

STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS TASK FORCE

Regular Meeting No. 16 – Meeting Minutes

January 10, 2024

Via Microsoft Teams

11:16 AM - Call to Order

Co-Chair Neal brought the meeting to order and conducted roll call for Task Force members. She reminded the attendees that the meeting was being recorded.

The following were in attendance:

Task Force Members Present

Barbara Neal, E911 Director, Co-Chair
Jennifer Morrison, Public Safety Commissioner, Co-Chair
Paul White, Regional Dispatch Working Group, Vice-Chair
James ‘Jim’ Mack, Shelburne Dispatch
Ron Kumetz, VSFA
Mike Doenges, Mayor, Rutland City
Michael Wright, CEO of Calx Ambulance

Task Force Members Absent

Others Present

Cally Audet, Task Force Clerk
Phillip Sisk, Mission Critical Partners
Stephen Whitaker
Jay Gagne, Windham County Sheriff’s Dept.
Jim Morgan, Altairis Technology Partners
Rick Burke, Televate
Dominick Arcuri, Televate
Richard Townend, Altairis Technology Partners

Approval of Agenda

Co-Chair Neal proposed adding an agenda item for an introductory presentation by the Televate team following the approval of the minutes and before the review of the draft legislative report.

Motion: At 11:21 AM, Paul White moved to accept the agenda as amended; 2nd by Jim Mack. Motion passed unanimously.

Approval of Minutes

- 01/03/2024 – Regular Meeting No. 15

Jim Mack noted a proposed edit to the dates reflected in the Review of Draft Report to Legislature section; correction has already been made.

Motion: At 11:23 AM, Jim Mack moved that the task force approve the minutes listed on the agenda as amended; 2nd by Co-Chair Morrison. Motion passed; Paul White abstained.

Into from Televate Team:

Mike Doenges entered the meeting at 11:24 AM.

Co-Chair Neal introduced and opened the floor to Televate team members, Dom Arcuri and Rick Burke, who were recently selected as the successful vendor to assist the Task Force with project management duties.

Members of the Televate team provided introductory comments and a brief presentation to satisfy the kickoff meeting requirement of their agreement, starting with introductions from each of the task force members. Dom and Rick provided an overview to include a breakdown of support staff on the project management team, as well as their intended methods for data collection and analysis, design, and implementation.

Further discussion took place regarding desired methods for documentation creation, record keeping and sharing, as well as scheduling for updates from the project management team to both the task force and the stakeholders. There was discussion regarding the need for flexibility in project planning and what the desired outcomes of that process might look like.

When discussing RFP review, a clarifying note was made sharing that the regional dispatch RFPs touched on in prior statewide working group developments are no longer being utilized, and that the RFPs that this task force would need assistance in reviewing pertain to requests solicited for subject matter experts and system planning.

Paul White shared a clarifying note with the Televate team that the Public Safety Communications Task Force while chaired by members of the Department of Public Safety (DPS), the task force itself is not a part of DPS.

There was agreement among Televate and task force members that additional conversations will be needed regarding methods of communication, scheduling, meeting cadence, etc. in the future.

For additional details on the presentation and discussion, please see the meeting transcript (attached) beginning at 0:6:12.340.

Review of Report to Legislature

Co-Chair Neal reintroduced this topic, as initial conversation began at the task force meeting held on January 3, 2024. She shared that an updated version of the draft report had been circulated to task force members earlier in the week reflecting previously discussed edits.

Members recommended that the date of today's kickoff project manager presentation be added to the report as it had officially taken place.

Co-Chair Morrison noted that as a result of prior conversation with Chair McCarthy last week when testifying, the task force should add comments to their report reflective of legislative changes they might like to see during this legislative session. The following items were proposed recommendations for legislative changes to be added to the report:

- Defined mechanisms for the task force to request access to the second \$1M of funding as needed during 2024.
- Language that requires Vermont agencies to comply with other sections of Act 78, in providing available information on the inventory of equipment.

Additional conversation was had regarding the implementation either legislatively or among the task force regarding potential language requiring standardization of billing mechanisms at a future date.

Motion: At 12:08 PM, Paul White made a motion to authorize the chairs and vice chair to complete the appropriate final touches of the report prior to submitting the document to the legislature; 2nd by Jim Mack. No discussion, motion passed unanimously.

Public Comment

Co-Chair Neal opened the floor to public comment, giving a ten-minute limit.

Stephen Whitaker expressed his concerns with items he felt had been omitted from the legislative report, the perceived lack of due diligence in the selection of a project management team, various perceived policy issues, as well as overall task force representation. He requested noted items of concern be topics for conversation at future task force meetings.

For further details, please see the meeting transcript (attached) beginning at 0:52:33.620

Co-Chair Neal noted that the chairs and the vice-chair could have further discussion around the policy issues Mr. Whitaker discussed.

New Business

Jim Mack requested an update on legal counsel RFP currently in progress. Co-Chair Morrison shared that as of that morning there had been no further development, and the Attorney General's office is still working on addressing any conflicts of interest, if any.

Executive Session – For the purpose of discussing one or more contracts

Motion: At 12:19 PM, Co-Chair Neal moved that the Task Force finds sharing information about bidder presentations and responses publicly would put the task force at a substantial disadvantage in making a decision about awarding a contract for the two successful bidders; 2nd by Co-Chair Morrison. There was no discussion and the motion passed unanimously.

Motion: At 12:19 PM, Barb Neal moved that the task force enter into Executive Session for the purposes of discussing one or more contracts and invite Televate team members as well; 2nd by Jim Mack. The motion passed unanimously.

Executive Session was entered at 12:19 PM. Task Force Members Barb Neal, Jennifer Morrison, Paul White, Ron Kumetz, Jim Mack, Mike Doenges, Michael Wright and clerk, Cally Audet attended as well as Televate team member, Rick Burke.

Motion: Jim Mack moved that the task force exit Executive Session; 2nd by Paul White. The motion passed unanimously.

Executive Session ended at 12:54 PM.

Potential Action(s) as a Result of Executive Session

None.

Set Next Meeting Date and Adjournment

The next meeting is scheduled as a regular meeting for Wednesday, January 17, 2024, at 11:15 AM. This meeting has been extended to a 90-minute meeting, with one hour dedicated to executive session.

A special meeting will be held from 11:15 a.m. to 1:15 p.m. on Wednesday, January 24, 2024.

The regularly scheduled meeting on Wednesday, January 31, 2024, has been extended to a two-hour meeting beginning at 11:15 a.m.

Motion: There being no further business, Michael Doenges made a motion to adjourn; 2nd by Jim Mack. There was no further discussion and the motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 12:58 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Cally Audet
Cally Audet, Clerk

01/12/24
Date

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:2.40

Neal, Barbara

To start the recording, please.

0:0:2.330 --> 0:0:3.40

Neal, Barbara

There we go.

0:0:3.50 --> 0:0:3.740

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:0:4.410 --> 0:0:5.640

Neal, Barbara

Good morning everybody.

0:0:6.310 --> 0:0:11.300

Neal, Barbara

I am calling this meeting of the Public Safety Communications Task force to order.

0:0:11.310 --> 0:0:13.320

Neal, Barbara

It is 1116.

0:0:13.750 --> 0:0:16.80

Neal, Barbara

On January 10, 2024.

0:0:16.90 --> 0:0:17.20

Neal, Barbara

And I'm Barbara Neil.

0:0:17.840 --> 0:0:19.940

Neal, Barbara

As a reminder, the recording is on.

0:0:19.950 --> 0:0:25.630

Neal, Barbara

The meeting is being recorded and that recording will be posted on the Task force web page following the meeting.

0:0:26.590 --> 0:0:30.0

Neal, Barbara

I'm going to start with a roll call of Task Force members.

0:0:35.580 --> 0:0:35.920

White, Paul

Thank you.

0:0:30.370 --> 0:0:36.290

Neal, Barbara

So if you could start verbally acknowledge your presence when I call your name Jen Morrison.

0:0:36.950 --> 0:0:37.390

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm here.

0:0:38.480 --> 0:0:39.700

Neal, Barbara

OK, Paul white.

0:0:40.510 --> 0:0:40.850

White, Paul

I'm here.

0:0:42.640 --> 0:0:43.320

Neal, Barbara

Michael Wright.

0:0:44.160 --> 0:0:44.680

Michael

I am here.

