660

Anderson, Mark

Well, I called up for Stew pay for this touching.

1:8:2.540 --> 1:8:4.760

White, Paul

I'm sorry. Say it again, Mark. I talked over you.

1:8:3.230 --> 1:8:4.810

Anderson, Mark

Excuse me, sheriff.

1:8:10.550 --> 1:8:13.310

White, Paul

It does. It does make reference to.

1:8:14.200 --> 1:8:25.150

White, Paul

Detailed by town. I don't know how productive of it it would be for us to go through all 200 and what do we have now? We now have 252 municipalities. Now that we have the city of Essex Junction but.

1:8:27.700 --> 1:8:32.890

White, Paul

Don't know how productive that would be to go through town by town, city by city, to document what they're currently paying.

1:8:35.360 --> 1:8:36.450

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, and it would.

1:8:38.210 --> 1:8:43.50

Burnham, Lance

I mean, we can look at, we can take the agencies that VSP has and that.

1:8:46.150 --> 1:8:49.790

Burnham, Lance

We can dwindle it down a lot with that, but.

1:8:50.710 --> 1:8:52.380

Burnham, Lance

Uh, that's gonna be a.

1:8:53.230 --> 1:8:54.860

Burnham, Lance

As you said 252.

1:8:55.640 --> 1:8:58.330

Burnham, Lance

We dispatch for say.

1:8:59.440 --> 1:9:4.570

Burnham, Lance

Uh, I think we down now down to 199 I believe.

1:9:6.250 --> 1:9:6.740

Burnham, Lance

But.

1:9:16.840 --> 1:9:17.210

White, Paul

Right.

1:9:8.390 --> 1:9:18.740

Burnham, Lance

So we may dispatch per, say, Police Department in one town, but the EMS and the fire dispatched by somewhere else, so it may not be accurate.

1:9:18.810 --> 1:9:21.350

White, Paul

Right. Well, that and that's that's very common I think.

1:9:21.290 --> 1:9:22.500

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, it's very common.

1:9:23.950 --> 1:9:25.0

Burnham, Lance

So that's a.

1:9:25.700 --> 1:9:26.710

Burnham, Lance

We can look into that.

1:9:27.790 --> 1:9:28.890

Burnham, Lance

I'm curious.

1:9:29.460 --> 1:9:30.670

White, Paul

But is there any benefit to it?

1:9:35.930 --> 1:9:39.0

Burnham, Lance

I think if we had a year to write this report, yes.

1:9:39.0 --> 1:9:40.70

White, Paul

Yeah, right.

1:9:39.690 --> 1:9:41.510

Burnham, Lance

But knowing that we literally have.

1:9:42.800 --> 1:9:49.330

Burnham, Lance

Two to three months, three months on the on the good side. I don't know how we would accomplish if we have enough time to do that.

1:10:9.450 --> 1:10:9.850

Burnham, Lance

Yeah.

1:9:49.670 --> 1:10:12.480

White, Paul

Yeah, well, you know, on that point, I mean I think we can accomplish what we can accomplish in the time that we have. And I think this report is gonna have to say that there just was not sufficient time allocated and that for a more thorough analysis of what's out there, it's going to require more time and and some money, you know, I mean.

1:10:14.80 --> 1:10:22.60

White, Paul

This would be a lot more efficient if we had the ability to to hire somebody to do this work rather than each of us trying to do it. In addition to everything else on our plates.

1:10:22.500 --> 1:10:24.80

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, agreed.

1:10:25.330 --> 1:10:30.280

Burnham, Lance

But the only thing that we did talk about last week and I know Barb wasn't able to.

1:10:31.270 --> 1:10:36.980

Burnham, Lance

Excuse me to make last weeks meeting. The one thing we wanna bring up, just so we're transparent about it.

1:10:37.850 --> 1:10:47.130

Burnham, Lance

And I don't think this committee is anywhere near saying that we should look at this, but the Universal Fund is brought up all the time. It seems like we talked about it in every meeting.

1:10:47.810 --> 1:10:53.960

Burnham, Lance

But barbauld you have, would you potentially be able to write up like a small paragraph about what it is?

1:10:55.400 --> 1:10:55.660

Neal, Barbara

Sure.

1:10:55.500 --> 1:11:5.250

Burnham, Lance

And then just so we're putting it in the report and again, I don't think anybody here is recommending that we go along that line we we know the USF is frankly underfunded.

