

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:1.590

Neal, Barbara

Making sure we always have a quorum.

0:0:1.660 --> 0:0:3.920

Neal, Barbara

So sorry, go ahead.

0:0:3.930 --> 0:0:5.420

Neal, Barbara

If you've started the recording.

0:0:5.880 --> 0:0:6.590

Johnson, Soni

I have indeed.

0:0:5.810 --> 0:0:8.450

Neal, Barbara

OK, excellent.

0:0:8.880 --> 0:0:9.350

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:0:9.400 --> 0:0:10.550

Neal, Barbara

Good morning, everybody.

0:0:11.240 --> 0:0:15.610

Neal, Barbara

Welcome to the Public Safety Communications Task Force meeting.

0:0:15.620 --> 0:0:17.210

Neal, Barbara

It is 1117.

0:0:17.560 --> 0:0:20.830

Neal, Barbara

On August 9, 2023, and I'm Barb Neil.

0:0:21.570 --> 0:0:28.260

Neal, Barbara

As a reminder, the meeting is being recorded and that recording will be posted publicly following the meeting.

0:0:28.990 --> 0:0:35.980

Neal, Barbara

We don't have our usual administrative support this week, so it may not be up there just today, but we will get it up as soon as possible.

0:0:37.190 --> 0:0:40.440

Neal, Barbara

So let's start with a roll call of the task force members.

0:0:40.450 --> 0:0:45.260

Neal, Barbara

I can see everybody this morning, so, Commissioner, good morning, Paul White.

0:0:46.850 --> 0:0:52.880

Neal, Barbara

Morning, Michael Wright and Jim Mack.

0:0:54.630 --> 0:0:55.980

Neal, Barbara

Yep, good morning.

0:0:56.550 --> 0:0:59.20

Neal, Barbara

Also with us is Sonny Johnson.

0:0:59.30 --> 0:1:15.290

Neal, Barbara

She's the 911 boards administrative services coordinator and she is filling in this week for Kelly Audette, so she'll be helping us with all our administrative stuff throughout this meeting and keeping us on track, hopefully with boats and motions and things like that.

0:1:16.640 --> 0:1:19.760

Neal, Barbara

Also, I see Philip Sisk has joined us.

0:1:19.770 --> 0:1:21.190

Neal, Barbara

Do you wanna introduce yourself?

0:1:21.790 --> 0:1:22.660

Phillip Sisk

Yes, good morning.

0:1:22.670 --> 0:1:26.700

Phillip Sisk

I am on video cause I'm stationary for this meeting.

0:1:26.930 --> 0:1:39.740

Phillip Sisk

Usually I'm mobile and I have to go with just the audio, but yeah, so Phil Sisk with mission critical partners and we are an expert service provider focused on public safety.

0:1:39.990 --> 0:1:45.60

Phillip Sisk

And I am located in Providence, RI, so that's where I'm speaking to you from today.

0:1:45.990 --> 0:1:46.510

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:1:46.520 --> 0:1:47.700

Neal, Barbara

Well, thanks for joining us.

0:1:47.970 --> 0:1:50.720

Neal, Barbara

And Corey, I didn't see you before.

0:1:50.770 --> 0:1:55.110

Neal, Barbara

So Cory Chase from Department of Public Safety, let want to introduce yourself.

0:1:57.710 --> 0:1:59.500

Chase, Corey

Yes, hello, this is Corey chase.

0:1:59.510 --> 0:2:5.230

Chase, Corey

I'm the the director of the Radio Technology Service group here at the Vermont Department of Public Safety.

0:2:7.570 --> 0:2:8.480

Neal, Barbara

Thanks for being here.

0:2:10.20 --> 0:2:10.390

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:2:10.400 --> 0:2:29.880

Neal, Barbara

Next item, approval of the agenda or any proposed additions, Commissioner, do we want to move the congressionally directed spending discussion up one item so that we can tackle that first, then we'll move to the RFP's in a potentially we'll need executive session for those.

0:2:29.970 --> 0:2:32.660

Neal, Barbara

Those, but at least they'll be lumped together.

0:2:32.890 --> 0:2:33.660

Neal, Barbara

Good. OK.

0:2:33.430 --> 0:2:36.950

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, I would propose that we move.

0:2:37.30 --> 0:2:38.970

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't know if that's something we need a motion on.

0:2:40.680 --> 0:2:40.950

Neal, Barbara

I don't.

0:2:38.980 --> 0:2:45.120

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm sure Paul knows to to change the order of the agenda, as Barb just reflected.

0:2:48.140 --> 0:2:49.420

Neal, Barbara

I don't think we need a vote on that.

0:2:49.430 --> 0:2:50.940

Neal, Barbara

I think we can just do that, correct, Paul?

0:2:53.260 --> 0:2:54.900

White, Paul

Could it be a stickler for Roberts rules?

0:2:55.580 --> 0:2:58.380

Neal, Barbara

Ohh, OK alright.

0:2:58.440 --> 0:3:1.340

Neal, Barbara

Well, Sonny will try, man, if we're breaking the rules so.

0:2:54.910 --> 0:3:2.900

White, Paul

So it's fine with me if nobody if if if, if if nobody if nobody objects.

0:3:2.910 --> 0:3:3.430

White, Paul

I think it's fine.

0:3:4.0 --> 0:3:5.0

Neal, Barbara

OK, alright.

0:3:5.10 --> 0:3:5.340

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:3:6.130 --> 0:3:6.560

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:3:6.570 --> 0:3:16.160

Neal, Barbara

So we'll adjust the agenda as we just discussed and let's move on to approval of the Minutes from August 2nd, 2023.

0:3:16.170 --> 0:3:21.860

Neal, Barbara

So those minutes were distributed late last week to Task Force members also available on the web page.

0:3:21.930 --> 0:3:24.930

Neal, Barbara

Is there a motion to approve the Minutes from August 2nd?

0:3:27.520 --> 0:3:29.860

White, Paul

And move acceptance of the Minutes from August 2nd.

0:3:30.810 --> 0:3:32.580

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Paul, a second.

0:3:32.140 --> 0:3:32.600

Michael Wright

2nd.

0:3:34.110 --> 0:3:34.550

James Mack

2nd.

0:3:35.340 --> 0:3:37.0

Neal, Barbara

I I I got Michael first.

0:3:38.310 --> 0:3:39.50

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion?

0:3:42.210 --> 0:3:45.480

Neal, Barbara

All in favor say I rise.

0:3:45.490 --> 0:3:46.170

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand, please.

0:3:47.620 --> 0:3:49.0

Neal, Barbara

OK, looks like everybody.

0:3:51.320 --> 0:3:53.890

Neal, Barbara

No one opposed the Minutes are approved.

0:3:55.270 --> 0:4:4.600

Neal, Barbara

OK, let's jump into congressionally directed spending or funding discussion, Commissioner, I will hand it over to you.

0:4:6.610 --> 0:4:11.250

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, so at last weeks meeting it's only a week ago.

0:4:11.320 --> 0:4:11.960

Morrison, Jennifer

Interesting.

0:4:12.260 --> 0:4:14.640

Morrison, Jennifer

There's so many in the waiting room apparently.

0:4:12.250 --> 0:4:15.40

White, Paul

The smoke they push solid.

0:4:15.450 --> 0:4:15.930

Neal, Barbara

Oh, OK.

0:4:16.990 --> 0:4:19.80

Morrison, Jennifer

Can you check Sonny to see if this man in the waiting room?

0:4:32.350 --> 0:4:33.820

Johnson, Soni

Sorry, forgot I was muted.

0:4:33.990 --> 0:4:37.370

Johnson, Soni

I don't see anybody in the lobby, at least not on my screen.