0:0:46.230 --> 0:0:46.670

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:0:46.720 --> 0:0:47.930

Neal, Barbara

And Jim Mack.

0:0:49.740 --> 0:0:49.980

James Mack

Here.

0:0:51.340 --> 0:0:51.990

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:0:52.180 --> 0:0:55.340

Neal, Barbara

And I am not seeing the other two at this point.

0:0:57.210 --> 0:0:58.830

Neal, Barbara

Just double checking that OK.

0:0:59.0 --> 0:1:5.150

Neal, Barbara

Also joining us is Cali Audette as our administrative support today.

0:1:4.750 --> 0:1:5.810

White, Paul

That's the only history.

0:1:5.240 --> 0:1:8.730

Neal, Barbara

We do have several members of the public.

0:1:8.740 --> 0:1:15.10

Neal, Barbara

I'll get to 1st and then I'll have a a welcome for the television team.

0:1:15.20 --> 0:1:18.970

Neal, Barbara

So we have several members of the public with us.

0:1:18.980 --> 0:1:20.350

Neal, Barbara

Let's go around the room.

0:1:20.360 --> 0:1:25.30

Neal, Barbara

You can introduce yourself and any affiliation that you care to share.

0:1:25.670 --> 0:1:28.250

Neal, Barbara

A Steven would you like to start?

0:1:30.130 --> 0:1:31.650

96785e7d-29bd-47d0-9d7b-f880843fff38

Steve Whittaker from failure.

0:1:32.740 --> 0:1:34.730

Neal, Barbara

Good morning, Jay.

0:1:37.260 --> 0:1:37.820

Jay

Good morning.

0:1:37.830 --> 0:1:41.150

Jay

I'm Jason Gagne, communications administrator for the Windham County Sheriff's Office.

0:1:42.270 --> 0:1:44.750

Neal, Barbara

Nice to see you, Jim Morgan.

0:1:47.200 --> 0:1:48.530

Jim Morgan

Good morning, Jim Morgan.

0:1:48.580 --> 0:1:52.120

Jim Morgan

Happy New Year to all with all terrorist technology partners.

0:1:53.980 --> 0:1:54.660

Neal, Barbara

Hey, thank you.

0:1:57.180 --> 0:1:58.40

Neal, Barbara

Philip sisk.

0:1:59.650 --> 0:2:11.260

Phillip Sisk

Hi, good morning, Bill SIS with mission critical partners and I am without video because I am also mobile at the moment and little body coverage.

0:2:11.270 --> 0:2:11.770

Phillip Sisk

Let's just say.

0:2:12.830 --> 0:2:13.820

Neal, Barbara

OK, alright.

0:2:13.830 --> 0:2:16.500

Neal, Barbara

Well, thanks for joining us, Richard Townend.

0:2:17.930 --> 0:2:18.900

Richard Townend

Good morning, Richard.

0:2:18.910 --> 0:2:20.560

Richard Townend

Talent with AI Terrace Technology partners.

0:2:22.80 --> 0:2:22.600

Neal, Barbara

Good morning.

0:2:23.910 --> 0:2:24.260

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:2:24.270 --> 0:2:31.200

Neal, Barbara
And finally, I would like to welcome Rick Berke, Dominic or Curry and Dominic are Curry.

0:2:34.590 --> 0:2:34.750

Dominick Arcuri
And.

0:2:37.430 --> 0:2:37.560

Rick Burke
Yeah.

0:2:31.210 --> 0:2:43.220

Neal, Barbara
I think I'm pronouncing your name correctly from Tel Aviv, the company with whom the task force very recently executed a contract for project management services.

0:2:43.910 --> 0:2:58.60

Neal, Barbara
So we welcome the television team and as our next order of business, we're going to make an adjustment to the days agenda for Tel Aviv to have some time for a formal introduction of their team.

0:2:59.570 --> 0:3:2.310

Neal, Barbara
So welcome, and we'll be on to you in just a second.

0:3:4.990 --> 0:3:7.700

Neal, Barbara
Let's start with approval of the agenda.

0:3:7.970 --> 0:3:14.100

Neal, Barbara
So the agenda was distributed to Task Force members by email and is also available on our web page.

0:3:15.960 --> 0:3:19.340

Neal, Barbara
So let's start with that discussion about amending today's agenda.

0:3:19.400 --> 0:3:27.210

Neal, Barbara
I'm gonna recommend that we add an agenda item for an introductory presentation by the Tel Aviv team.

0:3:27.540 --> 0:3:38.440

Neal, Barbara

I suggest we do this following approval of the Minutes and before our review of the draft legislative report, what do other task force members think on on this issue?

0:3:39.460 --> 0:3:42.440

Neal, Barbara

And do you have any changes, other changes to the agenda?

0:3:47.130 --> 0:3:47.890

White, Paul

The only change?

0:3:47.150 --> 0:3:47.950

Morrison, Jennifer

I am in favor.

0:3:48.0 --> 0:3:49.40

James Mack

I'm good with that adjustment.

0:3:48.620 --> 0:3:51.170

White, Paul

This is that.

0:3:50.520 --> 0:3:51.530

Morrison, Jennifer

In favor of?

0:3:50.480 --> 0:3:51.730

Neal, Barbara

Jim is good with that adjustment.

0:3:53.140 --> 0:3:53.280

White, Paul

That.

0:3:51.900 --> 0:3:54.900

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep, in favor of your proposed change.

0:3:55.220 --> 0:4:9.500

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, I would also under new business like to add an item to at least to have a cursory discussion about the work plan that we that televote and the task force need to develop in the near future.

0:4:10.940 --> 0:4:11.220

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:4:12.790 --> 0:4:13.240

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:4:13.250 --> 0:4:14.660

Neal, Barbara

Paul, did you have something to add?

0:4:15.550 --> 0:4:22.130

White, Paul

No, I was just going to ask if those were the only changes you were proposing, and if so, I was gonna move approval of the agenda as amended.

0:4:23.800 --> 0:4:24.310

James Mack

Seconded.

0:4:24.560 --> 0:4:25.320

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I will second it.

0:4:27.70 --> 0:4:28.850

Neal, Barbara

Tricked any further discussion.

0:4:31.690 --> 0:4:32.120

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:4:32.720 --> 0:4:35.840

Neal, Barbara

And I see or hear rather that Ron Kumite says joined us.

0:4:35.850 --> 0:4:36.560

Neal, Barbara

Welcome, Ron.

0:4:36.650 --> 0:4:44.10

Neal, Barbara

So all in favor of amending the agenda as discussed, raise your hand, indicate by or indicate by saying aye.

0:4:46.50 --> 0:4:47.280

Neal, Barbara

I see.

0:4:47.770 --> 0:4:48.420

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Jen.

0:4:48.590 --> 0:4:54.380

Neal, Barbara

I see all task force members hands are up, including Ron Electronically.

0:4:54.730 --> 0:4:58.140

Neal, Barbara

So the agenda has been amended.

0:5:0.900 --> 0:5:10.940

Neal, Barbara

So let's go to approval of the minutes from January 3rd, 2024 meeting regular meeting #15 to Task Force members.

0:5:10.950 --> 0:5:14.90

Neal, Barbara

Have any changes or corrections to the minutes?

0:5:16.390 --> 0:5:16.690

Morrison, Jennifer

Kelly.

0:5:16.0 --> 0:5:22.880

James Mack

It's just one change on the final report, due on Monday, January 12th, should be January 15th.

0:5:24.150 --> 0:5:25.560

Morrison, Jennifer

We already got that one right, Paul.

0:5:24.620 --> 0:5:25.980

Audet, Cally

Yeah, I caught that one, yeah.

0:5:26.260 --> 0:5:26.920

White, Paul

That was about her.

0:5:26.110 --> 0:5:27.210

Morrison, Jennifer

Alright, perfect.

0:5:26.870 --> 0:5:27.790

Neal, Barbara

OK, OK.

0:5:30.10 --> 0:5:30.500

White, Paul

Didn't get it.

0:5:31.130 --> 0:5:31.550

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:5:32.580 --> 0:5:33.200

Neal, Barbara

Anything else?

0:5:32.780 --> 0:5:34.120

White, Paul

I looked at.

0:5:35.550 --> 0:5:36.10

White, Paul

About them.

0:5:37.400 --> 0:5:37.830

Neal, Barbara

Are at so.

0:5:36.760 --> 0:5:41.270

James Mack

Make a motion to accept that regular meeting #15.

