0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:0.300
Robert Schlachter
Morning.

0:0:2.0 --> 0:0:2.330
Wooster, Mandy
Morning.

0:0:2.970 --> 0:0:3.430
Mantello, Peter
Morning.

0:0:23.410 --> 0:0:26.380
White, Paul
So let's see. I see myself, mark.

0:0:27.540 --> 0:0:28.400
White, Paul
Lance.

0:0:32.550 --> 0:0:36.280
White, Paul
Pete Mantello, Barb Bob, Bob schlachter.

0:0:39.190 --> 0:0:40.950
White, Paul
So that's five.

0:0:42.660 --> 0:0:51.260
White, Paul
It's not a quorum. However, I'm gonna. I'm gonna go ahead and call the meeting to order. I anticipate this mornings meeting will be probably brief again, like the last couple.

0:0:52.220 --> 0:0:55.650
White, Paul
But I just wanted to check in with everybody there have been.

0:0:57.570 --> 0:0:58.80
White, Paul
I think that.

0:0:59.410 --> 0:1:12.710
White, Paul
Fair amount of work done to the draft that you all received last week, and of course it continues to be locked away yet here and there as comments come in or people continue to just massage the document so.

0:1:14.310 --> 0:1:44.180
White, Paul
And we did not include it in the draft that you received as we had asked. Barb has a resident expert in terms of grammar and punctuation and spelling and whatnot. Who we asked to take a first pass at the report, and we just got that back this morning. So you're not seeing the, the cleanest version of it from the copy that you got last week. But just so just to let you know, it is still, it is still a document in motion. And so I just wanted to even though I know it's the day before a holiday and.

0:1:44.270 --> 0:1:55.40
White, Paul
Tenants expected would be light, but I just wanted to give just another opportunity for anybody to weigh in anything anybody wants to add, change, modify, delete.

0:1:56.350 --> 0:1:58.740
White, Paul
And if there is none of that, then.

0:2:2.190 --> 0:2:7.0
White, Paul
Take brief comments and in part ways, but anything anybody wanted to.

0:2:7.910 --> 0:2:9.120
White, Paul
To say about it this morning.

0:2:13.970 --> 0:2:15.190
Burnham, Lance
Hi, good morning, Paula's plans.

0:2:16.80 --> 0:2:16.590
White, Paul
More than that.

0:2:17.860 --> 0:2:37.410
Burnham, Lance
Hi. I'm sorry I'm traveling today. I get a book on my winter tires on it would be, but if Mandy could give us a good update as well. I know we did ask our legal division to take a legal review of it, just I don't know if she got any update. As of yesterday. Andy, can you comment on that?

0:2:38.690 --> 0:2:41.350
Wooster, Mandy
Sure, she has not completed the document thus far.

0:2:43.520 --> 0:2:44.310
Wooster, Mandy
About halfway through.

0:2:44.620 --> 0:2:44.830
Burnham, Lance
OK.

0:2:45.830 --> 0:2:46.780
Burnham, Lance
Alright, thank you.

0:2:51.70 --> 0:3:4.510
White, Paul
And just I think it's probably probably goes without saying, but just the we had asked the part of a public safety's legal counsel to look through the document so far just to make sure we weren't going down any dead end roads or.

0:3:5.600 --> 0:3:9.270
White, Paul
Getting out on a limb that might get cut off behind us legally.

0:3:10.450 --> 0:3:13.560
White, Paul
Not that we. Not that she is going to be.

0:3:15.960 --> 0:3:21.130
White, Paul
Substantially changing the content of the document, but just to make sure that we're not saying anything that.

0:3:21.940 --> 0:3:23.0
White, Paul
Might come back to haunt us.

0:3:24.230 --> 0:3:27.230
White, Paul
And I don't expect she's going to find anything like that, but it's always good to have.

0:3:27.970 --> 0:3:31.580
White, Paul
I'm an expert set of eyes. Take a look. Any other comments?

0:3:39.490 --> 0:3:41.80
Robert Schlachter
This is Bob Barker.

0:3:40.720 --> 0:3:41.230
White, Paul
Hi, Bob.

0:3:42.360 --> 0:4:1.10
Robert Schlachter
I just wanted to say I last night early this morning, I should say I took the latest draft and literally went line by line of it. And overall I think it's beginning to paint a good picture of where things are. I am making a few contributions to it also.

