0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:3.840  
White, Paul  
So you're joining this Mary band here at sort of a?

0:0:4.480 --> 0:0:5.530  
White, Paul  
A weird time.

0:0:6.940 --> 0:0:25.210  
White, Paul  
I don't know what you have. I I'm sure you probably read some of the minutes from the previous meetings and we've we've struggled to even get a quorum of this group, you know, and it's understandable that, you know, most of the people on the group, they're all wearing at least two hats, if not three or four or five so.

0:0:25.570 --> 0:0:39.900  
White, Paul  
Ohm and level of participation has been a little lacking. It's it's essentially we're at the point we're down to almost down to the deadline and we've taken to the last.

0:0:40.600 --> 0:0:43.550  
White, Paul  
Well, last weekend I intend to do the same this week is.

0:0:44.110 --> 0:0:44.470  
White, Paul  
Uh.

0:0:45.680 --> 0:0:51.910  
White, Paul  
Let anybody who has comments on the draft so far make them give us feedback. But there's a group of like.

0:0:53.260 --> 0:1:23.70  
White, Paul  
But four of us, five of us that have gone sort of gone into our separate little corners and just been writing and then come back to the group with what we've written since last week and take feedback on that and then do the same, go off into our corners and write and come back. So this today's meeting if if people have things they want to talk about or input to give, that's great. We'll go as long as necessary. But if if everybody's silent, the way they have been for the last couple of months, we will just we'll have a very brief meeting and then.

0:1:23.490 --> 0:1:30.60  
White, Paul  
Those of us that are writing will go off into our corners again so, but we welcome any comments you have based on what you've read so far.

0:1:31.670 --> 0:1:32.570  
Mantello, Peter  
Yeah.

0:1:31.520 --> 0:1:39.180  
White, Paul  
It's eight. It's it's it's 8:30 with just don't mean interrupt. You just real quick. It's 831. I see. We do not have a quorum but.

0:1:41.230 --> 0:1:45.280  
White, Paul  
I just want to acknowledge those that are here I see.

0:1:49.20 --> 0:1:49.430  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
Yes.

0:1:46.530 --> 0:1:49.590  
White, Paul  
I see Jack helm. I see Lance Burnham.

0:1:50.560 --> 0:1:57.440  
White, Paul  
I see Pete Mantello, Barb, Neil and myself. So that's that 1/2.

0:1:58.100 --> 0:2:3.500  
White, Paul  
3455 out of the 10. So anyhow, I'm sorry. What you gonna say, Pete?

0:2:14.270 --> 0:2:14.600  
White, Paul  
Yep.

0:2:6.0 --> 0:2:26.130  
Mantello, Peter  
Yeah, I've kind of been tracking this whole thing even before the meeting went. I know that. Bob, Slacker, who's part of our group down in Rutland County, we kind of met and just kind of been tracking this course. I've been keeping contact with Lance Lance's. I bet on my case lots of times, but we're we're all good with that, but.

0:2:27.170 --> 0:2:33.880  
Mantello, Peter  
So I have been reading the drafts. I guess the biggest thing is that I'm looking through this. I think you you went through most of the.

0:2:35.120 --> 0:2:53.90  
Mantello, Peter  
Uh. The contracts through the Universal Service Fund stuff. And what that can do and can't do and so on to public service connection fee. So I've read the last two drafts. So I I guess it comes down to there's a couple of things for us down here is.

0:2:54.290 --> 0:2:59.500  
Mantello, Peter  
If we decide to go with, let's say, Rutland County, we should have a governing board.

0:3:0.220 --> 0:3:0.510  
White, Paul  
Yep.

0:3:0.790 --> 0:3:6.670  
Mantello, Peter  
From what I've read, it should have a a neutral governing board that should handle that, correct?

0:3:11.770 --> 0:3:42.640  
White, Paul  
Yeah. Well, I don't know how you define neutral, but yeah, I mean part of the see, of course it's it's confused matters a lot because parallelly this working group was created to work on this this overarching long term goal of creating regional dispatch and then parallel to that the Department of Public Safety had their grant process where entities could apply for grant funding to start a new dispatch center which was happening at the same time but separate from this group. And I think part of the requirements for that grant process.

