0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:3.840
White, Paul
So you're joining this Mary band here at sort of a?

0:0:4.480 --> 0:0:5.530
White, Paul
A weird time.

0:0:6.940 --> 0:0:25.210
White, Paul
I don't know what you have. I I'm sure you probably read some of the minutes from the previous meetings and we've we've struggled to even get a quorum of this group, you know, and it's understandable that, you know, most of the people on the group, they're all wearing at least two hats, if not three or four or five so.

0:0:25.570 --> 0:0:39.900
White, Paul
Ohm and level of participation has been a little lacking. It's it's essentially we're at the point we're down to almost down to the deadline and we've taken to the last.

0:0:40.600 --> 0:0:43.550
White, Paul
Well, last weekend I intend to do the same this week is.

0:0:44.110 --> 0:0:44.470
White, Paul
Uh.

0:0:45.680 --> 0:0:51.910
White, Paul
Let anybody who has comments on the draft so far make them give us feedback. But there's a group of like.

0:0:53.260 --> 0:1:23.70
White, Paul
But four of us, five of us that have gone sort of gone into our separate little corners and just been writing and then come back to the group with what we've written since last week and take feedback on that and then do the same, go off into our corners and write and come back. So this today's meeting if if people have things they want to talk about or input to give, that's great. We'll go as long as necessary. But if if everybody's silent, the way they have been for the last couple of months, we will just we'll have a very brief meeting and then.

0:1:23.490 --> 0:1:30.60
White, Paul
Those of us that are writing will go off into our corners again so, but we welcome any comments you have based on what you've read so far.

0:1:31.670 --> 0:1:32.570
Mantello, Peter
Yeah.

0:1:31.520 --> 0:1:39.180
White, Paul
It's eight. It's it's it's 8:30 with just don't mean interrupt. You just real quick. It's 831. I see. We do not have a quorum but.

0:1:41.230 --> 0:1:45.280
White, Paul
I just want to acknowledge those that are here I see.

0:1:49.20 --> 0:1:49.430
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
Yes.

0:1:46.530 --> 0:1:49.590
White, Paul
I see Jack helm. I see Lance Burnham.

0:1:50.560 --> 0:1:57.440
White, Paul
I see Pete Mantello, Barb, Neil and myself. So that's that 1/2.

0:1:58.100 --> 0:2:3.500
White, Paul
3455 out of the 10. So anyhow, I'm sorry. What you gonna say, Pete?

0:2:14.270 --> 0:2:14.600
White, Paul
Yep.

0:2:6.0 --> 0:2:26.130
Mantello, Peter
Yeah, I've kind of been tracking this whole thing even before the meeting went. I know that. Bob, Slacker, who's part of our group down in Rutland County, we kind of met and just kind of been tracking this course. I've been keeping contact with Lance Lance's. I bet on my case lots of times, but we're we're all good with that, but.

0:2:27.170 --> 0:2:33.880
Mantello, Peter
So I have been reading the drafts. I guess the biggest thing is that I'm looking through this. I think you you went through most of the.

0:2:35.120 --> 0:2:53.90
Mantello, Peter
Uh. The contracts through the Universal Service Fund stuff. And what that can do and can't do and so on to public service connection fee. So I've read the last two drafts. So I I guess it comes down to there's a couple of things for us down here is.

0:2:54.290 --> 0:2:59.500
Mantello, Peter
If we decide to go with, let's say, Rutland County, we should have a governing board.

0:3:0.220 --> 0:3:0.510
White, Paul
Yep.

0:3:0.790 --> 0:3:6.670
Mantello, Peter
From what I've read, it should have a a neutral governing board that should handle that, correct?

0:3:11.770 --> 0:3:42.640
White, Paul
Yeah. Well, I don't know how you define neutral, but yeah, I mean part of the see, of course it's it's confused matters a lot because parallelly this working group was created to work on this this overarching long term goal of creating regional dispatch and then parallel to that the Department of Public Safety had their grant process where entities could apply for grant funding to start a new dispatch center which was happening at the same time but separate from this group. And I think part of the requirements for that grant process.