0:4:1.530 --> 0:4:33.230
Robert Schlachter
Umm. And those should be reaching Mandy. I sent some information out this morning and obviously I need to do some editing and the like, but I think you know we're trying to address a lot of things that the legislature has asked and mandate. We don't necessarily have all the technical expertise that we need to effectively do that to the degree that maybe they had wished. But I think we're on the right track of giving them a report saying, hey, you know this issue has been going on probably for 50 years.

0:4:33.400 --> 0:4:55.280
Robert Schlachter
And uh, you know, many others have tried to, you know, solve the issue, so to speak, but it's pretty elusive and hopefully this will create legislative action even if they need to get more technical experts have a different committee whatever to actually move forward with.

0:4:56.60 --> 0:4:56.650
Robert Schlachter
Solving.

0:4:57.380 --> 0:5:7.530
Robert Schlachter
The issues and making dispatch the best it can be statewide, whether it's provided by a local municipality, Sheriff's Department, Department of Public Safety, whoever it is.

0:5:8.690 --> 0:5:9.970
Robert Schlachter
I think that's really important.

0:5:10.720 --> 0:5:16.330
Robert Schlachter
That we're bringing this information out to them and pretty soon it's going to be on their desk to make some decisions.

0:5:16.990 --> 0:5:19.410
Robert Schlachter
No, I appreciate the work everybody's doing also.

0:5:20.970 --> 0:5:21.600
White, Paul
Thank you, Bob.

0:5:24.400 --> 0:5:25.950
White, Paul
Any other comments?

0:5:32.0 --> 0:5:35.680
White, Paul
Just I thought I saw thought I lost count of the number of people here but.

0:5:36.500 --> 0:5:39.290
White, Paul
I'll just remove myself. Mark Lance.

0:5:41.10 --> 0:5:43.740
White, Paul
People Barb top. So we do have a quorum.

0:5:46.480 --> 0:5:47.190
White, Paul
Ohm.

0:5:47.920 --> 0:5:48.470
White, Paul
Yes, Mandy.

0:5:53.790 --> 0:5:54.180
White, Paul
This.

0:5:50.50 --> 0:6:4.240
Wooster, Mandy
Thanks. I just wanted to mention that the timeline is quickly approaching that we're going to have to get any edits in. This is due on the 1st. So I think that we need to kind of set an end date for edits coming from other one.

0:6:5.190 --> 0:6:7.640
White, Paul
Agreed, I think I.

0:6:9.440 --> 0:6:18.30
White, Paul
I know it's a holiday so it's, but maybe not reasonable to say. Get your comments in before this weekend, but I would say Monday at the absolute latest.

0:6:21.670 --> 0:6:24.540
White, Paul
Which would be what? 325 is the 28th?

0:6:26.170 --> 0:6:30.660
White, Paul
And that will still still give us one more Wednesday to come together and.

0:6:31.670 --> 0:6:35.300
White, Paul
To the the final speak now or forever hold your peace.

0:6:40.920 --> 0:6:48.90
White, Paul
Anybody have something that they intend to submit that they don't think they'll be able to get done by Monday or prior to Monday? It should say.

0:6:51.710 --> 0:6:51.980
White, Paul
Right.

0:6:54.140 --> 0:6:58.570
White, Paul
Last chance, I guess for working group comment before I open it up to public comment.

0:7:4.550 --> 0:7:6.620
White, Paul
OK, hearing none, is there any?

0:7:7.890 --> 0:7:8.660
White, Paul
Public comment.

0:7:12.470 --> 0:7:13.110
advocate (Guest)
Yeah, Steve.

0:7:16.60 --> 0:7:16.700
White, Paul
Go ahead, Steve.

0:7:18.170 --> 0:7:18.630
advocate (Guest)
So.

0:7:19.760 --> 0:7:20.850
advocate (Guest)
I find it.

0:7:21.800 --> 0:7:33.430
advocate (Guest)
Cameras, if not worse. The DVS is worried about a December December 1 deadline when I'm still waiting for public records responses to request made last June.

0:7:34.110 --> 0:7:43.890
advocate (Guest)
Uh, including access to the SharePoint file that was the group that preceded this one that resulted in the RFP and.