0:3:42.820 --> 0:3:49.550  
White, Paul  
Was that, yeah. Any new dispatch center would have a some form of governance that is whatever equitable.

0:3:50.510 --> 0:3:56.60  
White, Paul  
Whether I I haven't actually read it recently, but you yes, you are correct.

0:3:59.300 --> 0:4:6.200  
Mantello, Peter  
Yeah. So it so there's just a couple other things. Uh, with that piece, I know the the this, this group should have been.

0:4:10.970 --> 0:4:11.280  
White, Paul  
Right.

0:4:6.920 --> 0:4:17.790  
Mantello, Peter  
That's kind of like they put the the horse before the wagon. I think you all know that because you've been working double overtime trying to figure this all out. So are you are you as a group planning to meet the deadline or?

0:4:19.210 --> 0:4:19.860  
White, Paul  
Yes.

0:4:19.220 --> 0:4:22.70  
Mantello, Peter  
Extended or anything like that, do you feel comfortable with it?

0:4:23.480 --> 0:4:34.130  
White, Paul  
Uh well to short answer to that last question is no. By no means do I feel comfortable with it. Anything but comfortable with it, and it has been suggested to me repeatedly by.

0:4:35.380 --> 0:4:42.70  
White, Paul  
Members, people that are not members of the working group but have a a stake in this, that we should just be asking for an extension.

0:4:43.290 --> 0:5:12.860  
White, Paul  
Personally, I feel that asking for an extension of the current working group would really just be asking for more pain than what we've already been through, because clearly we're not this this group, although it was, you know, was a great idea to have all the stakeholders involved in this. We don't have the expertise that's required to do this. We need the ability to hire some subject matter experts. So I'm not planning to ask for an extension. And I think the direction we've been heading in is.

0:5:13.100 --> 0:5:29.870  
White, Paul  
We will put together for the deadline the best report that we can, we will acknowledge right up front that it's incomplete, that it needs a lot more work that we have not fully satisfied the mandate of the legislature. But as of December 1, this is what we know so far and this is what we recommend going forward.

0:5:35.910 --> 0:5:36.190  
White, Paul  
No.

0:5:32.360 --> 0:5:38.790  
Mantello, Peter  
Yeah. So then I guess the follow up question, I don't want to take over the whole thing, but because I'm new in this for you guys is.

0:5:39.550 --> 0:5:52.220  
Mantello, Peter  
Is all the deadlines still going to be appropriate? Even the well, obviously the December 1st one, but for anything else for legislation and all that, is that all still following the guidelines until everything is?

0:5:53.780 --> 0:5:55.690  
Mantello, Peter  
All the monies distributed in such.

0:5:57.70 --> 0:6:16.280  
White, Paul  
Well, that's really, you know, like I said that the anything to do with the grant funds is really to be blunt, not my concern. It's not this this working group's not involved in in the grant funds. That's a different working group that convenes to evaluate those proposals from the RFP and.

0:6:18.40 --> 0:6:25.210  
White, Paul  
As far as deadlines for doling out that 11 million or the six and a half million or whatever, the working number is, that's.

0:6:25.990 --> 0:6:27.280  
White, Paul  
Commissioner of Public Safety's.

0:6:28.420 --> 0:6:28.770  
White, Paul  
Issue.

0:6:35.160 --> 0:6:36.520  
White, Paul  
But thank you for.

0:6:37.780 --> 0:6:42.960  
White, Paul  
Taking the time to read the work that we've done before and for having an interest in this.

0:6:46.140 --> 0:6:50.590  
White, Paul  
So we do have, I saw Bob Schlachter join. So we do have at least six members.

0:6:52.560 --> 0:6:55.0  
White, Paul  
I'll go ahead and call the meeting to order.

0:6:55.740 --> 0:6:59.10  
White, Paul  
Uhm, I have lost track.

0:7:0.690 --> 0:7:5.0  
White, Paul  
Mandy, did we approve minutes last week, or did we not? I don't even remember.

0:7:6.850 --> 0:7:11.110  
White, Paul  
Do we just have one set of minutes to approve from last week or do we have multiples?

0:7:12.850 --> 0:7:13.760  
Wooster, Mandy  
That's a great question.