0:4:38.220 --> 0:4:38.520

Neal, Barbara

Alright.

0:4:37.960 --> 0:4:40.900

Morrison, Jennifer

Alright, just give me one quick moment to here.

0:4:53.380 --> 0:4:54.940

White, Paul

And you have them.

0:5:3.740 --> 0:5:4.370

Morrison, Jennifer

One SEC.

0:5:4.440 --> 0:5:7.770

Morrison, Jennifer

I just want to find the link for the meeting.

0:5:14.480 --> 0:5:15.930

Morrison, Jennifer

It's probably on the agenda, right?

0:5:17.770 --> 0:5:17.910

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:5:17.690 --> 0:5:17.920

White, Paul

Right.

0:5:18.30 --> 0:5:19.260

White, Paul

Wait, why don't we? Ohh yes.

0:5:20.810 --> 0:5:21.150

White, Paul

Thanks.

0:5:22.710 --> 0:5:23.730

White, Paul

The other ways of that work.

0:5:28.0 --> 0:5:28.310

White, Paul
Mobile.

0:5:26.160 --> 0:5:31.880

Neal, Barbara
Do we need it to forward it out to someone or or maybe Sony could do that, perhaps if she's got.

0:5:31.0 --> 0:5:39.840

Morrison, Jennifer
Yeah, Sonny, if you can forward the meeting link that we published on the agenda or indeed the one to get in here to Steven Whittaker, that would be great.

0:5:40.820 --> 0:5:41.480

Johnson, Soni
Yes, I can.

0:5:40.570 --> 0:5:41.580

White, Paul
Should be in your.

0:5:42.70 --> 0:5:43.160

White, Paul
Should be in your calendar too.

0:5:44.400 --> 0:5:45.10

Johnson, Soni
I can do that.

0:5:45.660 --> 0:5:46.170

Morrison, Jennifer
Thank you.

0:5:45.590 --> 0:5:46.240

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:5:46.520 --> 0:5:46.970

Neal, Barbara
Thanks, honey.

0:5:46.680 --> 0:5:52.930

Morrison, Jennifer
OK, so going back to the congressionally directed spending conversation from last Wednesday, the group had.

0:5:54.740 --> 0:6:10.730

Morrison, Jennifer

Two choices that we were that were known to the group, the discussion of prioritizing a computer aided dispatch solution for all state first responders we had talked about the proposal that came from RTS.

0:6:10.740 --> 0:6:12.990

Morrison, Jennifer

It was not a fully vetted draft.

0:6:13.0 --> 0:6:16.120

Morrison, Jennifer

We have more detail on that, but it's not again, it's not.

0:6:17.700 --> 0:6:20.430

Morrison, Jennifer

We're not going to pursue a fully vetted dot.

0:6:23.620 --> 0:6:24.400

White, Paul

I think I wanna do.

0:6:20.440 --> 0:6:27.370

Morrison, Jennifer

The eyes cross the T's proposal until we know that's the direction, if that's the direction of the group.

0:6:27.560 --> 0:6:30.10

Morrison, Jennifer

But we have a little more contextual information on that.

0:6:30.220 --> 0:6:34.590

Morrison, Jennifer

And then at that meeting last week, we left it open for other ideas.

0:6:35.270 --> 0:6:36.270

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh Paul?

0:6:36.280 --> 0:6:44.780

Morrison, Jennifer

White proposed an idea relative to investing the \$9 million in a trunked solution.

0:6:44.790 --> 0:6:46.80

Morrison, Jennifer

But I'm going to let Paul.

0:6:46.200 --> 0:6:53.100

Morrison, Jennifer

Everybody should have received a copy of the proposal, or at least the general concept prior to this meeting.

0:6:53.110 --> 0:7:1.930

Morrison, Jennifer

But Paul, for the sake of those who might not be on the task force email chain, can you give a bit of an overview about the option C as it is come to be known?

0:7:4.630 --> 0:7:6.470

White, Paul

Umm sure.

0:7:6.860 --> 0:7:11.990

White, Paul

Umm, I guess my in a very vague layman's terms.

0:7:12.0 --> 0:7:31.890

White, Paul

I was proposing to spend the money to, to design and engineer a state owned trunk system that would have built-in capacity to serve as the failover for all of these various regional dispatch centers that we are charged with designing and eventually rolling out.

0:7:32.760 --> 0:7:44.620

White, Paul

But to do that, would I think, would require knowing what's currently out there, you know, conducting the inventory of what's existing for towers and frequencies and licenses and all of that stuff.

0:7:44.630 --> 0:7:49.550

White, Paul

And that is all things that are contained in the RFP that we've been discussing.

0:7:49.560 --> 0:8:6.80

White, Paul

So it's a little bit of a, you know cart before the horse and and the reason I was thinking that way is I just I didn't while both of the the menu option A&B, the CAD and the the other proposal they both are are worthwhile both are needed.

0:8:6.90 --> 0:8:16.130

White, Paul

I just didn't know that they necessarily satisfy the legislative charge and get us closer to getting the regional dispatch, which is what we're tasking with.

0:8:16.400 --> 0:8:40.260

White, Paul

Since then, since I sent this to you on Friday, given it more thought over the weekend and and thank you for sending the information on the the CDs, you know the congressionally directed spending, now that I have read that over, I don't know if any of these proposals necessarily satisfy the requirements of a cops grant, but I guess I'm not.

0:8:43.200 --> 0:8:44.830

White, Paul

I'm not sure where where to go with this.

0:8:44.840 --> 0:8:53.20

White, Paul

I guess is having having received the additional information that was sent out yesterday about option #2.

0:8:56.580 --> 0:9:11.130

White, Paul

I think the the the parameters around that congressionally directed spending money are such that I don't know that we can that we could design something on such short notice to move forward.

0:9:11.320 --> 0:9:17.720

White, Paul

So I'm not to say I'm withdrawing my suggestion of Option 3, but I'm not as bullish on it as perhaps I was last week.

0:9:27.0 --> 0:9:27.210

White, Paul

Who?

0:9:19.350 --> 0:9:34.10

Morrison, Jennifer

It's fair to presume that option three would occur in the course of the rest of the designing of a of a system, because I I don't know who that is, but we can admit them.

0:9:36.50 --> 0:9:42.930

Morrison, Jennifer

At any rate, it's my understanding that that would happen based on how the wording of the current wording of the RFP.

0:9:46.50 --> 0:9:46.700

Morrison, Jennifer

Barb God.

0:9:48.310 --> 0:10:7.10

Neal, Barbara

Umm my my limited knowledge of radio systems is going to shine through brilliantly right now, but I was hoping that there was some short description of the distinction between what Paul was recommending or or talking about.

0:10:7.80 --> 0:10:15.250

Neal, Barbara

An option C so a trunked radio system versus what was in the RTS.

0:10:15.460 --> 0:10:17.70

Neal, Barbara

Kind of scope of work.

0:10:17.80 --> 0:10:32.730

Neal, Barbara

So are we talking about different components of it's different infrastructure I think, but if somebody

could, but I don't know if it's Paul or Corey that might be able to address that, what the distinction is between those two proposals?

0:10:33.630 --> 0:10:45.500

White, Paul

Uh, how about if I tell you what my understanding was, which is what prompted me to come up with OPS and see, and then Corey can highlight my inadequate knowledge and tell us the real the real answer.

0:10:45.610 --> 0:10:55.740

White, Paul

My my the reason I put forth Option C was that I felt that the the option 2 that was option B that was presented to us was focusing just on the existing network.

0:10:55.750 --> 0:10:58.30

White, Paul

It wasn't expanding anywhere.

0:10:58.40 --> 0:10:59.360

White, Paul

It was hardening.