0:7:44.540 --> 0:7:51.930
advocate (Guest)
It's pretty unconscionable and it it defies accountability and transparency to basically.

0:7:52.570 --> 0:8:4.840
advocate (Guest)
Hide the precursor fun foundational records for this process to legitimate requests, and then ask people to sign off on, you know, or comment.

0:8:5.550 --> 0:8:13.320
advocate (Guest)
The uh informed please on this draft report. So that's just a flagrant violation of.

0:8:14.830 --> 0:8:18.20
advocate (Guest)
Due process law, transparency and accountability.

0:8:20.670 --> 0:8:23.180
advocate (Guest)
I have made the point a couple of times that.

0:8:24.570 --> 0:8:28.630
advocate (Guest)
This is not a hard, fast deadline of December 1. It's.

0:8:29.770 --> 0:8:36.560
advocate (Guest)
It's a common practice to either ask for an extension or miss your deadline, but.

0:8:37.230 --> 0:8:41.670
advocate (Guest)
I believe that this report and the issues that it attempts to address.

0:8:42.380 --> 0:8:42.890
advocate (Guest)
Uh.

0:8:44.640 --> 0:8:49.110
advocate (Guest)
Are so important that you should think carefully about.

0:8:49.780 --> 0:8:53.190
advocate (Guest)
You're one bite at the apple, so to speak, of the.

0:8:54.870 --> 0:8:55.430
advocate (Guest)
Attention.

0:8:56.420 --> 0:8:57.120
advocate (Guest)
Governors attend.

0:8:58.130 --> 0:9:3.940
advocate (Guest)
A second follow up report that fills in the gaps or that elaborates on a core.

0:9:4.740 --> 0:9:10.680
advocate (Guest)
Will not get the same attention that this report will get on its first release.

0:9:11.390 --> 0:9:14.790
advocate (Guest)
Uh, it's an it's an attention bandwidth constraint.

0:9:15.470 --> 0:9:20.850
advocate (Guest)
So, uh, you're better off filing a real report later than a.

0:9:22.0 --> 0:9:27.550
advocate (Guest)
Half baked report on time, especially considering the holidays and.

0:9:28.470 --> 0:9:29.770
advocate (Guest)
This is such a man.

0:9:32.110 --> 0:9:51.150
advocate (Guest)
Such an issue of magnitude, both for the governor and the legislators because it directly affects both public safety and local budgets. That and maybe one of the most red reports in years, and therefore I'm encouraging you to make sure it's right.

0:9:51.470 --> 0:9:59.660
advocate (Guest)
Umm, I know there's not much appetite nor participation I might add from most of the Members on this work group to.

0:10:0.520 --> 0:10:6.470
advocate (Guest)
Strictly adhere to the language of the charge, the there there are specifics about.

0:10:7.170 --> 0:10:10.60
advocate (Guest)
Accounting for the current costs.

0:10:10.640 --> 0:10:18.670
advocate (Guest)
On that on that have not been completed. I've completed most of them, but I'm not a member of the work group and I'm not gonna expect.

0:10:19.320 --> 0:10:27.340
advocate (Guest)
You know your staff. Who hasn't done that work to validate my findings? I have not collected the.

0:10:28.30 --> 0:10:32.600
advocate (Guest)
Self dispatching towns like Colchester, South Burlington, Burlington.

0:10:34.630 --> 0:10:35.570
advocate (Guest)
Those costs?

0:10:36.990 --> 0:10:47.100
advocate (Guest)
But I I do have the costs, the annual cost for all the other services and municipalities around the state, even if they're served by out of state facilities.

0:10:47.800 --> 0:10:52.370
advocate (Guest)
Umm. And that's where my generalized numbers of you know.

0:10:53.160 --> 0:10:57.60
advocate (Guest)
66 million between 6 and 7,000,000 annually come from.

0:10:58.130 --> 0:10:58.750
advocate (Guest)
Umm.

0:11:1.820 --> 0:11:6.780
advocate (Guest)
So I I wanted to specifically challenge the recommendation in that.

0:11:7.500 --> 0:11:10.690
advocate (Guest)
The Emergency Communications Advisory Council be.

0:11:11.100 --> 0:11:15.430
advocate (Guest)
Uh. Created or populated or whatever we wanna use.