0:7:13.920 --> 0:7:17.830  
Wooster, Mandy  
Uh, Karen, do you remember if the minutes got approved from last week?

0:7:18.160 --> 0:7:22.710  
White, Paul  
I think we did. I can't remember if we had a quorum last week or not. It's been so hit or miss.

0:7:24.640 --> 0:7:29.640  
White, Paul  
Actually, looking at least last week we had last week we had seven people, so we yeah.

0:7:30.500 --> 0:7:36.730  
White, Paul  
I will say that we did so. Uh, it's just last week would be the meeting, the meeting meeting minutes of November 9th.

0:7:37.560 --> 0:7:38.840  
White, Paul  
What we're looking to approve.

0:7:42.370 --> 0:7:44.680  
Robert Schlachter  
I'll move to approve them, Bob.

0:7:44.130 --> 0:7:44.820  
White, Paul  
Thank you, Bob.

0:7:46.710 --> 0:7:47.560  
White, Paul  
Is there a second?

0:7:46.780 --> 0:7:47.590  
Neal, Barbara  
I'll second it.

0:7:48.950 --> 0:7:49.290  
White, Paul  
OK.

0:7:47.860 --> 0:7:49.550  
Mantello, Peter  
I'll 2nd, I'll second it.

0:7:50.320 --> 0:7:53.570  
White, Paul  
The motion in a second any discussion about last week's minutes.

0:7:54.210 --> 0:7:55.530  
White, Paul  
They're really pretty basic.

0:7:57.50 --> 0:7:59.590  
White, Paul  
There's no comments. All those in favor of approval say aye.

0:8:0.860 --> 0:8:1.310  
Neal, Barbara  
Hi.

0:8:1.60 --> 0:8:1.470  
Robert Schlachter  
Hi.

0:8:2.190 --> 0:8:2.500  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
But.

0:8:2.270 --> 0:8:3.360  
White, Paul  
Anybody opposed?

0:8:5.120 --> 0:8:16.670  
White, Paul  
Guys have it. Minutes are approved. Thank you. So Mandy had sent out the most recent draft based on work that had been completed mainly by myself. Lance. Mark. Barb.

0:8:17.390 --> 0:8:18.320  
White, Paul  
And of course, Mandy.

0:8:20.400 --> 0:8:28.710  
White, Paul  
I think we're the ones that were often are quarters doing the writing. So comments from the most recent draft, anybody.

0:8:31.380 --> 0:8:34.50  
White, Paul  
And I will also add that you know we are down to.

0:8:35.620 --> 0:8:40.10  
White, Paul  
We really only have what potential for two more meetings before our deadline.

0:8:41.490 --> 0:8:50.540  
White, Paul  
I'm not really stressed about the deadline. I think if we can date and report December 1st, if it's not actually the legislature is not gonna look at it until January anyway.

0:8:52.120 --> 0:8:53.690  
White, Paul  
So I think if we have.

0:8:57.270 --> 0:9:4.740  
White, Paul  
Things pretty much boiled down to where we want them. December 1st. I think that's fine, but that only leaves us with two more meetings. So I guess what I want to say is.

0:9:5.390 --> 0:9:12.340  
White, Paul  
If you have comments, if you have input, if you have something that you want to get in, or if you disagree with something that's been written in the current draft so far.

0:9:13.540 --> 0:9:23.290  
White, Paul  
Now is the time because you all will be asked in a week or two to vote on accepting this as being the report of the working group, not just the report of the Chair. So.

0:9:25.420 --> 0:9:26.710  
White, Paul  
So any feedback is.

0:9:27.980 --> 0:9:28.720  
White, Paul  
Certainly welcome.

0:9:31.600 --> 0:9:32.970  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
Jack Helman Benson.

0:9:33.420 --> 0:9:33.930  
White, Paul  
Hi, Jack.

0:9:34.720 --> 0:9:41.720  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
Where is it going to reflect in there? The people that are opposed to it and the reason they're opposed opposed to it.

0:9:43.190 --> 0:9:45.680  
White, Paul  
Opposed to going to regional.

0:9:46.750 --> 0:9:47.490  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
Absolutely.

0:9:51.550 --> 0:9:57.820  
White, Paul  
It's sort of mentioned currently, but I mean we certainly can draw, we can make it more evident, I guess if.