0:3:42.820 --> 0:3:49.550
White, Paul
Was that, yeah. Any new dispatch center would have a some form of governance that is whatever equitable.

0:3:50.510 --> 0:3:56.60
White, Paul
Whether I I haven't actually read it recently, but you yes, you are correct.

0:3:59.300 --> 0:4:6.200
Mantello, Peter
Yeah. So it so there's just a couple other things. Uh, with that piece, I know the the this, this group should have been.

0:4:10.970 --> 0:4:11.280
White, Paul
Right.

0:4:6.920 --> 0:4:17.790
Mantello, Peter
That's kind of like they put the the horse before the wagon. I think you all know that because you've been working double overtime trying to figure this all out. So are you are you as a group planning to meet the deadline or?

0:4:19.210 --> 0:4:19.860
White, Paul
Yes.

0:4:19.220 --> 0:4:22.70
Mantello, Peter
Extended or anything like that, do you feel comfortable with it?

0:4:23.480 --> 0:4:34.130
White, Paul
Uh well to short answer to that last question is no. By no means do I feel comfortable with it. Anything but comfortable with it, and it has been suggested to me repeatedly by.

0:4:35.380 --> 0:4:42.70
White, Paul
Members, people that are not members of the working group but have a a stake in this, that we should just be asking for an extension.

0:4:43.290 --> 0:5:12.860
White, Paul
Personally, I feel that asking for an extension of the current working group would really just be asking for more pain than what we've already been through, because clearly we're not this this group, although it was, you know, was a great idea to have all the stakeholders involved in this. We don't have the expertise that's required to do this. We need the ability to hire some subject matter experts. So I'm not planning to ask for an extension. And I think the direction we've been heading in is.

0:5:13.100 --> 0:5:29.870
White, Paul
We will put together for the deadline the best report that we can, we will acknowledge right up front that it's incomplete, that it needs a lot more work that we have not fully satisfied the mandate of the legislature. But as of December 1, this is what we know so far and this is what we recommend going forward.

0:5:35.910 --> 0:5:36.190
White, Paul
No.

0:5:32.360 --> 0:5:38.790
Mantello, Peter
Yeah. So then I guess the follow up question, I don't want to take over the whole thing, but because I'm new in this for you guys is.

0:5:39.550 --> 0:5:52.220
Mantello, Peter
Is all the deadlines still going to be appropriate? Even the well, obviously the December 1st one, but for anything else for legislation and all that, is that all still following the guidelines until everything is?

0:5:53.780 --> 0:5:55.690
Mantello, Peter
All the monies distributed in such.

0:5:57.70 --> 0:6:16.280
White, Paul
Well, that's really, you know, like I said that the anything to do with the grant funds is really to be blunt, not my concern. It's not this this working group's not involved in in the grant funds. That's a different working group that convenes to evaluate those proposals from the RFP and.

0:6:18.40 --> 0:6:25.210
White, Paul
As far as deadlines for doling out that 11 million or the six and a half million or whatever, the working number is, that's.

0:6:25.990 --> 0:6:27.280
White, Paul
Commissioner of Public Safety's.

0:6:28.420 --> 0:6:28.770
White, Paul
Issue.

0:6:35.160 --> 0:6:36.520
White, Paul
But thank you for.

0:6:37.780 --> 0:6:42.960
White, Paul
Taking the time to read the work that we've done before and for having an interest in this.

0:6:46.140 --> 0:6:50.590
White, Paul
So we do have, I saw Bob Schlachter join. So we do have at least six members.

0:6:52.560 --> 0:6:55.0
White, Paul
I'll go ahead and call the meeting to order.

0:6:55.740 --> 0:6:59.10
White, Paul
Uhm, I have lost track.

0:7:0.690 --> 0:7:5.0
White, Paul
Mandy, did we approve minutes last week, or did we not? I don't even remember.

0:7:6.850 --> 0:7:11.110
White, Paul
Do we just have one set of minutes to approve from last week or do we have multiples?

0:7:12.850 --> 0:7:13.760
Wooster, Mandy
That's a great question.

0:7:13.920 --> 0:7:17.830
Wooster, Mandy
Uh, Karen, do you remember if the minutes got approved from last week?