0:5:42.880 --> 0:5:43.240

Morrison, Jennifer

2nd.

0:5:43.700 --> 0:5:44.340

James Mack

With the amendment.

0:5:45.210 --> 0:5:45.430

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:5:46.440 --> 0:5:47.500

Neal, Barbara

Any further discussion?

0:5:50.450 --> 0:5:53.200

Neal, Barbara

All in favor, raise your hand or signify by saying aye.

0:5:57.260 --> 0:5:57.510

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:5:57.630 --> 0:5:59.660

White, Paul

But I will abstain since I missed it.

0:5:59.670 --> 0:6:1.610

White, Paul

Was it was not able to attend the last several meetings.

0:6:2.70 --> 0:6:2.370

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:6:4.410 --> 0:6:6.640

Neal, Barbara

Kelly, are you all set with that record?

0:6:7.570 --> 0:6:11.990

Neal, Barbara

Alright, so those minutes are approved as discussed.

0:6:12.340 --> 0:6:23.590

Neal, Barbara

So with our our agenda switch around, we are now moving on to some introductory comments or presentation by televote.

0:6:23.640 --> 0:6:25.820

Neal, Barbara

Rick, I will hand it over to you.

0:6:26.100 --> 0:6:26.910

Neal, Barbara

Thanks for being here.

0:6:26.700 --> 0:6:27.300

Rick Burke

Thank you for.

0:6:27.710 --> 0:6:28.960

Rick Burke

Thank you very much Barb.

0:6:29.390 --> 0:6:31.840

Rick Burke

Ohh, so that's dammit.

0:6:31.850 --> 0:6:37.520

Rick Burke

Indicated before right at the start, he's gonna have to bow out and and 15 minutes.

0:6:37.530 --> 0:6:41.0

Rick Burke

But I'll be here for the duration, so we've got, we've prepared.

0:6:41.170 --> 0:6:49.40

Rick Burke

First I wanted to thank you know, we're really pleased and honored to have been selected to support the task force on this.

0:6:49.110 --> 0:6:51.100

Rick Burke

You know Portant project for the state.

0:6:51.410 --> 0:7:6.590

Rick Burke

Thank you for entrusting that that honor to us and we will look forward to working closely with you over the coming days, months, years, whatever it takes and and completing this project in a timely and and quality manner.

0:7:6.660 --> 0:7:9.410

Rick Burke

So thank you very much for that opportunity.

0:7:9.980 --> 0:7:12.650

Rick Burke

And with that said, I'd like to share.

0:7:12.750 --> 0:7:15.490

Rick Burke

We developed just a brief kick off presentation.

0:7:16.480 --> 0:7:18.890

Rick Burke

Don can guide us through parts of it.

0:7:18.900 --> 0:7:43.90

Rick Burke

We'll we'll share a presenting it, but you know the goal is really to just give an overview of of you know our our our roles and and and responsibilities and and and just talk briefly about our our vision and then you know have a you know engage you on discussing the initial tasks and and and begin to cadence for meetings and so forth and so on.

0:7:43.100 --> 0:7:45.830

Rick Burke

So I'll let I'll I'm pulling this up.

0:7:45.840 --> 0:7:46.920

Rick Burke

I'll let Dom.

0:7:46.960 --> 0:7:51.40

Rick Burke

Yeah, and make his statement and I'll have the presentation of shortly.

0:7:52.360 --> 0:7:53.410

Dominick Arcuri

Alright, thank you very much.

0:7:53.420 --> 0:8:1.610

Dominick Arcuri

Rick and I wanna echo uh Rick's comments, or mainly appreciate the opportunity to to support the task force.

0:8:1.620 --> 0:8:22.250

Dominick Arcuri

We look forward to to doing that and as as Rick mentioned, to no drive the the project to a successful completion and also he indicated we have a just a brief presentation to make sure we're all on the on the same page.

0:8:22.260 --> 0:8:26.230

Dominick Arcuri

Regarding regarding the project here brick, if you could.

0:8:28.920 --> 0:8:29.550

Dominick Arcuri

Go to the next.

0:8:32.420 --> 0:8:32.890

Dominick Arcuri

Sorry.

0:8:32.800 --> 0:8:33.400

Rick Burke

Alright, Don.

0:8:33.720 --> 0:8:35.220

Rick Burke

Yeah, I wanted to get a presentation mode.

0:8:35.230 --> 0:8:36.930

Rick Burke

Everybody could see the screen clearly.

0:8:42.650 --> 0:8:43.210

Morrison, Jennifer

Yes, we can.

0:8:43.550 --> 0:8:43.670

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:8:44.0 --> 0:8:46.970

Rick Burke

Alright, alright then I'm scrolling.

0:8:47.760 --> 0:8:48.720

Rick Burke

Go to the next slide.

0:8:52.30 --> 0:8:52.450

Rick Burke

There we go.

0:9:1.10 --> 0:9:2.700

Dominick Arcuri

So sorry about that.

0:9:2.710 --> 0:9:4.560

Dominick Arcuri

My sitting in my car.

0:9:4.570 --> 0:9:4.900

Dominick Arcuri

Here my.

0:9:7.330 --> 0:9:8.500

Dominick Arcuri

Alert me of something.

0:9:8.510 --> 0:9:9.610

Dominick Arcuri

I don't know what that was.

0:9:9.820 --> 0:9:10.880

Dominick Arcuri

I apologize for that.

0:9:10.890 --> 0:9:12.610

Dominick Arcuri

Hopefully, hopefully I'm back.

0:9:14.520 --> 0:9:14.930

Rick Burke

We hear you.

0:9:13.160 --> 0:9:15.30

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, yes.

0:9:16.0 --> 0:9:16.570

Dominick Arcuri

OK.

0:9:16.660 --> 0:9:17.30

Dominick Arcuri

Thanks.

0:9:17.40 --> 0:9:20.20

Dominick Arcuri

And yes, we're gonna do a project overview.

0:9:20.810 --> 0:9:25.550

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, and you've met Rick and myself.

0:9:25.560 --> 0:9:29.420

Dominick Arcuri

We have a couple other team members that will be participating with us.

0:9:29.430 --> 0:9:38.700

Dominick Arcuri

So with Elevate, we'll identify those and go over just some initial project information.

0:9:40.410 --> 0:9:54.820

Rick Burke

The abdomen and and barbs is a good time for for the task force team to introduce themselves so that we can get to use this as a beginning a relationship and get to know everyone on your team as well now.

0:9:57.410 --> 0:9:59.90

131c58c5-852f-4558-96a8-655ab34ce866

Uh, hey may.

0:9:59.150 --> 0:10:3.440

131c58c5-852f-4558-96a8-655ab34ce866

Hey, Madam Chair is the agenda is public comment ahead of this in the agenda.

0:10:3.450 --> 0:10:4.970

131c58c5-852f-4558-96a8-655ab34ce866

I thought this was added to the end.

0:10:5.960 --> 0:10:8.50

Morrison, Jennifer

No public comment does not happened.

0:10:6.230 --> 0:10:9.670

Neal, Barbara

This was added after approval of the minute, right?

0:10:11.200 --> 0:10:18.480

131c58c5-852f-4558-96a8-655ab34ce866

So can somebody notify me and I'll call back in when you're approaching public comment because this looks like it could go on for a while.

0:10:23.430 --> 0:10:28.580

Morrison, Jennifer

Sure I can text you when we're anticipating going to that section.

0:10:29.620 --> 0:10:30.310

131c58c5-852f-4558-96a8-655ab34ce866

Thank you.

0:10:30.380 --> 0:10:30.660

131c58c5-852f-4558-96a8-655ab34ce866

Yeah, bye.

0:10:31.140 --> 0:10:32.160

Neal, Barbara

That's alright.

0:10:33.450 --> 0:10:34.40

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:10:34.110 --> 0:10:38.190

Neal, Barbara

Rick, I think that's a fine idea for task force members to introduce themselves.

0:10:38.910 --> 0:10:39.310

Rick Burke

Thank you.

0:10:39.480 --> 0:10:46.320

Neal, Barbara

You know, you know me and you know Commissioner Morrison, so why do we go to Paul White?

0:10:50.210 --> 0:10:50.900

White, Paul

Uh, sure.

0:10:50.970 --> 0:10:51.450

White, Paul

Good morning.

0:10:51.460 --> 0:10:52.820

White, Paul

Uh, I'm Paul white.

0:10:52.830 --> 0:10:54.400

White, Paul

I'm the we have.