0:9:58.630 --> 0:10:9.570  
White, Paul  
If that's the desire, I mean, I hadn't planned on listing, you know, individual working group members and what their positions were. But if that's what you're saying you want, we certainly can do that.

0:10:10.410 --> 0:10:12.910  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
If you're asking a member to sign off on it.

0:10:13.600 --> 0:10:16.550  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
That indicates that they're comfortable with it.

0:10:17.390 --> 0:10:17.740  
White, Paul  
Yep.

0:10:17.210 --> 0:10:21.160  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
And for those of us that are not comfortable with it, how are you gonna reflect that?

0:10:26.820 --> 0:10:27.90  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
Good.

0:10:22.20 --> 0:10:27.110  
Burnham, Lance  
Jack, you're you're more than happy to add and you have a copy of the report. You're more than.

0:10:27.910 --> 0:10:30.150  
Burnham, Lance  
Yeah, you can add anything you want.

0:10:36.160 --> 0:10:39.510  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
So if I'm I'm not happy with it, I can.

0:10:42.90 --> 0:10:42.350  
White, Paul  
If.

0:10:41.750 --> 0:10:43.730  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
Send you a text.

0:10:44.310 --> 0:10:47.600  
White, Paul  
Yeah, if you want. If you want to put together, you know.

0:10:48.340 --> 0:11:8.770  
White, Paul  
If this was a a court case or a, you know, we could call it a dissenting opinion. If you wanna put something together as a dissenting opinion, I'm more than happy to put that in the report. Or just send me if you wanted to type out and you know what you would like it to say. You know, this is your report as much as it is mine. So.

0:11:10.950 --> 0:11:11.400  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
Alright.

0:11:12.460 --> 0:11:13.940  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
I'll send that to you in an e-mail.

0:11:14.290 --> 0:11:15.240  
White, Paul  
OK. Thank you.

0:11:19.810 --> 0:11:23.660  
White, Paul  
And like it, we did sort of touch on the fact that you know.

0:11:24.310 --> 0:11:49.880  
White, Paul  
Well, we're one option is to do absolutely nothing and leave things exactly the way they are, which is, you know, really not an acceptable option because we're on the brink of failure. And another option is, as already discussed, as to reopen Rutland and Derby. And that is it's it's mentioned, but it could be highlighted more. But yeah, whatever you want to send me, Jack, I will add that to what's already been written.

0:11:50.660 --> 0:11:51.810  
White, Paul  
You'll see it next week.

0:11:52.990 --> 0:11:53.880  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
We're good. Thank you.

0:11:54.210 --> 0:11:54.530  
White, Paul  
OK.

0:11:59.260 --> 0:12:0.750  
White, Paul  
Other other feedback.

0:12:5.950 --> 0:12:22.60  
Robert Schlachter  
So far this is Bob. So far as what I've seen so far in the draft, and I rewrote it this morning. I think we're going to give the legislature pretty much a good view of what's happening probably again.

0:12:22.930 --> 0:12:48.20  
Robert Schlachter  
For example, on my case with the fire service, we realized that Rutland County has the largest, I believe impact on the system at this point. So my final comments on that will be reflecting, you know that and some of the things that we're trying to do and where the legislature and administration can help to stand something up down here. So we'll continue working on that to you and you can edit as necessary.

0:12:49.170 --> 0:12:54.480  
White, Paul  
OK. Yeah. And I did. Mandy forwarded me your e-mail this morning, Bob, that so, thank you for that.

0:12:58.150 --> 0:12:59.560  
White, Paul  
OK, other comments.

0:13:4.660 --> 0:13:22.750  
White, Paul  
Before we break up, I will take public comment, but I'll just say you know, I don't wanna be a dead horse here, but I think if if there are no other comments, I think I know my time is better spent going back to my corner and continuing to edit and write and tweak and format and.

0:13:24.0 --> 0:13:28.850  
White, Paul  
Trying to make this look like something that we won't be completely embarrassed to submit to the legislature.

0:13:30.890 --> 0:13:33.920  
White, Paul  
But I certainly don't want to cut off any comment if there is any.

0:13:36.690 --> 0:13:37.760  
White, Paul  
Yeah. Go ahead mate.