0:7:18.160 --> 0:7:22.710
White, Paul
I think we did. I can't remember if we had a quorum last week or not. It's been so hit or miss.

0:7:24.640 --> 0:7:29.640
White, Paul
Actually, looking at least last week we had last week we had seven people, so we yeah.

0:7:30.500 --> 0:7:36.730
White, Paul
I will say that we did so. Uh, it's just last week would be the meeting, the meeting meeting minutes of November 9th.

0:7:37.560 --> 0:7:38.840
White, Paul
What we're looking to approve.

0:7:42.370 --> 0:7:44.680
Robert Schlachter
I'll move to approve them, Bob.

0:7:44.130 --> 0:7:44.820
White, Paul
Thank you, Bob.

0:7:46.710 --> 0:7:47.560
White, Paul
Is there a second?

0:7:46.780 --> 0:7:47.590
Neal, Barbara
I'll second it.

0:7:48.950 --> 0:7:49.290
White, Paul
OK.

0:7:47.860 --> 0:7:49.550
Mantello, Peter
I'll 2nd, I'll second it.

0:7:50.320 --> 0:7:53.570
White, Paul
The motion in a second any discussion about last week's minutes.

0:7:54.210 --> 0:7:55.530
White, Paul
They're really pretty basic.

0:7:57.50 --> 0:7:59.590
White, Paul
There's no comments. All those in favor of approval say aye.

0:8:0.860 --> 0:8:1.310
Neal, Barbara
Hi.

0:8:1.60 --> 0:8:1.470
Robert Schlachter
Hi.

0:8:2.190 --> 0:8:2.500
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
But.

0:8:2.270 --> 0:8:3.360
White, Paul
Anybody opposed?

0:8:5.120 --> 0:8:16.670
White, Paul
Guys have it. Minutes are approved. Thank you. So Mandy had sent out the most recent draft based on work that had been completed mainly by myself. Lance. Mark. Barb.

0:8:17.390 --> 0:8:18.320
White, Paul
And of course, Mandy.

0:8:20.400 --> 0:8:28.710
White, Paul
I think we're the ones that were often are quarters doing the writing. So comments from the most recent draft, anybody.

0:8:31.380 --> 0:8:34.50
White, Paul
And I will also add that you know we are down to.

0:8:35.620 --> 0:8:40.10
White, Paul
We really only have what potential for two more meetings before our deadline.

0:8:41.490 --> 0:8:50.540
White, Paul
I'm not really stressed about the deadline. I think if we can date and report December 1st, if it's not actually the legislature is not gonna look at it until January anyway.

0:8:52.120 --> 0:8:53.690
White, Paul
So I think if we have.

0:8:57.270 --> 0:9:4.740
White, Paul
Things pretty much boiled down to where we want them. December 1st. I think that's fine, but that only leaves us with two more meetings. So I guess what I want to say is.

0:9:5.390 --> 0:9:12.340
White, Paul
If you have comments, if you have input, if you have something that you want to get in, or if you disagree with something that's been written in the current draft so far.

0:9:13.540 --> 0:9:23.290
White, Paul
Now is the time because you all will be asked in a week or two to vote on accepting this as being the report of the working group, not just the report of the Chair. So.

0:9:25.420 --> 0:9:26.710
White, Paul
So any feedback is.

0:9:27.980 --> 0:9:28.720
White, Paul
Certainly welcome.

0:9:31.600 --> 0:9:32.970
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
Jack Helman Benson.

0:9:33.420 --> 0:9:33.930
White, Paul
Hi, Jack.

0:9:34.720 --> 0:9:41.720
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
Where is it going to reflect in there? The people that are opposed to it and the reason they're opposed opposed to it.

0:9:43.190 --> 0:9:45.680
White, Paul
Opposed to going to regional.

0:9:46.750 --> 0:9:47.490
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
Absolutely.

0:9:51.550 --> 0:9:57.820
White, Paul
It's sort of mentioned currently, but I mean we certainly can draw, we can make it more evident, I guess if.