1:11:7.140 --> 1:11:7.680

Burnham, Lance

So.

1:11:7.600 --> 1:11:20.650

Neal, Barbara

It's yeah, it's underfunded. I mean, it's not able to support the programs that it's required by statute to support right now. So the money that's coming in is not enough. That's why we get some general fund money now the past couple years.

1:11:22.190 --> 1:11:50.990

Neal, Barbara

And why I believe the administration kind of pitched to have our funding, 911 funding moved to the General Fund. The legislature didn't do that. But I can certainly write a paragraph about what it is and how it's structured. Now. I will, by the way, run that by Clay Purvis, who spoke to this group couple weeks ago to make sure I've got all my eyes dotted and T's crossed on that. I think it would be important for this group to point out that.

1:11:51.90 --> 1:11:56.380

Neal, Barbara

The way it's structured now it it doesn't support what it already needs to support.

1:11:57.980 --> 1:12:9.610

Neal, Barbara

There are alternative, there are other ways to design that type of fund that other states have done and that information.

1:12:10.430 --> 1:12:26.420

Neal, Barbara

Or or pieces of that information I guess was actually presented to the legislature a year or so ago. So maybe we could tie it in and reference that. So anyway, long the answer your question is yes, I'll write the paragraph and I'll put all these little caveats in.

1:12:26.830 --> 1:12:27.600

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, that's fine.

1:12:27.240 --> 1:12:28.670

Neal, Barbara

As well, OK.

1:12:30.660 --> 1:12:32.730

Neal, Barbara

All I for next week.

1:12:34.830 --> 1:12:35.140

Burnham, Lance

There.

1:12:34.630 --> 1:12:35.240

Neal, Barbara

Does that work?

1:12:35.640 --> 1:12:36.590

White, Paul

If you're able to, yeah.

1:12:37.20 --> 1:12:37.300

Burnham, Lance

Yep.

1:12:38.140 --> 1:12:38.350

Neal, Barbara

OK.

1:12:38.210 --> 1:12:38.590

Burnham, Lance

Thank you.

1:12:39.280 --> 1:12:39.810

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

1:12:43.600 --> 1:12:48.10

White, Paul

Before we open it up to public comment, are there any other?

1:12:48.960 --> 1:12:56.120

White, Paul

Guests or guest speakers that anybody would like to hear from. I don't. I have. I've brought in everybody that I had in mind.

1:12:57.460 --> 1:12:59.230

White, Paul

I think for me today was helpful.

1:13:1.280 --> 1:13:21.380

White, Paul

But are there any other either people currently running dispatch centers that we might want to? You know, we heard from Roger about his dispatch center. I don't know if somebody from Shelburne or Saint Albans or would be willing to come in or just is of any value is there anybody that the group would like to hear from that I should be setting up for next week?

1:13:32.420 --> 1:13:32.690

White, Paul

OK.

1:13:35.510 --> 1:13:36.500

White, Paul

I'll take that as a no.

1:13:39.0 --> 1:13:45.430

White, Paul

Is there any other business before any other business that the working group would like to bring up before I open it up for public comment?

1:13:53.70 --> 1:13:53.340

White, Paul

OK.

1:13:54.500 --> 1:13:58.250

White, Paul

Hearing none, public comment, who do we have out there?

1:14:1.670 --> 1:14:3.630

advocate (Guest)

I'm out there, but I don't need to go first.

1:14:4.380 --> 1:14:9.250

White, Paul

OK. Well, is there anybody else besides Steve that wants to make public comment?

1:14:14.140 --> 1:14:15.0

White, Paul

Think you're it, Steve?

1:14:16.460 --> 1:14:17.310

advocate (Guest)

OK.

1:14:18.410 --> 1:14:33.940

advocate (Guest)

There's well, there's this early overlap on some prior things that I've said, but I would like to ask that the committee considered discussing some of the issues I raised in the prior public comments. I'm not doing this just for the transcript or my own record, but issues of.

1:14:34.740 --> 1:14:35.310

advocate (Guest)

What?

1:14:36.90 --> 1:14:40.340

advocate (Guest)

Are really on the scope of this work group that are unresolved issues.

1:14:43.870 --> 1:14:51.570

advocate (Guest)

I see a real inherent conflict between the trajectory of the department handing out regional grants that may be.