0:10:59.370 --> 0:11:0.100

White, Paul

It was improving.

0:11:0.110 --> 0:11:0.780

White, Paul

It was updating.

0:11:0.830 --> 0:11:9.710

White, Paul

I was buying a lot of new stuff that no doubt needs to be replaced, but it wasn't reaching out into areas where we hope to deploy regional dispatch centers.

0:11:9.940 --> 0:11:12.280

White, Paul

That was my understanding and I could be entirely wrong.

0:11:15.860 --> 0:11:17.540

Morrison, Jennifer

I think that is my first blush.

0:11:17.550 --> 0:11:25.780

Morrison, Jennifer

When you received the that first draft that that's a fair assessment because the preliminary legwork was done internally.

0:11:26.680 --> 0:11:32.70

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, focusing on what we currently own and operate and maintain.

0:11:33.40 --> 0:11:49.320

Morrison, Jennifer

So the how does this benefit future regional operators or other communities that would seek to use our thing was was not as clearly highlighted as when we gave it a little more thought and sent you the follow up email for context.

0:11:51.450 --> 0:12:4.590

Morrison, Jennifer

So I I don't want to say much beyond that, but I think Barbier core question was about a trunked radio system and I'm gonna let Corey explain how what that, what that is because I have a general sense.

0:12:4.600 --> 0:12:7.350

Morrison, Jennifer

But I'm sure it won't sound as good as it will coming from him.

0:12:10.930 --> 0:12:15.420

Chase, Corey

Uh, so I I, Commissioner Morrison, I I thank you for for that.

0:12:15.990 --> 0:12:22.860

Chase, Corey

I have to say that I'm relatively new to this position and I don't have a deep background in trunked radio systems.

0:12:29.400 --> 0:12:29.950

White, Paul

I have.

0:12:23.130 --> 0:12:31.840

Chase, Corey

I can, however, tell you that right now the state system is set up for individual radios for individual user groups.

0:12:32.650 --> 0:12:47.850

Chase, Corey

So, for instance, the state leases on one frequency or one set of frequencies, and the for instance another user group is AOT and they're they're on different radios on different frequencies.

0:12:48.400 --> 0:13:6.650

Chase, Corey

The the idea of a trunked radio system is is a merging that kind of traditional land mobile radio systems closer toward a shared infrastructure like a cellular system where many users use the same frequencies for different things.

0:13:7.120 --> 0:13:10.670

Chase, Corey

And by trunked you have a different instead of.

0:13:10.680 --> 0:13:21.600

Chase, Corey

Instead of 1 radio isolated for one group and another radio for another group, use the same radios and frequencies, but different users can share those that that core infrastructure.

0:13:22.510 --> 0:13:26.700

Chase, Corey

Umm so I as a general kind of please.

0:13:28.340 --> 0:13:30.360

Chase, Corey

No, no, I that's I gave it.

0:13:23.0 --> 0:13:39.560

Morrison, Jennifer

And one of the reasons go ahead core, I, I just gonna say it's a as a general as a general context to this, there's only X number of radio frequencies that the and licenses that the FCC allows.

0:13:39.830 --> 0:13:45.80

Morrison, Jennifer

So there is you can't just go add on more and more and be like, oh, we need more for this.

0:13:51.610 --> 0:13:55.200

White, Paul

Pulling pool, right, right.

0:13:55.540 --> 0:13:58.530

White, Paul

Pulling right pooling resources, yeah.

0:13:59.120 --> 0:13:59.280

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:13:45.470 --> 0:14:8.420

Morrison, Jennifer

So the trunked system is a little bit, for lack of a better word, like bundling instead of every every communication group running in an individual lane, they get bundled together and and pooling resources so that everybody has a or let's say everybody can get their message across at the time they need it.

0:14:8.430 --> 0:14:13.380

Morrison, Jennifer

So you're using frequencies that are not currently busy, as opposed to talking over each other.

0:14:13.390 --> 0:14:15.10

Morrison, Jennifer

If you have a lot of traffic on 1 channel.

0:14:17.710 --> 0:14:18.700

Morrison, Jennifer

It's it.

0:14:18.760 --> 0:14:18.970

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:14:18.710 --> 0:14:20.180

Morrison, Jennifer

It's a pooling resources.

0:14:22.520 --> 0:14:24.520

Neal, Barbara

Some some efficiencies there sounds like.

0:14:23.430 --> 0:14:29.50

Chase, Corey

It's often done it trunk trucking is often done to weigh in as the.

0:14:29.120 --> 0:14:34.570

Chase, Corey

Yes, Commissioner mentioned it frequencies and access to frequencies is a.

0:14:40.510 --> 0:14:40.780

White, Paul

So.

0:14:34.610 --> 0:14:44.840

Chase, Corey

It's a finite resource and trunking one of the great advantages of trunking systems is that you can use that on that resource more efficiently.

0:14:45.10 --> 0:14:50.140

Chase, Corey

So you can get more users in the limited group group of frequencies that you have.

0:14:51.170 --> 0:14:55.340

Chase, Corey

It's important to note that that efficiency gain comes at a cost.

0:14:55.970 --> 0:15:9.780

Chase, Corey

The cost is is that it that technology is, as I mentioned, closer to moving toward the cellular kind of infrastructure, which is a significantly more complicated and more expensive solution.

0:15:9.980 --> 0:15:13.340

Chase, Corey

So it it's it's not a simple undertaking.

0:15:18.340 --> 0:15:18.690

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:15:18.700 --> 0:15:21.150

Neal, Barbara

And then I I just have one more question if I can.

0:15:20.580 --> 0:15:21.580

White, Paul

I add anything.

0:15:21.240 --> 0:15:22.490

Neal, Barbara

Well, two comments really.

0:15:22.500 --> 0:15:32.270

Neal, Barbara

One is I wonder I know some of the conversations around how to how to spend this congressionally directed money have gone on for quite a while.

0:15:32.890 --> 0:15:33.70

White, Paul

But.

0:15:32.280 --> 0:15:36.500

Neal, Barbara

And so one of my questions is what input I have?

0:15:37.730 --> 0:15:39.120

Neal, Barbara

I guess it would be the Commissioner.

0:15:39.130 --> 0:15:43.860

Neal, Barbara

What input have you gotten from other from people that will be impacted?

0:15:43.870 --> 0:15:48.760

Neal, Barbara

Stakeholders that will be impacted say if we went down this the RTS proposal route.

0:15:49.770 --> 0:15:56.680

Neal, Barbara

I know we've had some input here on the value of a CAD system.

0:15:56.690 --> 0:16:7.100

Neal, Barbara

So Michael Wright was explaining his thoughts on that last week very well, but I'm I'm just curious what the level of input has been on.

0:16:9.140 --> 0:16:11.800

Neal, Barbara

On that RTS type proposal.

0:16:14.120 --> 0:16:18.130

Neal, Barbara

And Sunny, do you have a question or something we're doing wrong?

0:16:18.860 --> 0:16:19.650

Johnson, Soni

No, no.

0:16:19.660 --> 0:16:28.460

Johnson, Soni

I just wanted to let you know that I've heard from Steven Whittaker that the link that I sent him is not working, so I will resend it, but I just thought I'd give you a heads up and let you know that he can't get in.

0:16:29.190 --> 0:16:31.520

Neal, Barbara

OK, I'll forward as well, I guess.

0:16:32.590 --> 0:16:39.140

Chase, Corey

I could say I I've used the link that's on the website the the the Task Force website.

0:16:39.270 --> 0:16:41.390

Chase, Corey

I just used that link and came right in.

0:16:41.900 --> 0:16:42.110

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:16:42.780 --> 0:16:44.360

Morrison, Jennifer

Alright, I'm typing that right now.