0:9:58.630 --> 0:10:9.570
White, Paul
If that's the desire, I mean, I hadn't planned on listing, you know, individual working group members and what their positions were. But if that's what you're saying you want, we certainly can do that.

0:10:10.410 --> 0:10:12.910
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
If you're asking a member to sign off on it.

0:10:13.600 --> 0:10:16.550
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
That indicates that they're comfortable with it.

0:10:17.390 --> 0:10:17.740
White, Paul
Yep.

0:10:17.210 --> 0:10:21.160
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
And for those of us that are not comfortable with it, how are you gonna reflect that?

0:10:26.820 --> 0:10:27.90
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
Good.

0:10:22.20 --> 0:10:27.110
Burnham, Lance
Jack, you're you're more than happy to add and you have a copy of the report. You're more than.

0:10:27.910 --> 0:10:30.150
Burnham, Lance
Yeah, you can add anything you want.

0:10:36.160 --> 0:10:39.510
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
So if I'm I'm not happy with it, I can.

0:10:42.90 --> 0:10:42.350
White, Paul
If.

0:10:41.750 --> 0:10:43.730
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
Send you a text.

0:10:44.310 --> 0:10:47.600
White, Paul
Yeah, if you want. If you want to put together, you know.

0:10:48.340 --> 0:11:8.770
White, Paul
If this was a a court case or a, you know, we could call it a dissenting opinion. If you wanna put something together as a dissenting opinion, I'm more than happy to put that in the report. Or just send me if you wanted to type out and you know what you would like it to say. You know, this is your report as much as it is mine. So.

0:11:10.950 --> 0:11:11.400
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
Alright.

0:11:12.460 --> 0:11:13.940
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
I'll send that to you in an e-mail.

0:11:14.290 --> 0:11:15.240
White, Paul
OK. Thank you.

0:11:19.810 --> 0:11:23.660
White, Paul
And like it, we did sort of touch on the fact that you know.

0:11:24.310 --> 0:11:49.880
White, Paul
Well, we're one option is to do absolutely nothing and leave things exactly the way they are, which is, you know, really not an acceptable option because we're on the brink of failure. And another option is, as already discussed, as to reopen Rutland and Derby. And that is it's it's mentioned, but it could be highlighted more. But yeah, whatever you want to send me, Jack, I will add that to what's already been written.

0:11:50.660 --> 0:11:51.810
White, Paul
You'll see it next week.

0:11:52.990 --> 0:11:53.880
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
We're good. Thank you.

0:11:54.210 --> 0:11:54.530
White, Paul
OK.

0:11:59.260 --> 0:12:0.750
White, Paul
Other other feedback.

0:12:5.950 --> 0:12:22.60
Robert Schlachter
So far this is Bob. So far as what I've seen so far in the draft, and I rewrote it this morning. I think we're going to give the legislature pretty much a good view of what's happening probably again.

0:12:22.930 --> 0:12:48.20
Robert Schlachter
For example, on my case with the fire service, we realized that Rutland County has the largest, I believe impact on the system at this point. So my final comments on that will be reflecting, you know that and some of the things that we're trying to do and where the legislature and administration can help to stand something up down here. So we'll continue working on that to you and you can edit as necessary.

0:12:49.170 --> 0:12:54.480
White, Paul
OK. Yeah. And I did. Mandy forwarded me your e-mail this morning, Bob, that so, thank you for that.

0:12:58.150 --> 0:12:59.560
White, Paul
OK, other comments.

0:13:4.660 --> 0:13:22.750
White, Paul
Before we break up, I will take public comment, but I'll just say you know, I don't wanna be a dead horse here, but I think if if there are no other comments, I think I know my time is better spent going back to my corner and continuing to edit and write and tweak and format and.

0:13:24.0 --> 0:13:28.850
White, Paul
Trying to make this look like something that we won't be completely embarrassed to submit to the legislature.

0:13:30.890 --> 0:13:33.920
White, Paul
But I certainly don't want to cut off any comment if there is any.

0:13:36.690 --> 0:13:37.760
White, Paul
Yeah. Go ahead mate.

0:13:35.60 --> 0:13:40.600
Burnham, Lance
That they have a quick question, Paul. Chief. Chief, did you get the most updated?