0:10:54.410 --> 0:10:55.940

White, Paul

We're chair heavy on this task force.

0:10:55.950 --> 0:10:57.560

White, Paul

We have two chairs and a vice chair.

0:10:57.570 --> 0:10:58.480

White, Paul

I'm the vice chair.

0:10:59.410 --> 0:11:11.320

White, Paul

I was the chair of last year's regional Dispatch Working Group, which was created by the previous years legislature, which sort of morphed into this year's task force.

0:11:11.870 --> 0:11:18.420

White, Paul

I was selected to be on that last year's working group, representing the Vermont League of Cities and Towns.

0:11:18.430 --> 0:11:21.340

White, Paul

I'm currently the select board chair for the town of Barry.

0:11:22.580 --> 0:11:31.850

White, Paul

In my background is I was with the Vermont State Police for 24 years, the last two of which included supervising the Williston Communications Center.

0:11:33.770 --> 0:11:41.870

White, Paul

And then the legislature saw fit to since I was the chair of last year's working group, they saw fit to appoint me to this year's task force.

0:11:45.100 --> 0:11:45.580

Rick Burke

Thank you, Paul.

0:11:47.820 --> 0:11:48.910

Neal, Barbara
Umm, good.

0:11:48.920 --> 0:11:49.630

Neal, Barbara
OK.

0:11:49.860 --> 0:11:50.900

Neal, Barbara
Jim Mack, how about you?

0:11:52.110 --> 0:11:58.500

James Mack
I jamak I worked at Shelburne Peace app and involved in dispatching for 35 years.

0:11:58.510 --> 0:12:2.110

James Mack
I'm the representative for the Vermont Association Police chiefs.

0:12:5.920 --> 0:12:6.680

Neal, Barbara
Thanks, Jim.

0:12:6.730 --> 0:12:7.590

Neal, Barbara
Uh, Michael Wright?

0:12:10.620 --> 0:12:18.440

Michael
Uh, Michael Wright, chief on Co Kalex Ambulance been an EMS for 30 years and I'm the representative from the Vermont Ambulance Association.

0:12:21.100 --> 0:12:21.870

Neal, Barbara
Excellent.

0:12:22.0 --> 0:12:22.810

Neal, Barbara
Mike Dungeness.

0:12:24.830 --> 0:12:28.200

Downges
Good morning, Mike, thunderous mayor of Rutland City.

0:12:28.580 --> 0:12:32.110

Downges
I've got some back my background that Sophie with me with.

0:12:32.120 --> 0:12:34.200

Downges

This is from a technology standpoint.

0:12:34.210 --> 0:12:40.270

Downges

I worked in the IT industry for 20 plus years and I am the representative from VLC T as well.

0:12:42.570 --> 0:12:44.170

Neal, Barbara

Excel and Ron Kunitz.

0:12:45.530 --> 0:12:46.250

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Good morning.

0:12:46.530 --> 0:12:55.80

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I am chief of the Alberg Fire Department, and I have about 45 years in the broadcast and land mobile industry.

0:12:55.910 --> 0:12:56.430

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Umm.

0:12:56.430 --> 0:12:57.900

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

And that's what I bring to the table.

0:13:0.330 --> 0:13:1.140

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:13:1.210 --> 0:13:8.160

Neal, Barbara

And Jen, did you have anything you wanted to add as a means of introduction that we you think television should know?

0:13:10.110 --> 0:13:10.310

Morrison, Jennifer

No.

0:13:10.910 --> 0:13:13.670

Neal, Barbara

OK, umm, neither do I.

0:13:13.720 --> 0:13:17.40

Neal, Barbara

So I think we're we're good with that and I'll hand it back to you, Rick.

0:13:17.790 --> 0:13:21.700

Rick Burke

Thank you very much Barb, and great to meet everyone.

0:13:21.710 --> 0:13:27.680

Rick Burke

And as I said earlier, we're, we really look forward to getting to know you over the course of the project and supporting your requirements.

0:13:27.690 --> 0:13:33.200

Rick Burke

So I'll hand it back to Dom for as long as he's available, so take over. Done.

0:13:34.360 --> 0:13:34.690

Dominick Arcuri

Alright.

0:13:34.700 --> 0:13:36.610

Dominick Arcuri

Thanks, Rick, and thanks for everybody.

0:13:36.620 --> 0:13:40.820

Dominick Arcuri

We appreciate the the background on all the task force members.

0:13:41.180 --> 0:13:47.300

Dominick Arcuri

Sounds like you definitely have a great selection of expertise.

0:13:47.560 --> 0:13:56.800

Dominick Arcuri

As we move forward and the project objectives as we are seeing now, of course everyone on the task force is familiar with this.

0:13:57.390 --> 0:13:59.800

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, we have.

0:14:0.450 --> 0:14:5.520

Dominick Arcuri

We will be supporting the project as a project management support.

0:14:5.710 --> 0:14:39.410

Dominick Arcuri

We understand you or looking to establish or statewide communications interoperable communications network throughout the the state of Vermont working with integrated call taking and regional dispatch systems, you're gonna be working with the the obvious expertise you have there on the task force as well as potentially another another vendor to move forward by first doing some data collection with the existing governance models and communications.

0:14:41.70 --> 0:14:54.880

Dominick Arcuri

Facilities and standards you have throughout the state perform some design on those particular to determine best practices and identify the best standards to use.

0:14:55.70 --> 0:15:10.530

Dominick Arcuri

Moving forward and then move into some implementation, potentially implementing some pilot projects or the disk regional dispatch centers throughout the state and then evolving into a statewide implementation.

0:15:12.560 --> 0:15:15.160

Dominick Arcuri

If you could go to the next slide.

0:15:15.540 --> 0:15:15.940

Dominick Arcuri

Uh-huh.

0:15:16.490 --> 0:15:28.740

Dominick Arcuri

We talked about the, the, the televote uh team before, so here you see, I will be the project manager associated with the project from the televote perspective.

0:15:28.910 --> 0:15:38.500

Dominick Arcuri

And you see Rick as the project sponsor and also a very yeah integral and active member of the team as well.

0:15:38.730 --> 0:15:53.930

Dominick Arcuri

And we have two other subject matter experts within public safety Joe Ross and Justin Compito, who will be participating as needed based on specific expertise for task at hand.

0:16:0.800 --> 0:16:2.730

Morrison, Jennifer

No, Dominic, can I ask you a question?

0:16:0.440 --> 0:16:3.950

Dominick Arcuri

The work work approach, yes, certainly.

0:16:3.560 --> 0:16:10.570

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, as we kick off and get to know each other, can you and your colleague tell us how you choose to be addressed?

0:16:10.860 --> 0:16:11.210

Morrison, Jennifer

Do you?

0:16:11.220 --> 0:16:15.170

Morrison, Jennifer

Do you wish to be called Dominic Dom or by your last name? Mr Corey?

0:16:15.480 --> 0:16:16.700

Morrison, Jennifer

How do you want us to address you?

0:16:18.370 --> 0:16:20.560

Dominick Arcuri

Very informal is fine with me.

0:16:20.670 --> 0:16:21.680

Dominick Arcuri

Dom is fine.

0:16:22.560 --> 0:16:23.830

Dominick Arcuri

That's that's what I prefer.

0:16:25.180 --> 0:16:25.350

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:16:25.630 --> 0:16:25.960

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:16:25.970 --> 0:16:29.180

Rick Burke

Please, please just call me Rick, OK, or whatever.

0:16:29.430 --> 0:16:32.990

Rick Burke

Whatever you feel the the need to say, but I go by Rick.

0:16:33.920 --> 0:16:34.310

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:16:34.320 --> 0:16:34.690

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

0:16:34.700 --> 0:16:35.10

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank you.

0:16:35.710 --> 0:16:36.130

Rick Burke

Thank you.

0:16:36.250 --> 0:16:36.550

Dominick Arcuri

Thanks.

0:16:39.290 --> 0:17:9.250

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, so this project as we know uh, just getting kicked off, we're we're looking forward to working in a little more detail with the task force to identify the specific scope for our our engagement and part of that will be defining that scope within a comprehensive project plan that we will work very quickly to put together, which will include the the scope, staffing, plan, communications, et cetera.

0:17:9.800 --> 0:17:15.570

Dominick Arcuri

And then we'll be managing the project throughout its different phases.