0:13:35.60 --> 0:13:40.600  
Burnham, Lance  
That they have a quick question, Paul. Chief. Chief, did you get the most updated?

0:13:42.300 --> 0:13:48.390  
Burnham, Lance  
Rewrite even as of yesterday, and I know Mandy said it out. I just can't remember if I saw your name on the the list.

0:13:49.890 --> 0:13:50.160  
Burnham, Lance  
OK.

0:13:51.640 --> 0:13:51.970  
Burnham, Lance  
OK.

0:13:48.690 --> 0:13:54.30  
Mantello, Peter  
Yes. Yeah, that's the, that's the one I'm referring to. That's the one I got with the appendix is pretty lengthy, it's.

0:13:55.150 --> 0:13:55.630  
Burnham, Lance  
Yeah.

0:13:55.10 --> 0:13:59.380  
Mantello, Peter  
UH-37, I don't know, it's like 40 pages or something like that. Yes, I did.

0:13:59.890 --> 0:14:2.200  
Burnham, Lance  
OK. And as staying with everything?

0:14:1.330 --> 0:14:5.440  
Mantello, Peter  
And if I and, I'm gonna and I. Yeah. And I'm gonna reread it again.

0:14:11.60 --> 0:14:11.290  
White, Paul  
Yep.

0:14:6.800 --> 0:14:13.730  
Mantello, Peter  
And there's a couple things I can I can send to Paul because it's a lot to digest. Obviously, there's a lot of things in it.

0:14:15.400 --> 0:14:15.730  
advocate (Guest)  
So.

0:14:14.270 --> 0:14:19.140  
Mantello, Peter  
Umm. So if there's anything in it before next meeting I will send it Paul.

0:14:24.390 --> 0:14:25.360  
Mantello, Peter  
No, no.

0:14:19.570 --> 0:14:27.720  
White, Paul  
Yeah, please do it as soon you know. Don't wait until next Wednesday if it's if. Whenever you have, whenever you have it, go ahead and send it and I can plug it in.

0:14:29.10 --> 0:14:29.300  
Mantello, Peter  
OK.

0:14:28.760 --> 0:14:31.210  
White, Paul  
Yeah, sort of like a rolling a rolling document, so.

0:14:37.660 --> 0:14:38.860  
White, Paul  
OK. Anything else?

0:14:44.960 --> 0:14:50.230  
White, Paul  
If there's no more comment from the working group, then I will open up for.

0:14:52.50 --> 0:14:53.600  
White, Paul  
Public, who do we have? I see.

0:14:53.680 --> 0:14:56.720  
White, Paul  
Umm, Steve and.

0:15:0.280 --> 0:15:2.680  
White, Paul  
Uh, OK, Steve, what do you got for us?

0:15:4.840 --> 0:15:7.140  
advocate (Guest)  
Alright, Steve Whittaker for the record.

0:15:8.380 --> 0:15:14.700  
advocate (Guest)  
I have read the current draft as of yesterday. I wanna flag a.

0:15:16.900 --> 0:15:21.450  
advocate (Guest)  
The first thing that jumped that out at me is on page 2.

0:15:22.350 --> 0:15:36.540  
advocate (Guest)  
And it says while not it's I'm quoting now, while not explicitly stated in the enabling legislation, the obvious goal in the creation of this work group was to identify means by which the Department of Public Safety can be.

0:15:40.480 --> 0:15:45.190  
advocate (Guest)  
To provide dispatching services to local public safety agencies throughout the state.

0:15:46.460 --> 0:15:51.10  
advocate (Guest)  
I think that's untrue. It's misleading. It's self-serving to the department.

0:15:52.290 --> 0:15:52.850  
advocate (Guest)  
I think.

0:15:53.840 --> 0:15:54.390  
advocate (Guest)  
The.

0:15:55.330 --> 0:16:10.280  
advocate (Guest)  
I would characterize what the legislature charged this group with, was evaluating and documenting current practice, evaluating and documenting a proposed regional dispatch.

0:16:11.0 --> 0:16:12.850  
advocate (Guest)  
Scenario or plan?

0:16:13.640 --> 0:16:18.800  
advocate (Guest)  
Of which non exists and proposing a timeline if it.