0:13:42.300 --> 0:13:48.390
Burnham, Lance
Rewrite even as of yesterday, and I know Mandy said it out. I just can't remember if I saw your name on the the list.

0:13:49.890 --> 0:13:50.160
Burnham, Lance
OK.

0:13:51.640 --> 0:13:51.970
Burnham, Lance
OK.

0:13:48.690 --> 0:13:54.30
Mantello, Peter
Yes. Yeah, that's the, that's the one I'm referring to. That's the one I got with the appendix is pretty lengthy, it's.

0:13:55.150 --> 0:13:55.630
Burnham, Lance
Yeah.

0:13:55.10 --> 0:13:59.380
Mantello, Peter
UH-37, I don't know, it's like 40 pages or something like that. Yes, I did.

0:13:59.890 --> 0:14:2.200
Burnham, Lance
OK. And as staying with everything?

0:14:1.330 --> 0:14:5.440
Mantello, Peter
And if I and, I'm gonna and I. Yeah. And I'm gonna reread it again.

0:14:11.60 --> 0:14:11.290
White, Paul
Yep.

0:14:6.800 --> 0:14:13.730
Mantello, Peter
And there's a couple things I can I can send to Paul because it's a lot to digest. Obviously, there's a lot of things in it.

0:14:15.400 --> 0:14:15.730
advocate (Guest)
So.

0:14:14.270 --> 0:14:19.140
Mantello, Peter
Umm. So if there's anything in it before next meeting I will send it Paul.

0:14:24.390 --> 0:14:25.360
Mantello, Peter
No, no.

0:14:19.570 --> 0:14:27.720
White, Paul
Yeah, please do it as soon you know. Don't wait until next Wednesday if it's if. Whenever you have, whenever you have it, go ahead and send it and I can plug it in.

0:14:29.10 --> 0:14:29.300
Mantello, Peter
OK.

0:14:28.760 --> 0:14:31.210
White, Paul
Yeah, sort of like a rolling a rolling document, so.

0:14:37.660 --> 0:14:38.860
White, Paul
OK. Anything else?

0:14:44.960 --> 0:14:50.230
White, Paul
If there's no more comment from the working group, then I will open up for.

0:14:52.50 --> 0:14:53.600
White, Paul
Public, who do we have? I see.

0:14:53.680 --> 0:14:56.720
White, Paul
Umm, Steve and.

0:15:0.280 --> 0:15:2.680
White, Paul
Uh, OK, Steve, what do you got for us?

0:15:4.840 --> 0:15:7.140
advocate (Guest)
Alright, Steve Whittaker for the record.

0:15:8.380 --> 0:15:14.700
advocate (Guest)
I have read the current draft as of yesterday. I wanna flag a.

0:15:16.900 --> 0:15:21.450
advocate (Guest)
The first thing that jumped that out at me is on page 2.

0:15:22.350 --> 0:15:36.540
advocate (Guest)
And it says while not it's I'm quoting now, while not explicitly stated in the enabling legislation, the obvious goal in the creation of this work group was to identify means by which the Department of Public Safety can be.

0:15:40.480 --> 0:15:45.190
advocate (Guest)
To provide dispatching services to local public safety agencies throughout the state.

0:15:46.460 --> 0:15:51.10
advocate (Guest)
I think that's untrue. It's misleading. It's self-serving to the department.

0:15:52.290 --> 0:15:52.850
advocate (Guest)
I think.

0:15:53.840 --> 0:15:54.390
advocate (Guest)
The.

0:15:55.330 --> 0:16:10.280
advocate (Guest)
I would characterize what the legislature charged this group with, was evaluating and documenting current practice, evaluating and documenting a proposed regional dispatch.

0:16:11.0 --> 0:16:12.850
advocate (Guest)
Scenario or plan?

0:16:13.640 --> 0:16:18.800
advocate (Guest)
Of which non exists and proposing a timeline if it.

0:16:19.680 --> 0:16:32.140
advocate (Guest)
Was decided by the legislature to pursue the regional dispatching model. The idea that this is already a done deal and our job is just to help the department advocate its current responsibilities is absolute.