0:11:16.300 --> 0:11:18.80
advocate (Guest)
If you read carefully that.

0:11:19.720 --> 0:11:24.800
advocate (Guest)
Mission it's really only a successor to the public Safety Broadband Network Commission.

0:11:25.460 --> 0:11:38.640
advocate (Guest)
Which was only specific to 1st that it did not deal with LMR Radio and this work group fundamentally to get their head around regional dispatch has to deal with LOTR Radio.

0:11:39.300 --> 0:11:41.680
advocate (Guest)
I'm also arguing that we have to deal with.

0:11:43.240 --> 0:12:1.840
advocate (Guest)
Broadband LTE broadband, regardless of carrier, not not limited to AT&T's, you know, any competitive first net ambitions, but we need priority and preemption for first responders on every LTE carrier operating in Vermont. That's doable.

0:12:2.720 --> 0:12:4.410
advocate (Guest)
That that's achievable.

0:12:5.370 --> 0:12:13.480
advocate (Guest)
I did have a conversation with one of the governor's staff yesterday and pitched the idea that.

0:12:15.30 --> 0:12:17.960
advocate (Guest)
The 19 through executive order.

0:12:18.520 --> 0:12:28.350
advocate (Guest)
Uh, an attempt could be made to convene the governance to statewide governance. That's necessary here. That has to address both LTE broadband.

0:12:29.240 --> 0:12:31.620
advocate (Guest)
Interoperability of LMR systems.

0:12:32.540 --> 0:12:39.770
advocate (Guest)
And regional dispatch and standards and resilience and failover and all these complicated issues.

0:12:40.430 --> 0:12:41.60
advocate (Guest)
Umm.

0:12:42.510 --> 0:12:47.140
advocate (Guest)
I think you would be sorely missing the pub. The point or you would actually.

0:12:48.10 --> 0:12:58.540
advocate (Guest)
Have a big gaping hole in your report. If you don't address the fact that absent both state governance and regional governance, none of this can fly.

0:12:59.360 --> 0:13:3.170
advocate (Guest)
So where this the law requires that this work group?

0:13:3.960 --> 0:13:16.30
advocate (Guest)
Address the the regional dispatch model or the new regional dispatch model. I forget the exact phrase that model has not been articulated, that the the Commissioners.

0:13:17.80 --> 0:13:26.670
advocate (Guest)
Uh so-called regional grant facility plan was a joke and did not include any of the required elements of a plan.

0:13:27.480 --> 0:13:41.950
advocate (Guest)
Long term funding model has to be laid out here and that has not been done. You basically said ohh you could do this and this and this, but there's no long term funding model then presented by the Commissioner, there's no regional dispatch.

0:13:43.40 --> 0:13:46.10
advocate (Guest)
Model or plan so.

0:13:46.930 --> 0:14:7.250
advocate (Guest)
It's clear where the responsibility lies, but it's hard for this work group to have be expected to do its work when the foundational information has not been provided by the agency. So having worked on this topic or the topic of telecommunications in Vermont for 30 years now.

0:14:7.990 --> 0:14:8.600
advocate (Guest)
I have.

0:14:9.410 --> 0:14:9.980
advocate (Guest)
Uh.

0:14:11.250 --> 0:14:11.970
advocate (Guest)
Sobered.

0:14:12.610 --> 0:14:14.770
advocate (Guest)
To the point of not expecting.

0:14:15.840 --> 0:14:21.70
advocate (Guest)
Competency of the Department of Public Service with the 10 year telecom plan, or.

0:14:22.980 --> 0:14:23.880
advocate (Guest)
Public safety.

0:14:25.500 --> 0:14:33.0
advocate (Guest)
Regional and fail safe public safety band communications plan out of the Department of Public Safety so absent.

0:14:34.700 --> 0:14:50.790
advocate (Guest)
You know, or it recognizing those limitations in those two key departments, it's time to get a regional and statewide governing body into place and that in effect, would IT support what this work group is expected to do.

0:14:51.440 --> 0:14:57.790
advocate (Guest)
One deal with the issue of funding, I think this report should specifically request that absent.

0:14:58.530 --> 0:14:59.70
advocate (Guest)
A.