0:17:15.580 --> 0:17:33.430

Dominick Arcuri

As we discussed before from a project management standpoint and identifying regular status updates, maintaining action items, maintaining the risk register and the schedule etcetera to make sure the the project remains on track.

0:17:35.270 --> 0:17:35.460

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah.

0:17:37.440 --> 0:17:37.880

Dominick Arcuri

Uh-huh.

0:17:34.610 --> 0:17:42.220

Rick Burke

Yeah, if I could add to that, I think the developing the project plan which was mentioned earlier, you know is where we wanna begin.

0:17:42.230 --> 0:18:5.530

Rick Burke

I mean, this is this kickoff meeting is is part of that ongoing effort, but we wanna be sure that that we properly document right up front you know all our our our roles, responsibilities, what activities we anticipate the cadence of meetings, schedules, tasks and and you know we want we take a very formal approach to project management.

0:18:5.800 --> 0:18:6.20

Rick Burke

Yeah.

0:18:6.30 --> 0:18:18.600

Rick Burke

So we will, we will track status meeting activities, what we've accomplished prior to the the meeting what we have planned for the meeting, we, we we will keep you informed.

0:18:18.610 --> 0:18:23.870

Rick Burke

We are your, you know, we are your emissaries for this project.

0:18:23.880 --> 0:18:30.390

Rick Burke

So whatever needs from the task force and is defined, that's how we'll support you.

0:18:30.400 --> 0:18:38.240

Rick Burke

So we'll we will, we will formally and and very flexibly support this project throughout the throughout the life cycle.

0:18:42.300 --> 0:18:42.510

Dominick Arcuri

Uh.

0:18:42.520 --> 0:18:42.960

Dominick Arcuri

Part of.

0:18:41.980 --> 0:18:45.570

Morrison, Jennifer

Can I assume that the project plan would be iterative?

0:18:45.680 --> 0:18:52.260

Morrison, Jennifer

So but what I mean by that is in the early days until we have other subject matter expertise on board.

0:18:54.300 --> 0:19:0.550

Morrison, Jennifer

There might be things we need to lean on you for that then wouldn't not necessarily continue to be an ongoing need.

0:19:0.620 --> 0:19:1.110

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm.

0:19:1.460 --> 0:19:14.800

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm just trying to what I'm trying to point out and this might negate the need to discuss this under new business, but when we talk about developing a project plan, all those areas that were listed on your previous slides sound exactly right.

0:19:14.880 --> 0:19:18.650

Morrison, Jennifer

But am I correct to assume that it might morph and change?

0:19:18.660 --> 0:19:28.10

Morrison, Jennifer

We'll have what we set out to do, but then it as needs become less or we identify additional needs for support that it can be flexible and a living document.

0:19:28.20 --> 0:19:28.990

Morrison, Jennifer

Is that sound right?

0:19:29.630 --> 0:19:30.250

Rick Burke

Absolutely.

0:19:30.470 --> 0:19:31.130

Rick Burke

Uh.

0:19:30.640 --> 0:19:31.300

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah, absolutely.

0:19:31.950 --> 0:19:34.50

Rick Burke

Yep. You what?

0:19:33.690 --> 0:19:34.150

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

0:19:34.60 --> 0:19:48.70

Rick Burke

What you said is exactly how we anticipate this project and we also know that all, like any good river or stream, is gonna be under and you know, we will be flexible in meeting the needs and you know if the task force.

0:19:48.80 --> 0:19:55.510

Rick Burke

So we have, you know, prior to or during a meeting determined that they, you know they would like us to take on another other activity.

0:19:55.520 --> 0:19:56.590

Rick Burke

That's what we'll do.

0:19:56.600 --> 0:20:4.40

Rick Burke

I think you know, I think one of the things in this slide here, additional supporting tasks is defined by the task force.

0:20:4.370 --> 0:20:5.980

Rick Burke

That's what we interpret there.

0:20:5.990 --> 0:20:6.600

Rick Burke

Is that what?

0:20:6.610 --> 0:20:7.340

Rick Burke

What you said.

0:20:7.350 --> 0:20:9.40

Rick Burke

We, you know, we will.

0:20:9.50 --> 0:20:30.760

Rick Burke

We'll all discover a variety of different aspects of the project over time, and you know, we'll want to address them so they'll be captured as action items and whatever they may be, and we will advance them and maintain status on them so that we're all aware of where what we've agreed to do.

0:20:30.770 --> 0:20:34.390

Rick Burke

And when you know and and tracking it to a completion.

0:20:41.450 --> 0:20:47.760

Dominick Arcuri

Thanks and moving forward to define uh, at least uh up front, the communications plan.

0:20:47.810 --> 0:21:1.340

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, we've had previous discussions and and based on your RFP, we anticipate performing weekly updates on on the project to provide those those items you see there.

0:21:3.200 --> 0:21:24.910

Dominick Arcuri

Items accomplished since the last update plans for the next update will be providing constant updates to the risk register the schedule as well, and we've made some initial determinations here as to the distribution on the status updates.

0:21:24.920 --> 0:21:29.980

Dominick Arcuri

Then we could certainly update that as necessary as you see fit.

0:21:30.620 --> 0:21:42.160

Dominick Arcuri

Also, the A stakeholder register will be something that will identify course early up early on in the project and that may evolve as time goes on.

0:21:42.170 --> 0:21:50.600

Dominick Arcuri

So that'll be published initially and then updated as necessary and as similar to the previous discussion we just had.

0:21:50.970 --> 0:22:1.530

Dominick Arcuri

So for additional meetings as they are scheduled for potentially other tasks will put together appropriate agenda for those meetings and.

0:22:2.330 --> 0:22:10.940

Dominick Arcuri

And provide meeting minutes from those meetings with as well and distribute those to the project team as necessary.

0:22:12.910 --> 0:22:13.940

Dominick Arcuri

Next slide please, Rick.

0:22:14.360 --> 0:22:16.190

Rick Burke

Umm I I just wanna one night on.

0:22:16.200 --> 0:22:21.810

Rick Burke

There I we we really would like to have a brief discussion on a project documentation portal.

0:22:23.550 --> 0:22:23.970

Dominick Arcuri

That's right.

0:22:22.70 --> 0:22:28.140

Rick Burke

I mean, there's going to be an exchange of information and and and and you know, we'd like to encourage that.

0:22:28.150 --> 0:22:38.160

Rick Burke

We establish a directory so that documents that are that that support the ongoing program are readily available can be stored, can be accessed.

0:22:38.170 --> 0:22:57.110

Rick Burke

I don't know if you've created a project document portal or if you know if you intend to, but we find that you know, particularly in today's collaborative environment where we can leverage a SharePoint and other tools of a collaboration, portal makes a lot of sense.

0:22:57.380 --> 0:22:59.350

Rick Burke

I don't know what, what what?

0:22:59.460 --> 0:23:4.640

Rick Burke

You know, the Department of of the Vermont Public Department of Public Safety thinks about this or what?

0:23:4.650 --> 0:23:12.990

Rick Burke

Your concerns are, but certainly we need to secure portal or we can exchange, you know via via email and and both.

0:23:13.0 --> 0:23:22.550

Rick Burke

But I don't know what your you know what the teams thoughts are or if you have a portal and what your I'm, I'm and what you think about establishing a collaborative portal.

0:23:23.850 --> 0:23:25.880

Morrison, Jennifer

I think the idea makes great sense.

0:23:26.70 --> 0:23:30.490

Morrison, Jennifer

I I would have to talk to my my technology people to figure out how to actually make that happen.

0:23:30.500 --> 0:23:39.670

Morrison, Jennifer

That's not something I can speak to very authoritatively, so I'm confident that we can find a way to to meet that need.

0:23:39.880 --> 0:23:42.160

Morrison, Jennifer

The the other task force members feel like that makes sense.

0:23:43.770 --> 0:23:44.100

Neal, Barbara

I do.

0:23:44.110 --> 0:23:46.850

Neal, Barbara

Yes, I think that would that would work well.

0:23:45.60 --> 0:23:48.290

Morrison, Jennifer

To see Jim nodding, I said.

0:23:47.740 --> 0:23:48.470

White, Paul

Yeah, I do.

0:23:48.290 --> 0:23:48.650

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah, that.

0:23:48.300 --> 0:23:49.170

Morrison, Jennifer

Might be nodding.

0:23:48.480 --> 0:23:54.710

White, Paul

In in in my in in my day job with the Highway Safety Office, we do exactly that with.