0:16:32.770 --> 0:16:33.320
advocate (Guest)
Monk.

0:16:34.80 --> 0:16:36.530
advocate (Guest)
Or I could use a stronger word, but it smells.

0:16:39.730 --> 0:16:40.340
advocate (Guest)
I.

0:16:41.400 --> 0:16:45.890
advocate (Guest)
I understand the departments in a pickle with staffing problems.

0:16:47.850 --> 0:16:52.670
advocate (Guest)
Those are caused to some degree by the Valcour fiasco and which?

0:16:53.460 --> 0:16:55.0
advocate (Guest)
Even our uh.

0:16:56.340 --> 0:16:59.650
advocate (Guest)
Chief here in Montpelier, at a meeting last weeks.

0:17:2.400 --> 0:17:17.920
advocate (Guest)
Severe skepticism that, of course, ever gonna be a CAD system. So we're out shopping for a license for a real cat system to bolt on. But that's an underlying core question of whether these regional centers can be up and up and running it and at what cost.

0:17:18.720 --> 0:17:21.570
advocate (Guest)
So some of the more qualified.

0:17:22.280 --> 0:17:24.380
advocate (Guest)
Operators have regional dispatch.

0:17:25.50 --> 0:17:44.780
advocate (Guest)
Are smart enough to say I'm not gonna throw my hat in the ring or a proposal on the table it until I see an ongoing funding mechanism because it's falling to pretend we can stand up a system and start dispatching and the money will come later from somewhere yet to be defined.

0:17:45.440 --> 0:17:47.240
advocate (Guest)
That's not the way business is done.

0:17:47.930 --> 0:17:51.880
advocate (Guest)
And this is the public's business that we're supposed to be doing so.

0:17:52.810 --> 0:17:53.290
advocate (Guest)
The.

0:17:54.90 --> 0:18:24.220
advocate (Guest)
Case in point, central Vermont. There they have no source of increased operator funding. The department is not offering increased operator funding. The department is offering to ignore the RFP requirements for governance and for contractual documentation of ongoing commitments of support in exchange for a radio system. So what does that do for Central Vermont's client agencies? Well, it gives them some new infrastructure.

0:18:24.330 --> 0:18:39.790
advocate (Guest)
A year from now, maybe. Maybe the wrong infrastructure. Simulcast instead of trumped no LTE and it adds all the costs immediately of dispatching for whoever the state police wanna shunt onto. Montpelier central, Vermont.

0:18:40.450 --> 0:18:45.0
advocate (Guest)
Swanton Richmond, Northfield if they were willing, but they're not.

0:18:45.500 --> 0:18:52.500
advocate (Guest)
Uh, and no mechanism for it recouping costs from those, so it affect we're just.

0:19:20.530 --> 0:19:20.960
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
So.

0:18:53.860 --> 0:19:21.870
advocate (Guest)
In addition to a million and 1/2 in hidden costs in the Motorola proposal were taking the increased dispatching cost and shunting that onto the local customers. What if he what a folly that is, needs to be elaborated in this model because fundamentally the department has no plan. The department is making the evolution of regional dispatch more difficult by arguing local control.

0:19:24.640 --> 0:19:34.200
advocate (Guest)
It should preempt a statewide design. You cannot do Commissioner gave lip service at that joint fiscal meeting to resilience and fail.

0:19:36.620 --> 0:19:40.400
advocate (Guest)
But you can't do resilience and failover without a statewide design, so.

0:19:41.930 --> 0:19:51.880
advocate (Guest)
And I I think I'm gonna take a step back from the critique here and talk about evolution. This work groups. Momentum should continue.

0:19:52.410 --> 0:19:56.700
advocate (Guest)
Uh. With the Deadwood cut out and some new members on board?

0:19:58.240 --> 0:20:0.520
advocate (Guest)
The governor can do that by executive order.

0:20:1.190 --> 0:20:22.970
advocate (Guest)
Uh, I recommended they remodel the 911 board to to accomplish the same thing. Get the Deadwood out and focus on resilience, interoperability and statewide standards for dispatch and call answering. That would lay a foundation of employability for the qualified dispatchers.