0:15:0.0 --> 0:15:0.640
advocate (Guest)
Plan.

0:15:1.960 --> 0:15:2.780
advocate (Guest)
A regional.

0:15:4.80 --> 0:15:9.630
advocate (Guest)
I'm a clearly articulated regional dispatch model and ongoing.

0:15:10.470 --> 0:15:16.960
advocate (Guest)
Funding for those facilities that no little to no of the 11 million should be spent.

0:15:17.600 --> 0:15:19.910
advocate (Guest)
Uh. As I said before, the only.

0:15:21.100 --> 0:15:30.410
advocate (Guest)
Uh purposes that I think should be spent right now would be inventory of radio systems and assessment of their capabilities and.

0:15:31.450 --> 0:15:33.230
advocate (Guest)
Uh interoperability.

0:15:34.60 --> 0:15:41.750
advocate (Guest)
On inventory using the Federal Chasm database which I mentioned, I think in our first your first meeting.

0:15:43.160 --> 0:15:46.140
advocate (Guest)
The Chasm database was abandoned by Vermont.

0:15:47.230 --> 0:15:49.340
advocate (Guest)
Back in 2010 or so.

0:15:51.410 --> 0:15:57.430
advocate (Guest)
And there was some outdated information accessed by 1 credential in 2015 and.

0:15:58.530 --> 0:16:5.730
advocate (Guest)
Carrie Levalley told me that he doesn't recommend chasm because we don't have competent people to fill it in properly.

0:16:6.540 --> 0:16:36.240
advocate (Guest)
That's just no excuse for not knowing where our dead zones are, where our radios are, what condition they're in, how much longer they're gonna live, what we'll talk to, what in a failover situation. That's just a lame excuse. So the leadership, that miserable, pitiful lack of leadership and lack of planning from the departments, both of the departments, public safety and public service, leaves us in this large. So I would not recommend ECAC. I would recommend a comprehensive.

0:16:36.770 --> 0:16:41.220
advocate (Guest)
Uh governing model, both at the state and the regional level.

0:16:42.610 --> 0:16:44.560
advocate (Guest)
I would recommend that.

0:16:46.30 --> 0:16:47.420
advocate (Guest)
The governor consider.

0:16:48.970 --> 0:16:49.600
advocate (Guest)
Uh.

0:16:50.980 --> 0:17:4.650
advocate (Guest)
And attempts to implement it by executive order at the opening of the session. The way the law is written, a governor at the beginning of a new new term has X number of weeks to.

0:17:5.310 --> 0:17:15.200
advocate (Guest)
Reorganize government by executive order and then the legislature has, I believe, 90 days to either allow that to go into effect or to.

0:17:15.890 --> 0:17:17.180
advocate (Guest)
Uh, challenging?

0:17:17.890 --> 0:17:24.170
advocate (Guest)
Or reject that executive order and therefore it puts the onus on the legislature to deal with it in statute.

0:17:24.920 --> 0:17:25.410
advocate (Guest)
So.

0:17:26.200 --> 0:17:29.930
advocate (Guest)
I think that opportunity here to do it properly.

0:17:30.690 --> 0:17:38.290
advocate (Guest)
Uh, maybe not exactly the governor's liking, but more to a regional and local dispatch governance model.

0:17:39.30 --> 0:17:40.40
advocate (Guest)
Uh. Which would?

0:17:40.790 --> 0:17:47.440
advocate (Guest)
Make it less likely that the legislature would reject it, and it will actually get this process running a year sooner.

0:17:48.210 --> 0:17:56.810
advocate (Guest)
There's a there's $11 million or burning a hole in the commissioner's pocket, and there's more money coming and there's a need for.

0:17:57.720 --> 0:18:20.890
advocate (Guest)
Six or eight times those amounts and we need to find a way to leverage public safety investments with broadband investments in the way of backhaul generators etcetera, to lower the cost. And if we couple it with LTE infill, we can potentially get revenue to these public safety authorities from the roaming.

0:18:21.660 --> 0:18:29.590
advocate (Guest)
Because if public cells are being used to generate roaming revenues for a neutral host operator, that generates revenue that could.

0:18:30.220 --> 0:18:36.140
advocate (Guest)
Provide ongoing support for the maintenance and operations of national public safety systems.