1:14:52.550 --> 1:14:59.270

advocate (Guest)

Totally inconsistent with the transition timing that could be recommended by this group and its report.

1:15:0.50 --> 1:15:5.920

advocate (Guest)

Umm, I think there's body should discuss recommendations whether or not.

1:15:6.760 --> 1:15:9.130

advocate (Guest)

Governance is adequate, whether or not.

1:15:11.630 --> 1:15:23.570

advocate (Guest)

The department is gonna stick strictly to its guidelines. I'm aware that you know some of these applications aren't gonna strictly meet the guidelines. And will the department find a way to, you know, weasel around that?

1:15:25.60 --> 1:15:32.330

advocate (Guest)

I think that the department needs to get on board with this work group and ask the Joint Fiscal Committee to allocate some of the funding.

1:15:32.900 --> 1:15:50.520

advocate (Guest)

On two, getting that statewide planning done that statewide legal and governance support for emerging regions or authorities, as well as radio systems assessment and design, and whether or not those include both LMR and LTE.

1:15:51.380 --> 1:15:58.160

advocate (Guest)

Alright, I think we'll really miss an opportunity if we do this piece meal and and start around the edges.

1:16:2.290 --> 1:16:12.960

advocate (Guest)

In fact, is this work group gonna sanction the poor planning that is being proposed in in the regional dispatch grant applications? You know, there's a.

1:16:13.640 --> 1:16:25.620

advocate (Guest)

Inconsistency in the requirement saying you can't do any engineering but here with with this money. But we're gonna throw money at you and go ahead and build something that's not engineered. I mean that's.

1:16:26.470 --> 1:16:27.510

advocate (Guest)

That's embarrassing.

1:16:28.650 --> 1:16:29.220

advocate (Guest)

Ohh.

1:16:33.920 --> 1:16:36.800

advocate (Guest)

Somebody's making a lot of noise and they can meet their microphone.

1:16:41.660 --> 1:16:42.980

advocate (Guest)

I can't tell who it is.

1:16:47.160 --> 1:16:48.160

advocate (Guest)

Ohh it's forest.

1:16:48.990 --> 1:16:54.460

advocate (Guest)

The Nexus to funding sources you mentioned the the marijuana.

1:16:55.60 --> 1:17:7.690

advocate (Guest)

Uh, tax or whatever it's going to be called. I would also suggest that the liquor control, the amount we raised on revenue probably generates more need for emergency services than.

1:17:8.190 --> 1:17:21.0

advocate (Guest)

Alright. And partners, the new jewel settlement and the tobacco settlement, all of those contribute to the demand for emergency services and dispatch. So no, that's a.

1:17:21.970 --> 1:17:30.790

advocate (Guest)

The tobacco settlement is an ongoing source of funds. I don't know enough about the jewel settlement, which just happened yesterday or was just in the press yesterday.

1:17:33.720 --> 1:17:51.910

advocate (Guest)

So fundamentally I I think it's a waste of time to dive into the minutiae of caught 57 or 123 call types. I think the real issue is who's paying how much for dispatch, and I proceeded to collect as much of that information as I can get hold of. I still got.

1:17:53.260 --> 1:17:53.740

advocate (Guest)

Uh.

1:17:54.980 --> 1:18:8.500

advocate (Guest)

But going forward and a statewide recommendation for an equitable funding system is either gonna be, you know, 5050 state and local or 6040 or 7030 or 8020 and it's gonna.

1:18:9.430 --> 1:18:19.500

advocate (Guest)

Incorporate state standards into how calls are dispatched, and more so with the more money it is the state contribution. So.

1:18:20.630 --> 1:18:20.960

advocate (Guest)

The.

1:18:22.960 --> 1:18:30.810

advocate (Guest)

I also think we there's a caution that everyone is assuming that balfours is a given ballcourts might be a train wreck, you know Valcour.

1:18:31.540 --> 1:18:32.390

advocate (Guest)

Contracts.

1:18:33.60 --> 1:19:3.160

advocate (Guest)

Has issues. It's not a CAD system, it's a records management system. It may or may not ever be a CAD system and it has conflict of interest written all over it and it it may train wreck and to the degree we're building a dispatch model on the assumption that balfours were isolating ourselves from all the train dispatchers around the country who might be willing to move here but not be willing to come here and switch to valve cores if all their experiences in film and or any any of the other leading.