0:16:19.680 --> 0:16:32.140  
advocate (Guest)  
Was decided by the legislature to pursue the regional dispatching model. The idea that this is already a done deal and our job is just to help the department advocate its current responsibilities is absolute.

0:16:32.770 --> 0:16:33.320  
advocate (Guest)  
Monk.

0:16:34.80 --> 0:16:36.530  
advocate (Guest)  
Or I could use a stronger word, but it smells.

0:16:39.730 --> 0:16:40.340  
advocate (Guest)  
I.

0:16:41.400 --> 0:16:45.890  
advocate (Guest)  
I understand the departments in a pickle with staffing problems.

0:16:47.850 --> 0:16:52.670  
advocate (Guest)  
Those are caused to some degree by the Valcour fiasco and which?

0:16:53.460 --> 0:16:55.0  
advocate (Guest)  
Even our uh.

0:16:56.340 --> 0:16:59.650  
advocate (Guest)  
Chief here in Montpelier, at a meeting last weeks.

0:17:2.400 --> 0:17:17.920  
advocate (Guest)  
Severe skepticism that, of course, ever gonna be a CAD system. So we're out shopping for a license for a real cat system to bolt on. But that's an underlying core question of whether these regional centers can be up and up and running it and at what cost.

0:17:18.720 --> 0:17:21.570  
advocate (Guest)  
So some of the more qualified.

0:17:22.280 --> 0:17:24.380  
advocate (Guest)  
Operators have regional dispatch.

0:17:25.50 --> 0:17:44.780  
advocate (Guest)  
Are smart enough to say I'm not gonna throw my hat in the ring or a proposal on the table it until I see an ongoing funding mechanism because it's falling to pretend we can stand up a system and start dispatching and the money will come later from somewhere yet to be defined.

0:17:45.440 --> 0:17:47.240  
advocate (Guest)  
That's not the way business is done.

0:17:47.930 --> 0:17:51.880  
advocate (Guest)  
And this is the public's business that we're supposed to be doing so.

0:17:52.810 --> 0:17:53.290  
advocate (Guest)  
The.

0:17:54.90 --> 0:18:24.220  
advocate (Guest)  
Case in point, central Vermont. There they have no source of increased operator funding. The department is not offering increased operator funding. The department is offering to ignore the RFP requirements for governance and for contractual documentation of ongoing commitments of support in exchange for a radio system. So what does that do for Central Vermont's client agencies? Well, it gives them some new infrastructure.

0:18:24.330 --> 0:18:39.790  
advocate (Guest)  
A year from now, maybe. Maybe the wrong infrastructure. Simulcast instead of trumped no LTE and it adds all the costs immediately of dispatching for whoever the state police wanna shunt onto. Montpelier central, Vermont.

0:18:40.450 --> 0:18:45.0  
advocate (Guest)  
Swanton Richmond, Northfield if they were willing, but they're not.

0:18:45.500 --> 0:18:52.500  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh, and no mechanism for it recouping costs from those, so it affect we're just.

0:19:20.530 --> 0:19:20.960  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
So.

0:18:53.860 --> 0:19:21.870  
advocate (Guest)  
In addition to a million and 1/2 in hidden costs in the Motorola proposal were taking the increased dispatching cost and shunting that onto the local customers. What if he what a folly that is, needs to be elaborated in this model because fundamentally the department has no plan. The department is making the evolution of regional dispatch more difficult by arguing local control.

0:19:24.640 --> 0:19:34.200  
advocate (Guest)  
It should preempt a statewide design. You cannot do Commissioner gave lip service at that joint fiscal meeting to resilience and fail.

0:19:36.620 --> 0:19:40.400  
advocate (Guest)  
But you can't do resilience and failover without a statewide design, so.

0:19:41.930 --> 0:19:51.880  
advocate (Guest)  
And I I think I'm gonna take a step back from the critique here and talk about evolution. This work groups. Momentum should continue.

0:19:52.410 --> 0:19:56.700  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh. With the Deadwood cut out and some new members on board?

0:19:58.240 --> 0:20:0.520  
advocate (Guest)  
The governor can do that by executive order.

0:20:1.190 --> 0:20:22.970  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh, I recommended they remodel the 911 board to to accomplish the same thing. Get the Deadwood out and focus on resilience, interoperability and statewide standards for dispatch and call answering. That would lay a foundation of employability for the qualified dispatchers.