0:18:37.140 --> 0:18:37.630
advocate (Guest)
So.

0:18:38.600 --> 0:18:48.550
advocate (Guest)
I know that's a lot to spring on you, but that's all a good reason why your report should not be considered a December 1 deadline.

0:18:49.130 --> 0:18:49.710
advocate (Guest)
Uh.

0:18:51.680 --> 0:18:55.810
advocate (Guest)
And result in a dead report rather than a A.

0:18:56.610 --> 0:18:59.290
advocate (Guest)
Clear strategy for the legislature to.

0:19:0.400 --> 0:19:1.720
advocate (Guest)
Bless and fond.

0:19:2.500 --> 0:19:6.440
advocate (Guest)
Even if it's not under the jurisdiction of the Department of Public Safety.

0:19:7.170 --> 0:19:15.140
advocate (Guest)
So I'm recommending and I've done so publicly that the 911 board be remodeled by executive order.

0:19:15.790 --> 0:19:19.140
advocate (Guest)
To give the NI will want a new name.

0:19:19.580 --> 0:19:21.110
advocate (Guest)
Uh and?

0:19:21.830 --> 0:19:22.810
advocate (Guest)
New members.

0:19:23.520 --> 0:19:27.260
advocate (Guest)
More akin to this body, but.

0:19:28.280 --> 0:19:30.940
advocate (Guest)
Uh, significantly more engaged.

0:19:31.670 --> 0:19:34.890
advocate (Guest)
Uh, and accountable and.

0:19:36.60 --> 0:19:49.190
advocate (Guest)
Deal with dispatch standards, resiliency standards, failover standards, LTE wireless for both the public to be able to call for help as well as first responders to be able to use modern day tools.

0:19:49.880 --> 0:19:53.970
advocate (Guest)
Uh, such as vehicle location, CAD drones and.

0:19:54.820 --> 0:19:59.170
advocate (Guest)
Physical location of an individual lost in a fireground so.

0:19:59.740 --> 0:20:5.520
advocate (Guest)
Uh, for all those reasons I'm saying, don't miss this opportunity to get a real.

0:20:6.660 --> 0:20:23.300
advocate (Guest)
Vision and trajectory chart a path for the legislature. Because these issues are way too complex to love on them and expect to result, especially if you've just spent, you know, four to six months chewing on it and not come up with a game plan.

0:20:23.960 --> 0:20:32.950
advocate (Guest)
I know you can say technically it's not your job, it's the Commissioners job, and the Commissioner can say it's public services job and we're all left unsafe.

0:20:33.810 --> 0:20:34.320
advocate (Guest)
So.

0:20:35.620 --> 0:20:36.500
advocate (Guest)
Thanks for your time.

0:20:37.520 --> 0:20:40.860
advocate (Guest)
Tolerance. My profession.

0:20:42.40 --> 0:20:44.270
advocate (Guest)
Badgering. But I do.

0:20:44.940 --> 0:20:46.340
advocate (Guest)
Attempt to be constructive.

0:20:47.320 --> 0:20:50.270
White, Paul
OK. Thank you, Steve. And we appreciate that.

0:20:51.590 --> 0:20:51.910
0e9baeef-d8d1-47a9-a2f6-c7187adaa2b6
It's.

0:20:52.910 --> 0:20:53.950
White, Paul
Any other public comment?

0:20:58.410 --> 0:21:0.70
White, Paul
I just want to make sure I have.

0:21:0.710 --> 0:21:3.180
White, Paul
Documented everybody that's here. I see there's a.

0:21:4.950 --> 0:21:9.80
White, Paul
303 looks like a Colorado phone number that I don't recognize as that Jack.

0:21:9.950 --> 0:21:10.640
0e9baeef-d8d1-47a9-a2f6-c7187adaa2b6
That is.

0:21:10.860 --> 0:21:11.790
White, Paul
OK. Thank you, Jack.

0:21:12.890 --> 0:21:14.540
0e9baeef-d8d1-47a9-a2f6-c7187adaa2b6
It's just one of many numbers.

0:21:14.830 --> 0:21:17.520
White, Paul
I can't keep track, keep keep, keep up with your phone numbers.

0:21:20.490 --> 0:21:21.540
White, Paul
Any other?