0:16:45.210 --> 0:16:50.250

White, Paul

And I use the one that Cali had emailed to us on our calendars and that and that worked fine.

0:16:48.840 --> 0:16:51.590

Morrison, Jennifer

Right as did I.

0:16:52.820 --> 0:17:2.350

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, so Barb to answer your question about input on the RTS proposal, we have not shopped that around broadly publicly.

0:17:5.860 --> 0:17:6.80

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:17:2.700 --> 0:17:22.110

Morrison, Jennifer

I mean we we have to sort of remember the time frame that we found ourselves in where it was late June before the budget was passed and we were engaged actively with the COPS Technology Office trying to get this money out of that funding stream so that we could go back to the premise of of making subgrants with the \$9 million.

0:17:22.920 --> 0:17:23.370

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm.

0:17:23.680 --> 0:17:32.940

Morrison, Jennifer

And all of that sort of has in the last six weeks become clear that we're not getting it out of that funding stream and we have to put forth a proposal.

0:17:43.90 --> 0:17:43.270

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

0:17:34.380 --> 0:17:45.140

Morrison, Jennifer

Even if it is not completely nailed down, we have to give them something to get preliminary approval on by August 28th, so that that's the time frame that that we're working on.

0:17:46.820 --> 0:17:52.20

Morrison, Jennifer

So we we did, we've not held any like hearings or shopped around the idea in any way.

0:17:58.340 --> 0:17:59.120

White, Paul

Yeah, yeah.

0:17:53.940 --> 0:18:18.100

Neal, Barbara

OK, but my only other comment will be whatever path is chosen for that, I think there needs to be a a

big component of gathering that stakeholder input as it as things go along, so that all of the stakeholders and voices that need to be at that table are heard have a a mechanism to be heard.

0:18:18.150 --> 0:18:20.770

Neal, Barbara
So I know we have.

0:18:21.770 --> 0:18:22.710

Neal, Barbara
Well, I'll stop there.

0:18:25.260 --> 0:18:25.580

White, Paul
Uh.

0:18:27.630 --> 0:18:40.770

Morrison, Jennifer
So I guess we have a few more minutes for folks to provide input on which of the three choices they prefer that my team take the next 2 1/2 weeks to really focus on and get something turned in.

0:18:45.900 --> 0:18:46.520

White, Paul
Yeah. Feature.

0:18:47.850 --> 0:18:49.60

Morrison, Jennifer
Barb, do you have a preference?

0:18:52.980 --> 0:18:53.340

Neal, Barbara
Umm.

0:18:53.980 --> 0:19:2.420

Neal, Barbara
I lean towards CAD, but that is only because or mostly because that's what I'm most familiar with and I can see.

0:19:3.470 --> 0:19:5.720

Neal, Barbara
Umm, you know what?

0:19:5.730 --> 0:19:9.490

Neal, Barbara
What some of those impacts would be, and I'm less familiar with the others, so.

0:19:11.940 --> 0:19:12.960

Neal, Barbara
So that's where I am.

0:19:17.910 --> 0:19:18.610

Morrison, Jennifer
Mike or Jim?

0:19:21.950 --> 0:19:23.100

James Mack
Why is the dispatcher?

0:19:23.110 --> 0:19:33.40

James Mack
I tell you, I lean towards CAD myself personally, but I also do understand the infrastructure behind the OR where we're going and that does need to be upgraded.

0:19:33.450 --> 0:19:43.690

James Mack
So I I can flip a coin either way on these on those two options, the trumped portion, I don't think is gonna come until after you do some of the upgrades to the infrastructure anyways.

0:19:48.890 --> 0:19:49.40

Morrison, Jennifer
Like.

0:19:48.920 --> 0:19:56.210

Michael Wright
I I would say CAD because of all the things that I've mentioned before.

0:19:57.330 --> 0:19:57.680

White, Paul
I'm not.

0:19:56.220 --> 0:19:59.450

Michael Wright
I think there's a lot of issues with CAD.

0:19:59.460 --> 0:20:0.620

Michael Wright
There's a lot of delays.

0:20:7.130 --> 0:20:9.80

White, Paul
Anyway, alright, well, I'll get work.

0:20:9.130 --> 0:20:9.810

White, Paul
I'll get working on.

0:20:1.0 --> 0:20:14.650

Michael Wright

Umm, I think right now for our specific area and talking to most you know EMS and fire phones, at least the radio system is for the most part working.

0:20:14.900 --> 0:20:20.970

Michael Wright

There's obviously some weak spots, but we I think most systems have improved on that.

0:20:21.950 --> 0:20:22.420

White, Paul

Yes, I.

0:20:22.0 --> 0:20:29.80

Michael Wright

While I'd love to see a fully statewide Trump system, you know that certainly is there.

0:20:29.820 --> 0:20:30.870

White, Paul

The and.

0:20:29.90 --> 0:20:32.390

Michael Wright

But I think CAD definitely is something that we gotta work on.

0:20:35.690 --> 0:20:36.530

White, Paul

What is all of that?

0:20:37.190 --> 0:20:38.500

Morrison, Jennifer

And Paul?

0:20:40.900 --> 0:20:48.870

White, Paul

So I think both if we're if we're talking option A and option B I think both are needed.

0:20:48.980 --> 0:20:52.990

White, Paul

Both are definitely something that we need to have.

0:20:55.160 --> 0:20:58.330

White, Paul

I think that ultimately it's up to you, Commissioner.

0:20:58.340 --> 0:21:8.390

White, Paul

I think you're obligated to consult with the task force, which you've done, and I think whichever one you and your staff ultimately decide best satisfies the guidelines of the cops.

0:21:8.400 --> 0:21:10.310

White, Paul

Grant is the one that you'll go with.

0:21:10.320 --> 0:21:14.200

White, Paul

I guess I would while I I agree that we need a CAD system.

0:21:15.200 --> 0:21:20.210

White, Paul

I don't know that a CAD system is gonna help us get down the road towards regional dispatch.

0:21:20.220 --> 0:21:25.490

White, Paul

So I guess I would lean towards menu option BI.

0:21:25.560 --> 0:21:26.140

Morrison, Jennifer

So I think.

0:21:25.500 --> 0:21:26.650

White, Paul

Think that's just that?

0:21:26.670 --> 0:21:27.920

White, Paul

I think that's more of a direct.

0:21:31.100 --> 0:21:36.520

White, Paul

Or directly gets us down the path of where this task force is charged with not not minimizing the need for a CAD system.

0:21:37.340 --> 0:21:37.820

Morrison, Jennifer

No, I'm.

0:21:37.880 --> 0:21:38.910

Morrison, Jennifer

I yeah.

0:21:38.920 --> 0:21:46.750

Morrison, Jennifer

And I think that that's well said that they're both very much needed in my world of bad analogies.

0:21:47.40 --> 0:22:9.330

Morrison, Jennifer

I see a option B as being the backbone and even the beyond the backbone, the skeleton of everything

else that comes after with CAD being the top hat like that's that's the implementation and execution of a now fully integrated system.

0:22:9.340 --> 0:22:19.670

Morrison, Jennifer

It's not to say it couldn't work right now, but it would require buy in from people as individuals outside the scope of the piece.

0:22:19.680 --> 0:22:33.130

Morrison, Jennifer

The legislative requirement that we design A statewide system, so we'd be going department to department trying to get willing partners to engage with cat with to agree on the same solution.

0:22:33.140 --> 0:22:33.810

Morrison, Jennifer

It's not.

0:22:33.880 --> 0:22:36.910

Morrison, Jennifer

It would be outside the umbrella if we do it under the CDs.