0:20:23.750 --> 0:20:33.610  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh, and and recruitment to try to get dispatchers and call answers to move here from other parts of the country. But we've gotta give them something besides a.

0:20:34.780 --> 0:20:51.250  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh. A mess to aspire to. And that's what's missing here. That's the missed opportunity of this report. Is rather than put forth a vision of how we're going to actually recruit more dispatchers, cause any new regional center is gonna have the same problems.

0:20:52.100 --> 0:20:58.860  
advocate (Guest)  
Less the valcour fiasco in recruiting dispatchers as the state police have.

0:20:59.790 --> 0:21:0.270  
advocate (Guest)  
So.

0:21:1.870 --> 0:21:6.340  
advocate (Guest)  
We should recommend a way to keep the momentum going of the work group.

0:21:7.20 --> 0:21:7.620  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh.

0:21:8.930 --> 0:21:17.190  
advocate (Guest)  
Possibly by executive order and pointed out to everybody, but the new police chief from Castleton bed the.

0:21:18.940 --> 0:21:33.490  
advocate (Guest)  
This Advisory Council has been created 3 1/2 years ago and still not been appointed, but yet it's mission is too narrow. It's just focused on LTE, which is coincidentally, sorely missing from this entire report.

0:21:34.250 --> 0:21:55.340  
advocate (Guest)  
The public safety is absolutely gonna be reliant on both LMR and LTE, and we need to plan them together to get cost effective efficiency, shared generators shared by call, shared towers, and the governor doesn't have a strategy to get LTE cell coverage otherwise, which he sorely wants. He got zeroed out in the legislature.

0:21:56.150 --> 0:21:56.620  
advocate (Guest)  
So.

0:21:58.190 --> 0:21:58.860  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh.

0:21:59.650 --> 0:22:13.570  
advocate (Guest)  
The department subject matter experts to try to justify or rationalize or end around the RFP requirements cannot be trusted to be subject matter experts for a a credible work group.

0:22:14.300 --> 0:22:18.430  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh, you can't have two. You can't put a professional engineer in the.

0:22:19.920 --> 0:22:30.790  
advocate (Guest)  
Not in the position of having two bosses with different goals and agendas. So this body would need a its own subject matter experts.

0:22:31.720 --> 0:22:42.470  
advocate (Guest)  
Or the, conversely, the department might not need subject matter experts but could use some objective engineering and oversight by the work group in in before these funds are released.

0:22:43.430 --> 0:22:44.830  
advocate (Guest)  
The grant funds should.

0:22:45.480 --> 0:23:13.460  
advocate (Guest)  
Be passed out now only for a run. A large Rutland pilot and accelerated Rutland pilot with and statewide radio systems assessment and engineering including LTE and LMR, and assisting in convening the governance in all each of the regions. The reason that the governance is essential is that we can't afford to just grant this money to one operator.

0:23:14.120 --> 0:23:14.920  
advocate (Guest)  
Thereby.

0:23:15.580 --> 0:23:20.240  
advocate (Guest)  
Uh, perpetuating or creating a new monopoly in affect these?

0:23:21.100 --> 0:23:28.480  
advocate (Guest)  
These uh contracts grant awards would have to be written in a manner that if a if a regional authority.

0:23:29.160 --> 0:23:54.410  
advocate (Guest)  
Gets up and running. The ownership of the assets, the dispatch facility, the radio system transfers to the authority from whoever it is, the next sheriff might not be compatible nor interested in running a dispatch operation. Even in Rutland County. So the you can't afford to be held hostage to a grant award that was done before the planning was done.

0:23:55.220 --> 0:23:57.40  
advocate (Guest)  
So that's a key point.

0:23:59.740 --> 0:24:12.150  
advocate (Guest)  
The Rutland pilot should include a failover with deep, deep, detailed engineering. I recommend Addison County, which has no one stepping up to dispatch for Bristol Virgins or Middlebury.

0:24:12.850 --> 0:24:24.870  
advocate (Guest)  
Those are three clients of state police that would be a good relief from the Department of Public Safety, but it means starting up a basically a sister piece app.