0:21:22.700 --> 0:21:25.30
White, Paul
Uh comments from anyone before we.

0:21:26.720 --> 0:21:27.680
White, Paul
Adjourned for the holiday.

0:21:28.800 --> 0:21:31.240
advocate (Guest)
Yeah, Paul, I have one more I forgot to include.

0:21:31.140 --> 0:21:31.960
White, Paul
OK, OK.

0:21:33.330 --> 0:21:35.360
advocate (Guest)
Some of you may have seen this in an e-mail.

0:21:36.630 --> 0:21:55.310
advocate (Guest)
I have examined the Montpelier proposal, which the Commissioner has continued to insist she's wants to hurry up and fund, and that they don't need to do anymore work on governance or financing or resiliency or operational funds, but the proposal.

0:21:56.160 --> 0:22:4.950
advocate (Guest)
Includes and. This is the work group. Should comment on these proposals because they're at risk of wasting money and derailing yells effort.

0:22:6.380 --> 0:22:7.890
advocate (Guest)
Montpelier's proposal.

0:22:9.450 --> 0:22:24.220
advocate (Guest)
Represents that they're gonna take Northfield police. They're gonna take first Branch ambulance. They're gonna take Chelsea Fire, Chelsea fire and 1st Branch Ambulance are located in Chelsea and they're on the Sheriff's Department list, which is already.

0:22:25.950 --> 0:22:36.300
advocate (Guest)
Ahead of Montpelier in funding. So that leaves Montpelier serving no one that's currently on the state police. And yet they're asking for.

0:22:37.0 --> 0:22:51.380
advocate (Guest)
3.6 potentially being awarded 2.4 million out of this pot, so if if this board is gonna turn a blind eye to that kind of abusive process and lack of planning.

0:22:52.10 --> 0:23:7.70
advocate (Guest)
You also need to know that none of the existing paying customers of the Montpelier dispatch operation have been consulted or agreed to become the fall back Dispatch Center for state police dispatching.

0:23:6.60 --> 0:23:13.340
White, Paul
OK. But I think, Steve, I that but again that that's everything to do with that grant process is beyond this working groups.

0:23:14.480 --> 0:23:16.430
White, Paul
Work so but.

0:23:24.380 --> 0:23:24.660
White, Paul
Umm.

0:23:15.250 --> 0:23:28.960
advocate (Guest)
OK, but the here's the Nexus that I want to argue is that since that you are charged with representing recommendations on transition timing, you should recommend that all of these things be halted until proper planning is done.

0:23:31.450 --> 0:23:40.630
advocate (Guest)
Because that is an an orderly transition process, not a throw. The money at the wall and and see what sticks and come back later and clean up the mess.

0:23:41.610 --> 0:23:42.110
advocate (Guest)
Thank you.

0:23:41.540 --> 0:23:44.170
White, Paul
OK, alright, thank you. Any other comment?

0:23:45.930 --> 0:23:47.990
Burnham, Lance
Paul, can I just make one comment, plants?

0:23:48.240 --> 0:23:48.860
White, Paul
Yeah, of course.

0:23:50.610 --> 0:24:1.490
Burnham, Lance
I apologize, I do. I do know and I see that we have their have other members of the public on. I do wanna make correction to Mr Whittaker's comment.

0:24:2.220 --> 0:24:11.480
Burnham, Lance
That this deadline is being pushed by the Commissioner. That is absolutely false. That is, we have all agreed in our working group that.

0:24:12.640 --> 0:24:33.750
Burnham, Lance
That December 1 deadline is what we wanna do to the best of my knowledge, the Commissioner has not seen this report. She had not asked about this report, nor has she had anything to do with any type of drafting. So I think that's very important. This is not being driven by DPS.

0:24:34.970 --> 0:24:47.750
Burnham, Lance
The Commissioner has been very clear and very hands off on this and I just want to correct that because it was said that it was being pushed by DPS to meet this deadline and that is false and that's all, yeah.

0:24:48.330 --> 0:24:50.540
White, Paul
OK. Thank you, Lance. And yes, I.

0:24:51.580 --> 0:25:15.430
White, Paul
That's a that's an excellent point. I would just for the sake of the working group, I will confirm what Lance said is I have had zero the only only contact I've had with the Commissioner is the day that she appeared before this working group and spoke to all of us at the same time. There's been no behind the scenes communications, no pressure applied by anybody. No, Mandy is yes.