0:22:37.320 --> 0:22:42.970

Morrison, Jennifer

It's not technically part of the design of a statewide system that has been legislatively mandated.

0:22:43.420 --> 0:22:46.850

Morrison, Jennifer

And then we're going to get into a system where everybody wants something different.

0:22:47.170 --> 0:22:54.710

Morrison, Jennifer

And so for me, it feels very dicey to try and pursue CAD through the CDL.

0:22:54.810 --> 0:22:56.420

Morrison, Jennifer

The congressionally directed spending.

0:22:57.500 --> 0:23:15.860

Morrison, Jennifer

Bucket of money because it creates it as a standalone project and there is a lot to disagree about when you talk about selecting and implementing and designing the back end rules of how CAD is deployed in the field, right?

0:23:16.240 --> 0:23:24.220

Morrison, Jennifer

Whereas hardening the infrastructure upon which everything else is going to ride, they're obviously will be differences of opinion, but it won't.

0:23:25.260 --> 0:23:39.210

Morrison, Jennifer

It won't be nearly as diverse an array of opinions as cat is going to evoke, and I know this well, having gone from new world systems to Spillman and Spillman Devalcourt in the course of my career as a police leader. So.

0:23:42.140 --> 0:23:44.960

Morrison, Jennifer

I I want I want I do want to talk to Ron and.

0:23:46.220 --> 0:23:47.670

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh mayor Don.

0:23:47.680 --> 0:23:52.370

Morrison, Jennifer

Just who are not here to seek their input to before a decision is made.

0:23:52.380 --> 0:24:0.950

Morrison, Jennifer

But if I were to have to point my team in a direction today, I would put them on the option C, option B sorry, option B track.

0:24:4.760 --> 0:24:9.680

Morrison, Jennifer

But thank you for your input and I appreciate it and probably we should move on to the next agenda item bar.

0:24:10.480 --> 0:24:12.980

Neal, Barbara

Already, let's do that.

0:24:13.270 --> 0:24:15.200

Neal, Barbara

We are well.

0:24:15.210 --> 0:24:16.480

Neal, Barbara

I'm gonna keep it right with you.

0:24:16.490 --> 0:24:25.780

Neal, Barbara

We are on to the RFP, so we have a project management RFP to discuss and the subject matter expert or or a few to discuss.

0:24:28.660 --> 0:24:30.500

White, Paul

They thank you work for.

0:24:26.650 --> 0:24:33.100

Neal, Barbara

I don't know if either or both of those need an executive session to discuss content.

0:24:35.40 --> 0:24:52.500

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, the larger one for the for the sake of being simple about this, we'll talk about the big RFP, which implicates many sections of the legislation, and we'll talk about the little RFP, which is specific to a project manager to serve this task force.

0:24:54.770 --> 0:24:55.300

Morrison, Jennifer

I am.

0:24:54.410 --> 0:24:55.380

Neal, Barbara

Jen, I'm sorry.

0:24:55.390 --> 0:24:57.60

Neal, Barbara

I I think I made a mistake.

0:24:57.790 --> 0:24:58.260

White, Paul

And some of.

0:24:57.70 --> 0:25:4.70

Neal, Barbara

I think we needed to have public comment opportunity on the agenda next before we move to the to the RFP's.

0:25:4.740 --> 0:25:5.370

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:25:5.620 --> 0:25:6.730

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep, that's fine.

0:25:6.180 --> 0:25:7.830

Neal, Barbara

I I think, yeah, sorry.

0:25:6.780 --> 0:25:9.210

Morrison, Jennifer

I think we can, that's OK.

0:25:11.340 --> 0:25:15.490

Neal, Barbara

UM, so we are at public comment.

0:25:16.440 --> 0:25:19.280

Neal, Barbara

I see a few members of the public.

0:25:20.700 --> 0:25:22.660

Neal, Barbara

Phillip, do you want an opportunity to comment?

0:25:23.840 --> 0:25:25.180

Phillip Sisk

Umm, I would just.

0:25:27.920 --> 0:25:54.170

Phillip Sisk

I would say echo the cinema that the Commissioner described related to how you spend those funds, the complexity, the number of vendors, the time frame it takes related to CAD given the schedule that you're talking about based on our experience almost precludes option A and it would just be more realistic to be able to focus on option B.

0:25:54.480 --> 0:25:59.700

Phillip Sisk

And for my perspective and then related to the RFP's, I didn't want to ask one question.

0:25:59.710 --> 0:26:4.40

Phillip Sisk

Question do you have a time frame that you currently project for them to be released?

0:26:8.120 --> 0:26:10.990

Morrison, Jennifer

We are, we're hoping really soon.

0:26:11.390 --> 0:26:22.120

Morrison, Jennifer

We're hoping next week if both get approved, I mean whichever 1 gets approved, we're not necessarily gonna hold one back because the other isn't approved.

0:26:22.190 --> 0:26:33.300

Morrison, Jennifer

But as soon as they can be approved by this body, then we will run the final administrative checks on it through the procurement office and get them posted.

0:26:34.490 --> 0:26:34.770

Phillip Sisk

OK.

0:26:33.310 --> 0:26:38.70

Morrison, Jennifer

So it is our goal to have them out by August 14th.

0:26:39.500 --> 0:26:39.750

Phillip Sisk

OK.

0:26:38.880 --> 0:26:42.860

Morrison, Jennifer

If it goes well, thank you.

0:26:42.180 --> 0:27:5.840

Phillip Sisk

And based on the discussions you've had so far, does the committee or does the task force envision UH-1 large subject matter expert RFP is that I think that's what you just said that if you were able to provide multiple subject matter experts, you would respond to that one RFP with the capabilities of all the subject matter experts that are applicable.

0:27:5.950 --> 0:27:7.330

Phillip Sisk

Is that how you envision it?

0:27:9.830 --> 0:27:13.910

Morrison, Jennifer

If I understood your question correctly, yes.

0:27:14.300 --> 0:27:14.560

Phillip Sisk

OK.

0:27:13.960 --> 0:27:27.50

Morrison, Jennifer

So the little RFP that I just mentioned, which I'm just refreshing my memory on cause I haven't looked at it in a few days, is specific to a project manager who would work at the direction of this task force.

0:27:42.220 --> 0:27:42.510

Phillip Sisk

Umm.

0:27:27.100 --> 0:27:54.500

Morrison, Jennifer

So that we have our own trusted partner to help US interface with the subject matter experts who would respond to the RFP that we are calling the big, the big RFP, right, and that does include multiple pieces of the legislative really required work and a project manager would be envisioned within that big RFP as well.

0:27:54.950 --> 0:27:55.210

Phillip Sisk

OK.

0:27:54.780 --> 0:27:57.260

Morrison, Jennifer

So it's not to have dueling project managers.

0:28:4.490 --> 0:28:4.870

Phillip Sisk

Gotcha.

0:27:57.270 --> 0:28:15.270

Morrison, Jennifer

Obviously a vendor who replies will supply a project manager of their own and we would like our own project manager to interface with that person to prepare reports for the legislature to do some of the administrative tasks that are beyond the clerking these meetings and posting agendas and websites.

0:28:15.570 --> 0:28:30.50

Morrison, Jennifer

So we need somebody who has some bandwidth and expertise on our team as a task force to help us complete the work that's required on our end and to be able to do due diligence with whatever vendor is the successful bidder, right?

0:28:30.460 --> 0:28:30.720

Phillip Sisk

Umm.

0:28:33.700 --> 0:28:35.760

Phillip Sisk

Gotcha, you come.

0:28:30.150 --> 0:28:43.830

Morrison, Jennifer

That somebody's keeping our eyes on the work that's happening and we're not solely reliant on the successful bidder giving US status reports that we can't independently verify, shall we say.