0:20:23.750 --> 0:20:33.610
advocate (Guest)
Uh, and and recruitment to try to get dispatchers and call answers to move here from other parts of the country. But we've gotta give them something besides a.

0:20:34.780 --> 0:20:51.250
advocate (Guest)
Uh. A mess to aspire to. And that's what's missing here. That's the missed opportunity of this report. Is rather than put forth a vision of how we're going to actually recruit more dispatchers, cause any new regional center is gonna have the same problems.

0:20:52.100 --> 0:20:58.860
advocate (Guest)
Less the valcour fiasco in recruiting dispatchers as the state police have.

0:20:59.790 --> 0:21:0.270
advocate (Guest)
So.

0:21:1.870 --> 0:21:6.340
advocate (Guest)
We should recommend a way to keep the momentum going of the work group.

0:21:7.20 --> 0:21:7.620
advocate (Guest)
Uh.

0:21:8.930 --> 0:21:17.190
advocate (Guest)
Possibly by executive order and pointed out to everybody, but the new police chief from Castleton bed the.

0:21:18.940 --> 0:21:33.490
advocate (Guest)
This Advisory Council has been created 3 1/2 years ago and still not been appointed, but yet it's mission is too narrow. It's just focused on LTE, which is coincidentally, sorely missing from this entire report.

0:21:34.250 --> 0:21:55.340
advocate (Guest)
The public safety is absolutely gonna be reliant on both LMR and LTE, and we need to plan them together to get cost effective efficiency, shared generators shared by call, shared towers, and the governor doesn't have a strategy to get LTE cell coverage otherwise, which he sorely wants. He got zeroed out in the legislature.

0:21:56.150 --> 0:21:56.620
advocate (Guest)
So.

0:21:58.190 --> 0:21:58.860
advocate (Guest)
Uh.

0:21:59.650 --> 0:22:13.570
advocate (Guest)
The department subject matter experts to try to justify or rationalize or end around the RFP requirements cannot be trusted to be subject matter experts for a a credible work group.

0:22:14.300 --> 0:22:18.430
advocate (Guest)
Uh, you can't have two. You can't put a professional engineer in the.

0:22:19.920 --> 0:22:30.790
advocate (Guest)
Not in the position of having two bosses with different goals and agendas. So this body would need a its own subject matter experts.

0:22:31.720 --> 0:22:42.470
advocate (Guest)
Or the, conversely, the department might not need subject matter experts but could use some objective engineering and oversight by the work group in in before these funds are released.

0:22:43.430 --> 0:22:44.830
advocate (Guest)
The grant funds should.

0:22:45.480 --> 0:23:13.460
advocate (Guest)
Be passed out now only for a run. A large Rutland pilot and accelerated Rutland pilot with and statewide radio systems assessment and engineering including LTE and LMR, and assisting in convening the governance in all each of the regions. The reason that the governance is essential is that we can't afford to just grant this money to one operator.

0:23:14.120 --> 0:23:14.920
advocate (Guest)
Thereby.

0:23:15.580 --> 0:23:20.240
advocate (Guest)
Uh, perpetuating or creating a new monopoly in affect these?

0:23:21.100 --> 0:23:28.480
advocate (Guest)
These uh contracts grant awards would have to be written in a manner that if a if a regional authority.

0:23:29.160 --> 0:23:54.410
advocate (Guest)
Gets up and running. The ownership of the assets, the dispatch facility, the radio system transfers to the authority from whoever it is, the next sheriff might not be compatible nor interested in running a dispatch operation. Even in Rutland County. So the you can't afford to be held hostage to a grant award that was done before the planning was done.

0:23:55.220 --> 0:23:57.40
advocate (Guest)
So that's a key point.

0:23:59.740 --> 0:24:12.150
advocate (Guest)
The Rutland pilot should include a failover with deep, deep, detailed engineering. I recommend Addison County, which has no one stepping up to dispatch for Bristol Virgins or Middlebury.

0:24:12.850 --> 0:24:24.870
advocate (Guest)
Those are three clients of state police that would be a good relief from the Department of Public Safety, but it means starting up a basically a sister piece app.