1:19:3.290 --> 1:19:3.910

advocate (Guest)

Personal.

1:19:5.620 --> 1:19:6.820

advocate (Guest)

Off the shelf software.

1:19:8.830 --> 1:19:9.430

advocate (Guest)

That's.

1:19:10.660 --> 1:19:11.760

advocate (Guest)

Probably enough for now.

1:19:15.70 --> 1:19:17.490

White, Paul

OK. Thank you, Steve, Kim Cheney.

1:19:26.220 --> 1:19:27.350

White, Paul

I came up.

1:19:26.560 --> 1:19:27.530

advocate (Guest)

You're muted.

1:19:28.920 --> 1:19:30.990

White, Paul

I can see you have your hand up, Kim, but I'm not hearing you.

1:19:30.720 --> 1:19:32.550

kim cheney (Guest)

Yeah. Can you hear me now?

1:19:32.810 --> 1:19:33.640

White, Paul

We hear you now.

1:19:33.990 --> 1:19:34.580

kim cheney (Guest)

OK.

1:19:37.600 --> 1:19:39.10

kim cheney (Guest)

I'm interested in.

1:19:40.540 --> 1:19:44.630

kim cheney (Guest)

The number of grants that have been submitted for a **** amount of money.

1:19:45.950 --> 1:19:48.460

kim cheney (Guest)

And are they available on?

1:19:49.920 --> 1:19:52.510

kim cheney (Guest)

So anybody can read those grants.

1:19:54.240 --> 1:19:55.800

kim cheney (Guest)

And 2nd.

1:19:57.80 --> 1:19:58.630

kim cheney (Guest)

The grant.

1:19:59.540 --> 1:20:0.150

kim cheney (Guest)

Umm.

1:20:2.190 --> 1:20:8.280

kim cheny (Guest)

Itself the the RFP for the grants says that this group.

1:20:9.10 --> 1:20:16.440

kim cheny (Guest)

We'll come up with criteria for evaluating all the grants and that each Member of this group must.

1:20:17.160 --> 1:20:19.320

kim cheny (Guest)

Individually review a grant.

1:20:20.990 --> 1:20:24.540

kim cheny (Guest)

And there to be scored in some way.

1:20:25.750 --> 1:20:26.390

kim cheny (Guest)

Umm.

1:20:29.850 --> 1:20:32.160

kim cheny (Guest)

That seems to me to raise some.

1:20:33.380 --> 1:20:36.340

kim cheny (Guest)

Conflict of interest questions because.

1:20:37.490 --> 1:20:39.120

kim cheny (Guest)

If an agency.

1:20:40.160 --> 1:20:40.970

kim cheny (Guest)

Like.

1:20:42.330 --> 1:20:49.740

kim cheny (Guest)

Certainly, Chief Pete and Sheriff Anderson's agencies have submitted grants.

1:20:51.480 --> 1:20:55.500

kim cheny (Guest)

Is it a conflict of interest for them to review their own grant?

1:20:57.230 --> 1:20:57.930

kim cheny (Guest)

And.

1:20:59.440 --> 1:21:0.380

kim cheney (Guest)

Are they?

1:21:2.760 --> 1:21:12.560

kim cheney (Guest)

It raises the conflict question for me, should they even participate in how the criteria are established because?

1:21:13.860 --> 1:21:14.540

kim cheney (Guest)

Umm.

1:21:15.980 --> 1:21:19.330

kim cheney (Guest)

If you have your own granted and then you have.

1:21:20.510 --> 1:21:22.800

kim cheney (Guest)

Couple of votes or something to.

1:21:24.240 --> 1:21:26.30

kim cheney (Guest)

Hello criteria.

1:21:28.170 --> 1:21:35.700

kim cheney (Guest)

I wonder if they should be recused from any participation in review of the grants. Those are.

1:21:36.610 --> 1:21:40.30

kim cheney (Guest)

I don't think you even gotten to that point yet, but I'm.

1:21:41.730 --> 1:21:45.470

kim cheney (Guest)

Suggesting that it's a problem that you should look at.