0:28:44.770 --> 0:28:45.620

Phillip Sisk

Understood.

0:28:45.990 --> 0:28:46.700

Phillip Sisk

Understood.

0:28:46.830 --> 0:28:53.420

Phillip Sisk

And and related to the subject matter expert RFP, yeah, in my review of the legislation, it does it there at 5.

0:28:53.430 --> 0:29:2.30

Phillip Sisk

Basically, if I'm going by memory now, UM 10 different topics as far as like technology, staffing, facilities.

0:29:3.460 --> 0:29:7.890

Phillip Sisk

Communications, cyber securities in there you will be at us I guess.

0:29:7.900 --> 0:29:12.530

Phillip Sisk

Would say, do you envision being able to respond to all of those areas as part of 1 RFP?

0:29:14.880 --> 0:29:15.120

Phillip Sisk

OK.

0:29:13.600 --> 0:29:15.230

Morrison, Jennifer

You can or the.

0:29:15.240 --> 0:29:20.860

Morrison, Jennifer

The responder can or the responder can choose sections of the big RFP to respond to.

0:29:22.110 --> 0:29:22.660

Morrison, Jennifer

That's all.

0:29:20.0 --> 0:29:22.870

Phillip Sisk

OK, that's what I needed to know.

0:29:22.940 --> 0:29:23.320

Phillip Sisk

Thank you.

0:29:22.670 --> 0:29:24.400

Morrison, Jennifer

It's all laid out very clearly.

0:29:25.170 --> 0:29:25.560

Phillip Sisk

OK.

0:29:25.570 --> 0:29:26.200

Phillip Sisk

Thank you, man.

0:29:29.890 --> 0:29:33.470

Neal, Barbara

OK, anyone else for public comment?

0:29:33.520 --> 0:29:35.470

Neal, Barbara

Steven, I see you're on the on the line.

0:29:42.550 --> 0:29:50.390

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Yeah, I I had some trouble getting in or they're different numbers on different agendas.

0:29:51.440 --> 0:29:55.630

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Umm, which implicates open meeting law.

0:29:56.680 --> 0:29:58.620

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Umm, could you hear me?

0:29:59.390 --> 0:29:59.610

Morrison, Jennifer

Yes.

0:29:59.450 --> 0:29:59.940

Neal, Barbara

Yes, we can.

0:30:1.680 --> 0:30:2.160

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Umm.

0:30:5.70 --> 0:30:15.760

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

I I yeah, I think I've conveyed my comments to the Commissioner regarding microwave upgrades and the relationship to regional dispatch.

0:30:16.670 --> 0:30:20.870

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

UMI don't see any point in going through those here.

0:30:21.790 --> 0:30:24.880

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Uh, I concerned about attendance.

0:30:24.950 --> 0:30:39.580

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

If both Ron and Don Jess are missing today and and Don just missing last time work quickly getting to the point of uh, ineffective, uh governance.

0:30:40.400 --> 0:30:46.270

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Umm the I have mentioned at a prior meeting that you this commit.

0:30:46.440 --> 0:30:57.650

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

This task force needs to talk about recommending an Advisory Council to loop in the 10 year telecommunications plan, the broadband plan, etcetera.

0:30:57.960 --> 0:31:4.410

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

The interoperability plan I just learned this morning that the 20 million that they.

0:31:5.360 --> 0:31:25.980

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Uh emergency board took for grants to replace flooded appliances came from the middle mile, matching funds for broadband, which directly implicates how we resiliently back hall radio towers.

0:31:26.30 --> 0:31:29.760

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

So this is a much bigger topic than y'all.

0:31:29.770 --> 0:31:33.370

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Have your head around yet and I don't know how to fix it.

0:31:33.850 --> 0:31:40.860

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Umm, but time is of the essence to get project management and subject matter experts on board.

0:31:41.690 --> 0:31:44.80

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

UM, time is of the essence.

0:31:44.90 --> 0:31:57.660

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

To get a discussion around funding sources, sustainable funding sources for regional dispatch, that's probably should be a separate RFP put on an agenda for the next meeting.

0:31:57.670 --> 0:32:12.560

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Even, uh and uh pilot project working the calendar back to get an approved any approved pilot projects by year end necessitates some real focus which I don't see this group having yet.

0:32:13.240 --> 0:32:16.310

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Uh, I don't want to see it become another E911 board.

0:32:18.960 --> 0:32:26.170

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

And I've I've worked too long and hard on it, so I'll leave it at that for today.

0:32:28.0 --> 0:32:28.390

Neal, Barbara

Great.

0:32:28.400 --> 0:32:28.750

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:32:28.760 --> 0:32:32.460

Neal, Barbara

Steven, I see what appears to be another member of the public.

0:32:32.470 --> 0:32:40.660

Neal, Barbara

I'm not gonna be able to pronounce the name, so I'll just offer a are there any other additional comments from the public?

0:32:47.110 --> 0:32:58.860

Neal, Barbara

OK, hearing none now we can move on to the two RFP discussions and I'll hand it back to you, Umm, Commissioner.

0:32:59.960 --> 0:33:9.10

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, as the as I previously mentioned in that the members of the task force have received received advanced copies, there are.

0:33:9.20 --> 0:33:25.600

Morrison, Jennifer

What we will now refer to as the little RFP, which is specific to a project manager who would work for the task force and then the big RFP, which we will, we've seen umm multiple drafts of and this group has had input to the entire document.

0:33:28.200 --> 0:33:48.990

Morrison, Jennifer

I believe that if we are successful today that we should be able to emerge from executive session with an approved draft of draft of both documents, but that will remain to be seen, so it would be my suggestion that there's some standard language here that I know I'm supposed to say.

0:33:49.240 --> 0:33:49.610

Morrison, Jennifer

Hold on.

0:33:50.360 --> 0:33:51.570

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Uh, miss.

0:33:51.580 --> 0:33:54.530

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Madam Commissioner, uh, this is Steve Whittaker again.

0:33:54.700 --> 0:34:0.970

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

I have been requesting repeatedly and I don't think it's appropriate for one Co chair to have veto authority on me.

0:34:0.980 --> 0:34:9.430

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Getting eyes on the RFP because I have been an instrumental architect of this integrated strategy and I still have not.

0:34:9.950 --> 0:34:14.80

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Uh, that had opportunity to make sure that things aren't essential.

0:34:14.90 --> 0:34:17.610

719d4419-98c6-45da-95ad-5ee79aab399f

Pieces aren't missing, so I had expected.

0:34:16.370 --> 0:34:21.440

Morrison, Jennifer

It is not one, it is not one chair or the other.

0:34:21.490 --> 0:34:35.430

Morrison, Jennifer

It is a group decision that the that we are not going to engage the your services or others outside the folks who have helped develop it to this point are within state government or members of the task force.

0:34:44.300 --> 0:35:2.190

Neal, Barbara

So I would make a motion that the task force finds that premature general public knowledge regarding the content of both of the RFP's, the project manager and that subject matter expert would clearly place the task force at a substantial disadvantage.

0:35:2.250 --> 0:35:6.600

Neal, Barbara

Disadvantage there is a second on that.

0:35:8.390 --> 0:35:9.240

James Mack

I'll second that.

0:35:12.100 --> 0:35:12.670

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:35:13.780 --> 0:35:15.490

Neal, Barbara

Paul, remind me, did we do discussion?

0:35:19.910 --> 0:35:20.30

White, Paul

Yes.

0:35:17.370 --> 0:35:21.330

Neal, Barbara

We do, we do any discussion?

0:35:24.0 --> 0:35:24.610

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:35:24.820 --> 0:35:26.850

Neal, Barbara

All in favor of that motion.