0:23:55.40 --> 0:24:9.840

White, Paul

We have a a contractor, VHB, who probably are all familiar with who does works on a number of projects for us and we do have a a shared, uh, well, one of you call it a portal, but it's a a channel through Microsoft Teams where we can share documents with them.

0:24:13.110 --> 0:24:13.560

Dominick Arcuri

Absolutely.

0:24:11.60 --> 0:24:20.690

Rick Burke

Umm so for the task force, background television regularly establishes using our SharePoint a secure access to portals.

0:24:20.700 --> 0:24:41.450

Rick Burke

So if you're if allowable to the task force, I mean we we certainly understand that security may prevent it, but but we on most of our projects, we established a a collaborative portal that and then give rights to only the members of the team that have rights.

0:24:41.460 --> 0:24:44.280

Rick Burke

So we tell they could have established it.

0:24:44.290 --> 0:24:47.130

Rick Burke

If that's acceptable, just to give you another option.

0:24:49.340 --> 0:24:50.420

Neal, Barbara

I think it might be.

0:24:49.340 --> 0:24:54.550

Morrison, Jennifer

Let's follow up with that as a takeaway to discuss further with with our state team.

0:24:54.560 --> 0:24:55.490

Morrison, Jennifer

The ADS folks.

0:24:58.30 --> 0:24:58.170

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:24:55.500 --> 0:25:7.990

Morrison, Jennifer

And then we can, with the permission of the rest of the task force will just call the ball whichever way our ads, people and televote agree is the most secure and least amount of time investment.

0:25:9.170 --> 0:25:9.330

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:25:9.250 --> 0:25:10.200

Rick Burke

Alright, very good.

0:25:10.210 --> 0:25:10.480

Rick Burke

I'll just.

0:25:9.510 --> 0:25:10.940

Morrison, Jennifer

I said Tom, give a thumbs up.

0:25:13.710 --> 0:25:13.830

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:25:12.850 --> 0:25:14.480

Rick Burke

Yeah, I'll take that as an action item.

0:25:18.320 --> 0:25:18.620

Rick Burke

OK.

0:25:19.280 --> 0:25:19.930

Dominick Arcuri

Alright, great.

0:25:19.940 --> 0:25:20.450

Dominick Arcuri

Thank you.

0:25:21.140 --> 0:25:21.500

Rick Burke

Thank you.

0:25:20.500 --> 0:25:23.650

Dominick Arcuri

And uh, so move, move moving forward.

0:25:23.660 --> 0:25:46.390

Dominick Arcuri

We discussed some of this already, of course, as as we mentioned earlier, developing the the project plan is one of the first steps in the process to define the project parameters and as as you have mentioned previously, I believe Jen review of the RFP's would be one of the next steps as well.

0:25:46.400 --> 0:25:48.800

Dominick Arcuri

We need to establish review criteria for that.

0:25:49.850 --> 0:25:56.740

Dominick Arcuri

Our our assumption was, I guess my assumption was the RFP's for regional dispatch, is that what you were referring to?

0:25:56.750 --> 0:26:3.70

Dominick Arcuri

I have a table showing there from the one of your recent.

0:26:4.960 --> 0:26:5.380

Morrison, Jennifer

Right.

0:26:4.850 --> 0:26:10.940

Dominick Arcuri

Memos regarding those particular regional dispatch responses.

0:26:11.150 --> 0:26:15.190

Dominick Arcuri

I assume that we would move into evaluation of those as part of phase one.

0:26:24.60 --> 0:26:24.330

Dominick Arcuri

OK.

0:26:15.900 --> 0:26:26.230

Morrison, Jennifer

So phase one, this represents the path we had been on before the legislature jumped in and and and passed the legislation that established this task force.

0:26:26.580 --> 0:26:38.490

Morrison, Jennifer

So the identifying, identifying willing would be regional operators and funding them through a congressionally directed spending earmark was the path that the Department of Public Safety was on.

0:26:39.220 --> 0:26:39.340

Dominick Arcuri

Yes.

0:26:42.240 --> 0:26:42.540

Dominick Arcuri

OK.

0:26:38.740 --> 0:26:44.910

Morrison, Jennifer

But now we're on a different course based on the big bill from LAT that passed last June.

0:26:51.230 --> 0:26:51.410

Dominick Arcuri

Yes.

0:26:54.720 --> 0:26:55.10

Dominick Arcuri

OK.

0:26:45.600 --> 0:27:2.90

Morrison, Jennifer

So when we talk about the RFP's that we feel is like our top priority right now, it is the RFP's that we received from other vendors in response to our, I'm sorry, it's the bids that we received in response to the request for proposal on system design.

0:27:3.70 --> 0:27:3.310

Dominick Arcuri

I see.

0:27:2.280 --> 0:27:8.610

Morrison, Jennifer

Like who are the vendor or vendors that we're going to engage with to do the bulk of the scope of work?

0:27:8.700 --> 0:27:15.450

Morrison, Jennifer

That's those are the RFP's that we're, UM, wanting some more expertise as we evaluate.

0:27:15.460 --> 0:27:43.30

Morrison, Jennifer

There were five responses, all having very many strengths that to them, and we've found ourselves after reviewing them and initially discussing them as a task force that we needed more industry expertise to help us evaluate who's best suited for this work given given the project in the nature of it and whether or not we should be awarding portions of the scope of work to multiple vendors or keep it all with one vendor.

0:27:43.40 --> 0:27:44.400

Morrison, Jennifer

So that's for us.

0:27:44.410 --> 0:27:45.980

Morrison, Jennifer

The hair on fire issue coming out of the gate.

0:27:46.970 --> 0:27:48.650

Dominick Arcuri

Have absolutely understood.

0:27:49.210 --> 0:27:49.550

Dominick Arcuri

Thank you.

0:27:51.0 --> 0:27:52.90

Rick Burke

I like that comment.

0:27:52.100 --> 0:27:52.820

Rick Burke

Hair on fire.

0:27:52.870 --> 0:27:54.150

Rick Burke

OK, alright.

0:27:54.190 --> 0:27:55.680

Rick Burke

So what?

0:27:55.690 --> 0:28:15.590

Rick Burke

Regardless of what's on the right side of of of this slide, which clearly is not not the the, the, the, the RFP's were discussing, we still you know I mean there are there's this initial task and any other supporting task as well as flexibility is what will be in the project plan.

0:28:15.600 --> 0:28:20.0

Rick Burke

So our project Pam will be our guide to get started.

0:28:20.10 --> 0:28:33.320

Rick Burke

It'll be you know that like, like I said earlier, meeting Kate is risk management efforts, a variety of scope and and and budget and scheduling activities will be in there and it'll be flexible as a team as indicated.

0:28:33.330 --> 0:28:42.300

Rick Burke

So the right side of the slide is no longer applies, but what was just indicated about vendor proposals is the first item out of the gate.

0:28:53.950 --> 0:28:54.130

Dominick Arcuri

Right.

0:28:42.310 --> 0:28:54.530

Rick Burke

So we can, you know, we can discuss that further or you know I think at this stage of the game that's Domini had prepared and this is a was a brief presentation, a brief kickoff.

0:28:55.300 --> 0:29:11.710

Rick Burke

And from here I think we'll we can, we'll turn it back to to Jen and to the team and you know we're happy to answer any questions or have continued dialogue on on you know on the initial task or whatever you would like to do.

0:29:11.890 --> 0:29:12.160

White, Paul

Check.

0:29:11.940 --> 0:29:14.530

Rick Burke

And with that said, I'm there.

0:29:16.220 --> 0:29:16.590

White, Paul

2nd.

0:29:14.640 --> 0:29:16.820

Rick Burke

You don't have any more questions on this presentation?

0:29:16.720 --> 0:29:17.270

White, Paul

This is OK.

0:29:17.270 --> 0:29:19.970

Rick Burke

I'll just pull this presentation down and stop sharing it.

0:29:22.10 --> 0:29:23.210

White, Paul

And invites.

0:29:22.880 --> 0:29:23.530

Morrison, Jennifer

That sounds good.

0:29:23.90 --> 0:29:24.480

Neal, Barbara

Task force members.

0:29:24.490 --> 0:29:25.310

Neal, Barbara

Do we have questions?

0:29:27.80 --> 0:29:27.500

White, Paul

Have one.