1:21:48.10 --> 1:22:18.80

White, Paul

Thank you for those comments. I will say that the the grant process, you know the the RFP or the notice of funding opportunity or whatever you want to call it, the the Department of Public Safety is currently doing is entirely outside of the scope of this working group. I don't know what DPS is planning to do for a screening process. I assume there's a screening committee to look at those grant applications, but I have no idea who is on that committee, but it does not involve this working you know.

1:22:18.160 --> 1:22:18.690

White, Paul

It.

1:22:19.800 --> 1:22:31.490

White, Paul

At first glance, it would stand to reason we're talking about funding for dispatch and we have a working group looking at dispatch. It would stand to reason that the two were sort of working in concert, but they are not. This this working group that we are.

1:22:32.240 --> 1:22:58.830

White, Paul

Meeting on here has uh, you know, specific charge from the legislature and and in no way does it include anything to do with the grant process that DPS is currently running. Now, whether or not there are people on this working group who also represent agencies that are applying for grants, and I guess whether or not they have a conflict of interest is to be determined. But we as a group, the ten of us assigned to this working group.

1:23:0.70 --> 1:23:2.500

White, Paul

In this capacity anyway, have no.

1:23:3.860 --> 1:23:15.200

Anderson, Mark

No interaction with the grant process Mark. I see you have your hand up. Yeah. Thanks, Paul. Just in addition to that, and since I was specifically addressed in Mr Cheney's comments.

1:23:15.580 --> 1:23:39.260

Anderson, Mark

Uh on I have no involvement with the grant selection or criteria generation. I did conflict out for perceived conflict of interest reasons as as I am applying for a grant and the Sheriff Association named individual sheriff who is not applying for the grant and has no involvement. So I just want to be clear for the purpose of transparency.

1:23:40.270 --> 1:23:45.900

kim cheney (Guest)

Ohh thank you. I'm glad to hear that, but I'm a little mystified when you tell me that.

1:23:47.80 --> 1:23:58.330

kim cheney (Guest)

DPS is gonna be the sole evaluator of the grants when the grant RFP itself says this body is to do that.

1:23:59.120 --> 1:24:5.90

kim cheney (Guest)

I mean how can DPS put out a grant application and then ignore it?

1:24:7.100 --> 1:24:7.380

kim cheney (Guest)

That.

1:24:6.920 --> 1:24:13.960

White, Paul

I don't know where. I don't know where you read that this body was gonna be doing the screening for the grant process, cause that's news to me.

1:24:14.200 --> 1:24:16.540

kim cheny (Guest)

Some page 11 of the RFP.

1:24:18.630 --> 1:24:25.230

White, Paul

OK. Well, I haven't. I haven't read the RFP because it's I didn't think I had anything to do with it, but I will take a look at that.

1:24:26.250 --> 1:24:28.510

White, Paul

Uh, lances are OK.

1:24:25.960 --> 1:24:30.590

kim cheny (Guest)

Well, the other, the other issue is I think the.

1:24:31.860 --> 1:24:32.670

kim cheny (Guest)

The.

1:24:33.640 --> 1:24:37.250

kim cheny (Guest)

DPS Grant anagement plan.

1:24:38.320 --> 1:24:40.630

kim cheny (Guest)

Applies to these grants.

1:24:42.390 --> 1:24:44.10

kim cheny (Guest)

Even though they're state funded.

1:24:45.310 --> 1:24:48.10

kim cheny (Guest)

And if there's a question about that, I'd urge.

1:24:52.10 --> 1:24:54.800

kim cheny (Guest)

Captain Bullet Burnham to ask your.

1:24:56.130 --> 1:25:2.160

kim cheny (Guest)

Agency Council, if that's correct or not, that's how I read your grant plan.

1:25:5.910 --> 1:25:6.140

White, Paul

OK.

1:25:7.950 --> 1:25:28.10

White, Paul

And again, I I'm aware of the fact there there is a grant process taking place, but I have not delved into the details of the RFP because like I said, I'm not aware of any link between that process and this working group, but I will. I will look into that. And Lance, I'll have a conversation with you offline.

1:25:28.400 --> 1:25:35.430

kim cheney (Guest)

Well, just to throw one more problem into this the the RFP.

1:25:37.370 --> 1:25:49.990

kim cheney (Guest)

Let me back up a minute. The Montpelier group did not submit a complete application. They left out some important details, which I won't go into at the moment.

1:25:51.40 --> 1:25:54.70

kim cheney (Guest)

But that could either disqualify them.