0:35:29.810 --> 0:35:33.910

Neal, Barbara

12345 see everybody.

0:35:34.460 --> 0:35:38.140

Neal, Barbara

No one opposed, so that motion carries.

0:35:38.150 --> 0:35:50.360

Neal, Barbara

And then I'll proposed a second motion to move to executive session for the purpose of discussing those two F RFP's and to invite Sonny Johnson to that session.

0:35:53.600 --> 0:35:54.890

James Mack

I second that motion.

0:35:56.340 --> 0:35:56.830

Neal, Barbara

Anything.

0:35:56.10 --> 0:35:57.240

Morrison, Jennifer

I think you're gonna.

0:35:57.390 --> 0:35:57.780

Morrison, Jennifer

He's.

0:35:57.830 --> 0:36:0.150

Morrison, Jennifer

I think he's gonna make that motion and I will second it.

0:36:1.580 --> 0:36:1.950

James Mack

Yeah.

0:36:1.820 --> 0:36:2.70

Neal, Barbara

Ohh.

0:36:0.690 --> 0:36:2.530

Morrison, Jennifer

So moved is what Jim meant to say.

0:36:3.650 --> 0:36:5.650

Neal, Barbara

OK, OK that works.

0:36:7.450 --> 0:36:8.150

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion?

0:36:10.990 --> 0:36:11.620

Neal, Barbara

All in favor?

0:36:15.450 --> 0:36:16.540

Neal, Barbara

I see.

0:36:16.650 --> 0:36:18.230

Neal, Barbara

Jim, you're in favor of that, I take it.

0:36:19.200 --> 0:36:19.340

James Mack

Yeah.

0:36:19.290 --> 0:36:19.740

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:36:19.750 --> 0:36:20.200

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:36:20.260 --> 0:36:20.720

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:36:20.850 --> 0:36:22.0

Neal, Barbara

No, no one opposed.

0:36:22.880 --> 0:36:32.570

Neal, Barbara

We will move to executive session using the other link and then we'll return to this one following executive session and it is 1154.

0:36:32.900 --> 0:36:34.480

Neal, Barbara

I'll see you in the other other room.

1:16:1.320 --> 1:16:2.350

Morrison, Jennifer

We just need Barb.

1:16:2.600 --> 1:16:3.20

Morrison, Jennifer

There we go.

1:16:5.540 --> 1:16:6.510

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, sonny's.

1:16:5.480 --> 1:16:9.520

Johnson, Soni

Just to let everybody know, I have started recording the meeting again.

1:16:10.430 --> 1:16:11.60

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

1:16:11.130 --> 1:16:16.440

Morrison, Jennifer

I see that Sarnia started the recording and we are back in public session at 12:33 PM.

1:16:18.50 --> 1:16:18.530

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm.

1:16:19.680 --> 1:16:24.200

Morrison, Jennifer

And I believe that we would entertain a motion at this time.

1:16:25.50 --> 1:16:26.60

Morrison, Jennifer

Anyone want to make it?

1:16:31.620 --> 1:16:31.960

Morrison, Jennifer

How many?

1:16:31.360 --> 1:16:35.60

White, Paul

I would move that the task force approves the content of the.

1:16:40.930 --> 1:16:41.210

Morrison, Jennifer

Big.

1:16:37.240 --> 1:16:48.420

White, Paul

How are we gonna identify the RFP for for project management subject to formatting and final review by board administrative?

1:16:52.740 --> 1:16:53.190

White, Paul

There you go.

1:16:50.710 --> 1:16:53.990

Morrison, Jennifer

Review by the procurement office can I make a friendly amendment to it?

1:16:53.950 --> 1:16:55.120

White, Paul

And yeah, absolutely.

1:16:55.880 --> 1:17:1.380

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, so Sonny, the the motion would be that the little.

1:17:11.350 --> 1:17:11.590

Neal, Barbara

Has.

1:17:1.530 --> 1:17:18.180

Morrison, Jennifer

RFP for project management be approved to content by this task force and subject to subject to final administrative review by the Procurement Office, is is ready to release.

1:17:18.980 --> 1:17:20.40

White, Paul

That's exactly what I said.

1:17:21.110 --> 1:17:21.790

James Mack

Seconded.

1:17:20.840 --> 1:17:24.610

Morrison, Jennifer

Got so and Jim is seconding it.

1:17:26.970 --> 1:17:28.570

Morrison, Jennifer

There was there any discussion on that?

1:17:29.360 --> 1:17:29.790

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

1:17:29.800 --> 1:17:33.840

Neal, Barbara

Do we need to say, as discussed in executive session or are we covered?

1:17:36.120 --> 1:17:36.520

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't know.

1:17:40.250 --> 1:17:40.710

Neal, Barbara

I would.

1:18:3.140 --> 1:18:3.320

White, Paul

Yep.

1:17:43.680 --> 1:18:3.580

Neal, Barbara

I would recommend we add to the motion that it is the the little RFP for project management is approved as to content as discussed in executive session, UMM and then tack on the rest about you know with with the administrative procurement.

1:18:6.400 --> 1:18:6.850

White, Paul

I just.

1:18:4.970 --> 1:18:6.960

Morrison, Jennifer

Paul, would you accept that friendly amendment as well?

1:18:7.80 --> 1:18:7.670

White, Paul

I do.

1:18:7.720 --> 1:18:7.960

White, Paul

I do.

1:18:8.860 --> 1:18:9.780

Morrison, Jennifer

Sonny, do you have it?

1:18:12.0 --> 1:18:12.180

Neal, Barbara

He.

1:18:11.820 --> 1:18:13.450

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good, Jimmy.

1:18:13.460 --> 1:18:15.500

Morrison, Jennifer

Still want a second it with that friendly amendment?

1:18:15.820 --> 1:18:16.180

James Mack

I do.

1:18:16.890 --> 1:18:17.640

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

1:18:17.850 --> 1:18:18.920

Morrison, Jennifer

Is there any further discussion?

1:18:20.560 --> 1:18:22.470

Morrison, Jennifer

All those in favor, show me your hand.

1:18:24.440 --> 1:18:25.110

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

1:18:25.120 --> 1:18:28.50

Morrison, Jennifer

Those five in favor and none opposed.

1:18:28.60 --> 1:18:29.10

Morrison, Jennifer
And no abstentions.

1:18:30.0 --> 1:18:39.920

Morrison, Jennifer
I would now entertain a motion on the big RFP, which is the entire project RFP that we have discussed in executive session on multiple occasions.

1:18:42.350 --> 1:18:51.840

Morrison, Jennifer
Would you like to offer the exact same motion but substitute the little RFP for project management with the big RFP for the whole project?

1:18:56.480 --> 1:18:59.490

White, Paul
Sure, that would be 4 subject matter expertise, right?

1:18:59.860 --> 1:19:0.310

Morrison, Jennifer
Correct.

1:19:5.350 --> 1:19:8.650

Morrison, Jennifer
Sonny, are you able to read us that with the changes made?

1:19:12.340 --> 1:19:12.910

Johnson, Soni
Hold on.

1:19:13.20 --> 1:19:13.970

Johnson, Soni
I just need two.

1:19:15.910 --> 1:19:18.680

Johnson, Soni
Sorry, I got I gotta scroll back up to my notes.

1:19:20.20 --> 1:19:20.280

Johnson, Soni
Uh.

1:19:22.260 --> 1:19:23.170

Johnson, Soni
Let's see.

1:19:24.80 --> 1:19:27.730

Johnson, Soni
The motion is to he do.

1:19:27.740 --> 1:19:33.870

Johnson, Soni

Motion is to approve the big RFP, so to speak.