0:25:16.360 --> 0:25:46.390
White, Paul
In the literal sense, Mandy is writing the report in the respect of its her fingertips on the keys of the keyboard, doing the typing as we provide her with information from various sources. But this is the working group's report. There is the the department is not applying any kind of pressure to include or exclude or to meet any kind of timeline that's we have just been working towards the charge that we were given by the legislature. And I think the at least a majority of the working group felt that.

0:25:46.700 --> 0:26:8.30
White, Paul
Requesting a deadline was not going to necessarily help us. The group that we have is not necessarily a group of, you know why we all have our own areas of expertise. We're not subject matter experts in the area of building regional dispatch centers. So it's my opinion and I believe a majority of the working groups that to ask for an extension.

0:26:9.610 --> 0:26:27.760
White, Paul
It's just gonna be more time buying more time for us to keep spinning our wheels and looking at things we've already looked at, so it is still the intent to submit the report that you know, we're not. It's not final yet. We're still taking input and still massaging, but we do intend to submit the report on or about.

0:26:29.60 --> 0:26:29.560
White, Paul
Sort of.

0:26:30.630 --> 0:26:41.120
White, Paul
Another firm Deadline, and that it has to be there by midnight, but we do intend to submit the report, if not next week, the week after, with the caveat stated that, you know, there is much more work to be done.

0:26:44.0 --> 0:26:57.30
White, Paul
OK, so since we have a quorum, can we just take care? I know it's we're out of order, but at the time, we didn't have a quorum. If we could just approve last week's minutes, then when we come back together next week, we will have one less piece of business to have to take care of.

0:26:58.290 --> 0:27:0.690
White, Paul
If I could just have a motion to approve last week's minutes.

0:27:1.630 --> 0:27:3.640
Robert Schlachter
I'll move to approve Bob.

0:27:4.430 --> 0:27:5.80
White, Paul
Thank you, Bob.

0:27:6.300 --> 0:27:6.880
Burnham, Lance
I second.

0:27:7.970 --> 0:27:8.450
Burnham, Lance
Lance.

0:27:7.360 --> 0:27:11.990
White, Paul
Lance seconds. Any discussion about last week's minutes?

0:27:13.130 --> 0:27:15.750
White, Paul
If not hearing none, all those in favor of approval say aye.

0:27:16.510 --> 0:27:16.970
Robert Schlachter
Aye.

0:27:17.480 --> 0:27:17.950
Burnham, Lance
Hi.

0:27:17.790 --> 0:27:18.200
Neal, Barbara
I.

0:27:17.750 --> 0:27:19.680
White, Paul
Aye. Anybody opposed?

0:27:21.230 --> 0:27:21.900
White, Paul
Guys appeared.

0:27:21.260 --> 0:27:23.730
Anderson, Mark
I'll I'll be an abstention as I wasn't present.

0:27:23.740 --> 0:27:25.810
White, Paul
OK, one abstention from Mark Anderson.

0:27:27.10 --> 0:27:35.480
White, Paul
With the eyes do have it, the motion carries the Minutes are approved and unless there's any last minute business motion to adjourn would be in order.

0:27:36.460 --> 0:27:37.230
Robert Schlachter
Looking to adjourn?

0:27:37.270 --> 0:27:37.730
Burnham, Lance
I must.

0:27:38.340 --> 0:27:42.620
White, Paul
Second, there's a motion a second, all those in favor say aye.

0:27:43.590 --> 0:27:43.960
Anderson, Mark
I.

0:27:43.320 --> 0:27:44.210
Robert Schlachter
Hi. Hi.

0:27:43.640 --> 0:27:44.880
Mantello, Peter
I I.

0:27:45.760 --> 0:27:50.90
White, Paul
Thank you everybody. Have a fantastic Thanksgiving and we'll see you next Wednesday.

0:27:51.100 --> 0:27:51.680
Robert Schlachter
You also.

0:27:50.720 --> 0:27:53.910
Neal, Barbara
Right. Happy Thanksgiving, everyone. Happy Thanksgiving. Happy.

0:27:52.840 --> 0:27:54.460
White, Paul
OK. Bye bye.