1:25:56.190 --> 1:25:59.260

kim cheney (Guest)

Or I think with the RFP says.

1:26:0.250 --> 1:26:4.430

kim cheney (Guest)

Is this group can decide whether to give him another shot to.

1:26:5.680 --> 1:26:6.750

kim cheney (Guest)

To.

1:26:10.140 --> 1:26:15.100

kim cheney (Guest)

Corrected I I think the answer to that is no, but I think those are.

1:26:15.760 --> 1:26:21.870

kim cheney (Guest)

Questions that need to be answered before you go any further, and I guess that's.

1:26:22.900 --> 1:26:25.390

kim cheney (Guest)

In the hands of DSP, from what you're.

1:26:26.100 --> 1:26:30.160

kim cheney (Guest)

Telling me because I don't think they're the sole that.

1:26:31.50 --> 1:26:35.220

kim cheney (Guest)

The sole body to determine who gets the grants.

1:26:37.30 --> 1:26:54.20

White, Paul

Well, I will look into that before our next meeting. However, I will say that the legislation that created this working group and gave us our charge makes no mention about serving on any kind of a grant application screening process. So, Lance, before we adjourned, you have something.

1:26:55.870 --> 1:27:9.800

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I just wanna. I'm looking at the ERP right now, Mr Chan. I'm not seeing anywhere where it says that this committee is to review that if you could point me in the in that I'd be interested in. I I helped write this RFP with.

1:27:10.770 --> 1:27:24.400

Burnham, Lance

Homeland Security. Uh. It is Homeland Security that is reviewing all of these applications and there's nobody on this working group that is attached to that for the sole reason of the potential.

1:27:26.950 --> 1:27:27.480

Burnham, Lance

It.

1:27:26.980 --> 1:27:31.850

kim cheney (Guest)

I'm sorry I gave you the wrong page numbers, page 13.

1:27:33.360 --> 1:27:33.750

Burnham, Lance

OK.

1:27:33.220 --> 1:27:36.850

kim cheney (Guest)

And it refers to a working group.

1:27:38.50 --> 1:27:42.820

kim cheney (Guest)

And there are several of them scattered around state governments, so I'm not sure exactly.

1:27:42.180 --> 1:27:42.890

White, Paul

There are.

1:27:43.590 --> 1:27:44.530

kim cheney (Guest)

Exactly.

1:27:43.750 --> 1:27:46.40

Burnham, Lance

OK, so the working, yeah.

1:27:43.580 --> 1:27:46.630

White, Paul

There are many, many working groups and state government.

1:27:47.280 --> 1:27:52.750

Burnham, Lance

That that working group is the Department of Homeland Security that is reviewing these applications independently.

1:27:53.510 --> 1:27:54.120

kim cheney (Guest)

Ohh.

1:27:53.880 --> 1:27:56.910

Burnham, Lance

Hasn't it? Nobody on this working group is attached to that?

1:27:58.240 --> 1:28:2.790

kim cheney (Guest)

Alright, well that answer some of my questions and how do we contact them?

1:28:7.310 --> 1:28:15.360

Burnham, Lance

I'm sure if you went to the home that they wouldn't if you went to VSP's website. Homeland Security, I'm sure all their numbers are there.

1:28:19.500 --> 1:28:25.300

kim cheney (Guest)

Well, you're right. That says look up Homeland Security Unit website. OK, I'll do that. Thank you.

1:28:26.600 --> 1:28:29.450

White, Paul

OK. Is there any anything else before we adjourn?

1:28:32.760 --> 1:28:35.610

White, Paul

Not a motion to adjourn would be definitely in order.

1:28:38.800 --> 1:28:39.280

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

So moved.

1:28:40.340 --> 1:28:40.670

Anderson, Mark

So.

1:28:40.930 --> 1:28:44.330

White, Paul

Like thank you motion in a second, all those in favor say aye.

1:28:45.90 --> 1:28:45.770

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Aye.

1:28:45.490 --> 1:28:46.810

Neal, Barbara

Hi. Hi.

1:28:46.590 --> 1:28:49.730

White, Paul

I will see you all next week. Thank you. Have a good week.

1:28:49.340 --> 1:28:50.690

Neal, Barbara

Thank you. Bye bye.

1:28:50.540 --> 1:28:51.160

Bob Schlachter (Guest)

Thank you. Well.