1:19:35.330 --> 1:19:42.820

Johnson, Soni

I'll be approved to content by this task force and subject to final administrative review by the Procurement Office.

1:19:43.330 --> 1:19:46.330

Johnson, Soni

Changes were discussed in executive session.

1:19:54.460 --> 1:19:54.640

White, Paul

Yep.

1:19:52.360 --> 1:19:54.940

Morrison, Jennifer

So I think Paul's made the motion anyone want a second it?

1:19:55.290 --> 1:19:55.760

James Mack

It's actually.

1:19:54.760 --> 1:19:55.820

Michael Wright

2nd 2nd.

1:19:58.140 --> 1:20:0.10

Johnson, Soni

Sorry, I was looking away from my screen.

1:20:1.700 --> 1:20:2.140

Michael Wright

Michael.

1:20:0.20 --> 1:20:3.360

Johnson, Soni

Who said it first? OK.

1:20:5.710 --> 1:20:7.630

Morrison, Jennifer

Is there any discussion on this item?

1:20:11.200 --> 1:20:12.450

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good hearing, none.

1:20:12.500 --> 1:20:14.730

Morrison, Jennifer

All those in favor, show me your hand.

1:20:15.280 --> 1:20:16.910

Morrison, Jennifer

That would be 5 to 0.

1:20:17.360 --> 1:20:17.870

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

1:20:17.880 --> 1:20:18.370

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank you.

1:20:18.380 --> 1:20:21.550

Morrison, Jennifer

And I believe for in the interest of time for Michael.

1:20:21.980 --> 1:20:23.640

Morrison, Jennifer

Ohh is the next agenda item.

1:20:23.650 --> 1:20:24.400

Morrison, Jennifer

The next meeting date.

1:20:25.320 --> 1:20:26.510

Neal, Barbara

Uh, we have new business.

1:20:28.170 --> 1:20:29.60

Morrison, Jennifer

And we OK.

1:20:27.970 --> 1:20:31.710

Neal, Barbara

Any new business from Task Force members hearing?

1:20:31.720 --> 1:20:32.200

Neal, Barbara

None.

1:20:32.460 --> 1:20:36.220

Neal, Barbara

We can go on to set the next date.

1:20:36.270 --> 1:20:40.200

Neal, Barbara

I will remind the task force that next Wednesday is a state holiday.

1:20:41.120 --> 1:20:43.20

Morrison, Jennifer

Correct it is.

1:20:48.350 --> 1:20:48.510

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep.

1:20:42.880 --> 1:20:49.230

Neal, Barbara

It's so we could go to the week after or another day next week.

1:20:50.790 --> 1:20:57.950

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, I would prefer that we go to another day next week if that's OK with members of the task force.

1:20:58.40 --> 1:20:59.580

Morrison, Jennifer

If we could do Thursday or Friday.

1:21:3.250 --> 1:21:3.510

Michael Wright

Should.

1:21:2.750 --> 1:21:4.260

James Mack

Thursday or Friday is fine with me.

1:21:5.640 --> 1:21:10.740

Michael Wright

Should we umm do an email to everyone just to make sure the other two can get on the next meeting?

1:21:12.340 --> 1:21:13.570

Morrison, Jennifer

That's a great idea.

1:21:13.640 --> 1:21:21.170

Morrison, Jennifer

Are are we able to do that and then publish the date according to the public records you know with at least the open meeting law thing?

1:21:21.180 --> 1:21:22.960

Morrison, Jennifer

It has to be 48 hours in advance I believe.

1:21:23.650 --> 1:21:26.470

White, Paul

Yeah, as long as they respond to you timely.

1:21:26.480 --> 1:21:27.830

White, Paul

I think we've got plenty of time to do that.

1:21:28.540 --> 1:21:28.800

Michael Wright

Alright.

1:21:29.930 --> 1:21:30.290

Neal, Barbara

OK.

1:21:28.750 --> 1:21:30.940

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, I will propose.

1:21:31.10 --> 1:21:38.800

Morrison, Jennifer

I will send out an email asking folks about Thursday or Friday and we will endeavour to have a full seven in the meeting.

1:21:42.80 --> 1:21:42.450

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

1:21:41.740 --> 1:21:43.480

White, Paul

Cut couldn't do we know?

1:21:43.540 --> 1:21:59.10

White, Paul

Do both of these RFP's have to be posted for the same length of time because they both like I would and like we we need to have the project manager on board as soon as possible, but I don't know if it has to stay open for you know.

1:21:59.20 --> 1:21:59.830

White, Paul

Was it 10 days?

1:21:59.840 --> 1:22:0.510

White, Paul

Is it 2 weeks?

1:22:2.100 --> 1:22:3.680

Morrison, Jennifer

I can find out the answer to that.

1:22:0.590 --> 1:22:7.20

White, Paul

This I would think the big one would require people.

1:22:7.120 --> 1:22:8.560

White, Paul

I mean, it's a much bigger proposal.

1:22:8.570 --> 1:22:11.760

White, Paul

They need to make, so it's gonna take them more time to to put it together.

1:22:11.770 --> 1:22:14.680

White, Paul

I would think the project manager could be turned around more quickly.

1:22:15.740 --> 1:22:22.730

Morrison, Jennifer

They're also is not in the little RFP, there is not the Q&A period that there is in the larger one.

1:22:21.600 --> 1:22:25.520

White, Paul

Right, yeah.

1:22:22.740 --> 1:22:29.10

Morrison, Jennifer

So it's, you know, obviously much more streamlined than the larger, more complex one I can find out.

1:22:29.20 --> 1:22:37.730

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't know what if there are timelines that we have to adhere to or if we can assign a date, I'll get back to you.

1:22:36.970 --> 1:22:38.400

White, Paul

OK, OK.

1:22:37.820 --> 1:22:42.20

Morrison, Jennifer

It will probably require that we vote on it again if we change the dates on the draft.

1:22:42.610 --> 1:22:43.860

White, Paul

Yeah, I don't want to change anything.

1:22:43.910 --> 1:22:45.170

White, Paul

I just was just curious.

1:22:44.980 --> 1:22:45.330

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

1:22:48.800 --> 1:22:50.500

Morrison, Jennifer

To have any other business on the agenda bar.

1:22:51.650 --> 1:22:54.180

Neal, Barbara

No, we we do not other than two adjourned.

1:22:55.370 --> 1:22:55.720

Morrison, Jennifer

Help.

1:22:55.730 --> 1:22:56.370

Morrison, Jennifer

I'll make that motion.

1:22:58.600 --> 1:22:59.230

James Mack

Second it.

1:22:58.610 --> 1:22:59.400

Michael Wright

So moved.

1:22:59.790 --> 1:23:0.360

Michael Wright

Have a good day.

1:22:58.470 --> 1:23:0.370

Neal, Barbara

Alright, looks like Michael seconded.

1:23:1.240 --> 1:23:1.720

Morrison, Jennifer

Thanks Michael.

1:23:2.890 --> 1:23:3.120

Michael Wright

Bye bye.

1:23:1.160 --> 1:23:3.350

Neal, Barbara

OK, we're all in favor.

1:23:3.800 --> 1:23:4.460

Morrison, Jennifer

All in favor?

1:23:4.340 --> 1:23:4.920

James Mack

Ohh there.

1:23:5.380 --> 1:23:6.480

White, Paul

See you all next week.

1:23:4.920 --> 1:23:7.0

Neal, Barbara

OK, alright, bye. Bye everyone.

1:23:7.10 --> 1:23:8.20

Neal, Barbara

Thank you so much.

1:23:7.490 --> 1:23:8.660

White, Paul

Bye bye bye.

1:23:9.810 --> 1:23:10.320

Morrison, Jennifer

Thanks, honey.