0:29:27.510 --> 0:29:45.490

White, Paul

It's not really a question, but just one quick comment and you know maybe it's maybe I'm just nitpicking, but on the slide that showed the the table of organization, so to speak, it showed it sort of implied that this task force was like a, a subset or a sub division of the Department of Public Safety.

0:29:45.900 --> 0:29:51.570

White, Paul

And I just wanted to point out that this task force was created by the legislature as a a standalone entity.

0:29:51.580 --> 0:30:1.840

White, Paul

You know, one of the Co chairs is the Commissioner of Public Safety, and the legislature did direct to the Department of Public Safety to provide administrative support and logistical support to the task force.

0:30:1.850 --> 0:30:5.790

White, Paul

But it the task force itself is not part of DPS.

0:30:6.810 --> 0:30:6.960

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah.

0:30:6.630 --> 0:30:8.50

Rick Burke

Alright, thanks for that clarification.

0:30:7.870 --> 0:30:8.360

Dominick Arcuri

Thank you.

0:30:8.700 --> 0:30:8.890

White, Paul

Or.

0:30:8.410 --> 0:30:9.600

Dominick Arcuri

Thank you for that clarification.

0:30:13.410 --> 0:30:13.610

Dominick Arcuri

And.

0:30:12.850 --> 0:30:14.0

Neal, Barbara

Any other questions?

0:30:14.610 --> 0:30:15.330

Neal, Barbara

Oh, go ahead Dom.

0:30:16.500 --> 0:30:26.720

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, wait, if there are no questions for me, I'll respectfully have to sign off on this and proceed on to another client meeting.

0:30:27.840 --> 0:30:28.430

Neal, Barbara

Already.

0:30:29.270 --> 0:30:29.690

Morrison, Jennifer

Thanks Tom.

0:30:29.160 --> 0:30:30.370

Neal, Barbara

Thank you very much.

0:30:30.380 --> 0:30:31.0

Neal, Barbara

We'll see you soon.

0:30:31.950 --> 0:30:32.630

Rick Burke

Thank you, Tom.

0:30:31.50 --> 0:30:32.970

Dominick Arcuri

Thank you next time.

0:30:35.500 --> 0:30:35.880

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:30:37.960 --> 0:30:40.260

Neal, Barbara

Umm, questions.

0:30:41.940 --> 0:30:42.930

Neal, Barbara

Or television?

0:30:42.940 --> 0:30:45.410

Neal, Barbara

Or are we ready to move on to the agenda?

0:30:45.420 --> 0:30:48.190

Neal, Barbara

Do we have outstanding things questions to address for them?

0:30:49.0 --> 0:30:56.910

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't have so much a question as I have a I guess a suggestion or a or a we can talk offline.

0:30:57.80 --> 0:31:10.270

Morrison, Jennifer

Rick and I can talk directly offline, but it seems to me that in our contract with Tel Aviv, we specify that they'll have a work plan within 5 business days or something.

0:31:10.280 --> 0:31:22.500

Morrison, Jennifer

I I don't remember exactly the wording, I'd have to check, but I know it was a pretty short turn around and that is what drove my question of this work plan being iterative because I don't think we can possibly identify in the first five business days after signing the contract.

0:31:22.640 --> 0:31:27.870

Morrison, Jennifer

Exactly what all of the work over the course of what could be years is going to look like.

0:31:28.180 --> 0:31:31.590

Morrison, Jennifer

So I guess I would just say that maybe we should start.

0:31:32.520 --> 0:31:32.960

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh.

0:31:32.970 --> 0:31:47.600

Morrison, Jennifer

Build building that work plan with you, with Tel Aviv using the headers that were on that slide and then circulating it to the task force this week to see if there's other other items that come up immediately as a like.

0:31:47.610 --> 0:31:49.160

Morrison, Jennifer

Yes, this has to be on our plan.

0:31:49.170 --> 0:31:57.460

Morrison, Jennifer

So I I just want to be mindful that we want to fulfill the requirements that we laid out in the contract by having a work plan.

0:31:57.470 --> 0:32:10.590

Morrison, Jennifer

But in my estimation, a draft work plan to get out for discussion is very useful and acknowledging it will be an iterative item means that it will never be finalized until the project is finalized.

0:32:10.600 --> 0:32:12.760

Morrison, Jennifer

Really, until we're done with our relationship with Tel Aviv.

0:32:14.710 --> 0:32:17.410

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, I think I think we left some flexibility in the agenda.

0:32:17.650 --> 0:32:27.850

Neal, Barbara

As I recall, it was for the kickoff meeting was to be scheduled within 5 days and actually I feel this meets at least you know, the initial part of that.

0:32:27.550 --> 0:32:28.670

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep 100%.

0:32:27.860 --> 0:32:29.820

Neal, Barbara

And then this other work will fall out of that.

0:32:29.950 --> 0:32:37.920

Neal, Barbara

So I think we have and I think it also said that within five days or as otherwise agreed, you know by the task force.

0:32:37.930 --> 0:32:39.20

Neal, Barbara

So I think we're good on that.

0:32:40.290 --> 0:32:42.830

Neal, Barbara

Umm, so I'll just throw that \$0.02 in.

0:32:44.620 --> 0:32:57.370

Rick Burke

So if I may add a bar by agree with your interpretation of the of the agreement, five days for a kickoff and that's why the exchange via email that we had, could we use this as a kickoff so that we met that obligation.

0:32:57.580 --> 0:33:11.200

Rick Burke

And regarding the scope of the project of plan, I mean that is definitely going to be interactive, but the elements that we've identified are fairly easy for us to agree to.

0:33:11.210 --> 0:33:15.370

Rick Burke

For example, you know things like, what's the cadence, your meeting?

0:33:15.380 --> 0:33:19.860

Rick Burke

So we agreed to weekly status meetings, you know, So what day?

0:33:19.870 --> 0:33:20.620

Rick Burke

What time?

0:33:20.630 --> 0:33:21.680

Rick Burke

Who participates?

0:33:21.690 --> 0:33:23.440

Rick Burke

How do we get the information out?

0:33:23.570 --> 0:33:25.560

Rick Burke

We, you know, we'll create agenda items.

0:33:25.570 --> 0:33:37.440

Rick Burke

I mean, we'll drive that process so that there's no, you know, no need on your end to to other other than you know, accept the accept the agenda or modify the agenda.

0:33:37.510 --> 0:33:43.830

Rick Burke

But you know, we anticipate our role as as to to be your, you know, your lead on this.

0:33:43.840 --> 0:33:48.910

Rick Burke

You know, you got you tell us what you need from the task force and will prepare it.

0:33:48.920 --> 0:33:49.900

Rick Burke

We'll schedule it.

0:33:49.910 --> 0:33:51.260

Rick Burke

We'll go research it.

0:33:51.270 --> 0:34:0.340

Rick Burke

We will formally present it to you, however however needed, I mean we we also need to follow your your process.

0:34:0.350 --> 0:34:1.120

Rick Burke

I mean, what?

0:34:1.230 --> 0:34:1.460

Rick Burke

What?

0:34:1.470 --> 0:34:2.480

Rick Burke

Open meetings.

0:34:2.580 --> 0:34:3.40

Rick Burke

What?

0:34:3.50 --> 0:34:3.800

Rick Burke

You know what?

0:34:3.810 --> 0:34:10.700

Rick Burke

Project meetings and so that that's something we'll we'll need to incorporate into the project plan as well.

0:34:10.710 --> 0:34:21.430

Rick Burke

So the project plan, you know, will will accommodate and and identify and go into detail limited detail, but enough that we all understand what each of our commitments are.

0:34:21.440 --> 0:34:23.290

Rick Burke

And now the project is the proceed.

0:34:23.540 --> 0:34:34.210

Rick Burke

So with that said, I mean I I think we could, you know have a general I could certainly talk today, tomorrow we can have a, you know and informal.

0:34:34.270 --> 0:34:38.710

Rick Burke

You know, what do we need in there and and or we could do some of that conversation now.

0:34:38.880 --> 0:34:44.30

Rick Burke

I mean you it appears that your team is meeting on a biweekly basis or a monthly basis now.

0:34:44.40 --> 0:34:45.400

Rick Burke

How frequently are you meeting?

0:34:46.320 --> 0:34:46.590

James Mack

Good.

0:34:45.680 --> 0:34:46.700

Rick Burke

There's a task force meeting.

0:34:47.590 --> 0:34:48.680

Morrison, Jennifer

That's great question.