0:24:25.540 --> 0:24:29.590
advocate (Guest)
Dispatch facility in Rutland and Addison at the same time and.

0:24:30.390 --> 0:24:39.880
advocate (Guest)
In that process, we could work out the issues of 911 board approval for new peace apps. I know Chittenden County is gonna pursue the same.

0:24:42.280 --> 0:24:51.950
advocate (Guest)
Recording hand over resiliency, redundancy, governance, all that stuff could be worked out with the existing available money.

0:24:55.540 --> 0:25:21.730
advocate (Guest)
I know you've heard most of this before. I I think it's unfortunate that no one has been assigned the task, especially a department would have so many $1,000,000 budget and is required by law to provide administrative support to this work group to go back through in mind. All the comments boil down all the public comments from Senator Illuzzi, from Jeanette White, from myself, from others and makes.

0:25:22.360 --> 0:25:23.570
advocate (Guest)
Put those in the report.

0:25:28.360 --> 0:25:41.70
advocate (Guest)
Even in an appendix, there's this report is really bloated with not a lot of content. It's not laying out the issues that they need decision points on. It's not laying out the conflict between what the department's doing and what the work group is charged with doing.

0:25:42.590 --> 0:25:43.680
advocate (Guest)
So that.

0:25:45.10 --> 0:25:51.450
advocate (Guest)
That's that's if you want to do a report take till January 1st and get it done right. Even if you have to.

0:25:54.590 --> 0:25:54.870
advocate (Guest)
Right.

0:25:56.200 --> 0:26:3.380
advocate (Guest)
Request the daughter, intervene and direct what the department's gonna do under their legal obligation and what you wanna do for yourselves.

0:26:4.440 --> 0:26:5.670
advocate (Guest)
That's enough. Thanks.

0:26:6.650 --> 0:26:7.280
White, Paul
Thank you, Steve.

0:26:8.600 --> 0:26:10.750
White, Paul
Any other public comment?

0:26:14.670 --> 0:26:19.840
White, Paul
I don't see Mr Cheney's not with us today, so the only other members of the public are.

0:26:21.730 --> 0:26:23.860
White, Paul
When and Trevor from VLC T.

0:26:26.50 --> 0:26:30.550
White, Paul
Is there any going once going twice? Any other comment from anybody?

0:26:36.600 --> 0:26:41.120
White, Paul
OK, so hearing none, I think we will go ahead and.

0:26:43.370 --> 0:27:9.120
White, Paul
Once there's a motion to adjourn, we will just go our separate ways and any of you that have offered or volunteered to or even if you haven't, if you have any supplements you want to send or any critique, by all means send them my way. We'll get him into the next draft for you to review and those of us that have been writing will go off into our separate corners and keep doing that and you can look for another draft here.

0:27:10.180 --> 0:27:11.180
White, Paul
Hopefully before the weekend.

0:27:15.440 --> 0:27:15.890
White, Paul
Would.

0:27:15.140 --> 0:27:16.130
Neal, Barbara
I move to adjourn.

0:27:16.760 --> 0:27:18.100
White, Paul
Thank you, Barb. Is there a second?

0:27:18.850 --> 0:27:19.460
Burnham, Lance
That second.

0:27:19.670 --> 0:27:20.290
Mantello, Peter
I second.

0:27:20.960 --> 0:27:21.930
White, Paul
All those in favor?

0:27:22.970 --> 0:27:23.410
Burnham, Lance
Bye.

0:27:23.60 --> 0:27:23.690
642a8953-5476-491f-b7c3-b05548f2239b
5.

0:27:23.540 --> 0:27:23.990
Neal, Barbara
Hi.

0:27:24.410 --> 0:27:24.810
Mantello, Peter
Aye.

0:27:24.670 --> 0:27:26.710
White, Paul
Right. Have a good weekend, everybody.

0:27:27.760 --> 0:27:28.260
Burnham, Lance
Thank you.

0:27:27.800 --> 0:27:28.400
Neal, Barbara
Thank you.

0:27:28.770 --> 0:27:28.970
White, Paul
Yep.

0:27:30.790 --> 0:27:31.230
Robert Schlachter
With all.