0:24:25.540 --> 0:24:29.590  
advocate (Guest)  
Dispatch facility in Rutland and Addison at the same time and.

0:24:30.390 --> 0:24:39.880  
advocate (Guest)  
In that process, we could work out the issues of 911 board approval for new peace apps. I know Chittenden County is gonna pursue the same.

0:24:42.280 --> 0:24:51.950  
advocate (Guest)  
Recording hand over resiliency, redundancy, governance, all that stuff could be worked out with the existing available money.

0:24:55.540 --> 0:25:21.730  
advocate (Guest)  
I know you've heard most of this before. I I think it's unfortunate that no one has been assigned the task, especially a department would have so many $1,000,000 budget and is required by law to provide administrative support to this work group to go back through in mind. All the comments boil down all the public comments from Senator Illuzzi, from Jeanette White, from myself, from others and makes.

0:25:22.360 --> 0:25:23.570  
advocate (Guest)  
Put those in the report.

0:25:28.360 --> 0:25:41.70  
advocate (Guest)  
Even in an appendix, there's this report is really bloated with not a lot of content. It's not laying out the issues that they need decision points on. It's not laying out the conflict between what the department's doing and what the work group is charged with doing.

0:25:42.590 --> 0:25:43.680  
advocate (Guest)  
So that.

0:25:45.10 --> 0:25:51.450  
advocate (Guest)  
That's that's if you want to do a report take till January 1st and get it done right. Even if you have to.

0:25:54.590 --> 0:25:54.870  
advocate (Guest)  
Right.

0:25:56.200 --> 0:26:3.380  
advocate (Guest)  
Request the daughter, intervene and direct what the department's gonna do under their legal obligation and what you wanna do for yourselves.

0:26:4.440 --> 0:26:5.670  
advocate (Guest)  
That's enough. Thanks.

0:26:6.650 --> 0:26:7.280  
White, Paul  
Thank you, Steve.

0:26:8.600 --> 0:26:10.750  
White, Paul  
Any other public comment?

0:26:14.670 --> 0:26:19.840  
White, Paul  
I don't see Mr Cheney's not with us today, so the only other members of the public are.

0:26:21.730 --> 0:26:23.860  
White, Paul  
When and Trevor from VLC T.

0:26:26.50 --> 0:26:30.550  
White, Paul  
Is there any going once going twice? Any other comment from anybody?

0:26:36.600 --> 0:26:41.120  
White, Paul  
OK, so hearing none, I think we will go ahead and.

0:26:43.370 --> 0:27:9.120  
White, Paul  
Once there's a motion to adjourn, we will just go our separate ways and any of you that have offered or volunteered to or even if you haven't, if you have any supplements you want to send or any critique, by all means send them my way. We'll get him into the next draft for you to review and those of us that have been writing will go off into our separate corners and keep doing that and you can look for another draft here.

0:27:10.180 --> 0:27:11.180  
White, Paul  
Hopefully before the weekend.

0:27:15.440 --> 0:27:15.890  
White, Paul  
Would.

0:27:15.140 --> 0:27:16.130  
Neal, Barbara  
I move to adjourn.

0:27:16.760 --> 0:27:18.100  
White, Paul  
Thank you, Barb. Is there a second?

0:27:18.850 --> 0:27:19.460  
Burnham, Lance  
That second.

0:27:19.670 --> 0:27:20.290  
Mantello, Peter  
I second.

0:27:20.960 --> 0:27:21.930  
White, Paul  
All those in favor?

0:27:22.970 --> 0:27:23.410  
Burnham, Lance  
Bye.

0:27:23.60 --> 0:27:23.690  
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b  
5.

0:27:23.540 --> 0:27:23.990  
Neal, Barbara  
Hi.

0:27:24.410 --> 0:27:24.810  
Mantello, Peter  
Aye.

0:27:24.670 --> 0:27:26.710  
White, Paul  
Right. Have a good weekend, everybody.

0:27:27.760 --> 0:27:28.260  
Burnham, Lance  
Thank you.

0:27:27.800 --> 0:27:28.400  
Neal, Barbara  
Thank you.

0:27:28.770 --> 0:27:28.970  
White, Paul  
Yep.

0:27:30.790 --> 0:27:31.230  
Robert Schlachter  
With all.