0:34:48.690 --> 0:34:50.980

Morrison, Jennifer

We haven't really found our final cables.

0:35:0.360 --> 0:35:0.670

Rick Burke

Sure.

0:34:50.990 --> 0:35:2.540

Morrison, Jennifer

We've been meeting weekly when we were having time sensitive issues, sometimes having special meetings inserted in the middle there and then then it went to biweekly.

0:35:2.550 --> 0:35:9.420

Morrison, Jennifer

But you know, as we approached the work of trying to award the system design RFP, we do feel a sense of urgency.

0:35:12.470 --> 0:35:12.690

Rick Burke

Umm.

0:35:9.430 --> 0:35:18.0

Morrison, Jennifer

So I can imagine that the cadence will be weakly until we get the rest of the team on board and start moving the ball down the field.

0:35:20.110 --> 0:35:22.660

Rick Burke

Uh, I I appreciate that.

0:35:22.670 --> 0:35:30.120

Rick Burke

I understand you all have your you know, you all have at least one, if not ten, other responsibilities in your time commitments are limited.

0:35:30.130 --> 0:35:44.160

Rick Burke

So and and scheduling a meeting that's going to accommodate each of your schedules is probably going to be a bit of a challenge, and we could send out, you know, a a request to see what days and times of the week are available to you.

0:35:44.710 --> 0:35:46.460

Rick Burke

But we will be flexible.

0:35:46.470 --> 0:35:47.950

Rick Burke

I mean, obviously we have some schedule.

0:35:49.430 --> 0:36:8.680

Rick Burke

As conflicts on our end, but we you know, we'll we can certainly collaboratively find a a common day or time and whether that you know whether that's an internal full task force team meeting or whether it's a project management you know leads from each group that need to collaborate.

0:36:8.690 --> 0:36:10.820

Rick Burke

However, you wanna do it, we'll. We'll.

0:36:10.900 --> 0:36:16.300

Rick Burke

We'll support it and we'll help you figure out times available and and I mean, we can.

0:36:16.310 --> 0:36:18.770

Rick Burke

Even, you know, set up the meetings or you could set them up.

0:36:19.440 --> 0:36:31.950

Rick Burke

OK, Kelly, maybe it's his responsible and you're or whomever, but we can also send out the the teams invitations and and we'll maintain a a running action item list and and status meetings and so forth.

0:36:32.40 --> 0:36:40.830

Rick Burke

So we we will do all those administrative things are work with you, your team internally to do that I'll ever you want to do it, but we're ready to get rolling.

0:36:40.840 --> 0:36:43.410

Rick Burke

I mean this meeting to me is a kickoff.

0:36:44.320 --> 0:36:49.670

Rick Burke

I I highly recommend that we find a regular cadence because as you said right in the beginning.

0:36:49.850 --> 0:36:50.940

Rick Burke

So we gotta get started.

0:36:50.950 --> 0:36:52.220

Rick Burke

We wanna get this quick rolling.

0:36:52.230 --> 0:37:4.540

Rick Burke

Now that that we have have it yet that you have a PM support now in place and and so we're ready to go and and you know and and think with what's available from the task force.

0:37:6.90 --> 0:37:6.610

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

0:37:7.690 --> 0:37:8.360

Morrison, Jennifer

Well, thanks.

0:37:8.370 --> 0:37:9.790

Morrison, Jennifer

This has been a great kickoff meeting.

0:37:11.260 --> 0:37:11.650

Rick Burke

Thank you.

0:37:13.600 --> 0:37:15.950

Neal, Barbara

OK, excellent.

0:37:16.60 --> 0:37:16.440

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:37:22.770 --> 0:37:31.600

Neal, Barbara

I suppose it helps if I keep myself unmuted when I ask are we ready to move on to review of the report to the legislature?

0:37:33.620 --> 0:37:34.50

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:37:34.100 --> 0:37:34.210

Morrison, Jennifer

Yes.

0:37:34.220 --> 0:37:51.460

Neal, Barbara

And Rick, just to kind of wrap up the conversation, you'll get a sense I think over the next couple of agenda items of what we're working on right now and that can all be incorporated into our conversations as we figure out these these initial planning steps, so.

0:37:51.700 --> 0:37:52.280

Rick Burke

Very good.

0:37:52.360 --> 0:37:56.160

Rick Burke

I'm I'm taking notes on my end, so I'll I'll stay in cadence with you.

0:37:57.70 --> 0:37:57.470

Rick Burke

Thank you.

0:37:57.300 --> 0:37:59.640

Neal, Barbara

OK, alright.

0:37:59.650 --> 0:38:2.360

Neal, Barbara

So Next up is the.

0:38:5.250 --> 0:38:8.80

Neal, Barbara

Next up is the review of the report to the legislature.

0:38:8.90 --> 0:38:18.790

Neal, Barbara

So we had a conversation about this last week and then I went back, made some edits and that a new copy, a new version, was circulated on Monday, I believe.

0:38:22.410 --> 0:38:22.880

Neal, Barbara

I know.

0:38:22.290 --> 0:38:25.10

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

It it was not circulated to interested parties.

0:38:28.360 --> 0:38:29.530

Neal, Barbara

OK, I can check on that.

0:38:30.580 --> 0:38:33.130

Morrison, Jennifer

It it's not from interested parties, it's a.

0:38:33.140 --> 0:38:46.410

Morrison, Jennifer

It's a report from this task force to the legislature and you know, we're I did circulate a initial draft to you, Mr Whitaker, and the edits were dates that were inserted, etcetera.

0:38:46.420 --> 0:38:54.620

Morrison, Jennifer

So we and and a little bit more about public engagement, those were the, there was very little substantive change to the draft that you initially did receive.

0:38:56.690 --> 0:38:57.100

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Thank you.

0:38:56.340 --> 0:38:57.320

Neal, Barbara

I'll bring up a copy.

0:38:57.110 --> 0:38:57.720

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

That's all I needed.

0:38:58.890 --> 0:38:59.990

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, I'll bring up a copy.

0:39:1.700 --> 0:39:4.870

Neal, Barbara

Umm to look at where do task force members have?

0:39:4.880 --> 0:39:8.730

Neal, Barbara

Any comments on the draft that was circulated?

0:39:10.640 --> 0:39:11.80

Neal, Barbara

Monday.

0:39:13.890 --> 0:39:20.390

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Are we considering this to be the kickoff meeting or are we gonna have a separate meeting for that because there's a missing date on for that?

0:39:21.100 --> 0:39:27.360

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, this is the kickoff meeting for the project with Tel Aviv today is so we have to just fill in that date.

0:39:29.630 --> 0:39:30.170

White, Paul

Yeah, you can.

0:39:29.840 --> 0:39:30.330

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:39:30.180 --> 0:39:31.60

White, Paul

Instead of sentence.

0:39:30.340 --> 0:39:33.30

Neal, Barbara

And I actually I made up.

0:39:31.70 --> 0:39:36.90

White, Paul

Yeah, instead of saying it has been scheduled for, you can just say it was held on January 10th.

0:39:37.310 --> 0:39:37.590

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

0:39:38.670 --> 0:39:40.700

Neal, Barbara

Let me get into this document here.

0:39:41.270 --> 0:39:44.120

Neal, Barbara

Actually I'm this is the document I made comments on.

0:39:44.130 --> 0:39:46.240

Neal, Barbara

So let me bring up another here.

0:39:59.160 --> 0:40:0.650

Neal, Barbara

See if this is the correct one.

0:40:3.160 --> 0:40:3.730

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:40:3.960 --> 0:40:5.990

Neal, Barbara

OK, so right here we can say.

0:40:8.150 --> 0:40:9.690

Neal, Barbara

A kick off meeting was held.

0:40:12.890 --> 0:40:16.30

Neal, Barbara

Oops, I want to make sure track changes are on.

0:40:25.720 --> 0:40:28.720

Neal, Barbara

Was held on January 10.

0:40:33.700 --> 0:40:35.630

White, Paul

Let's go back to that three starting tab.

0:40:37.560 --> 0:40:38.40

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:40:39.240 --> 0:40:40.120

Neal, Barbara

Jen you had.

0:40:40.70 --> 0:40:42.850

White, Paul

But you'll see on that side cancer.

0:40:42.940 --> 0:40:43.810

Neal, Barbara

Well, let me go back.

0:40:43.820 --> 0:40:44.360

Neal, Barbara

Ron did that.

0:40:44.370 --> 0:40:45.200

Neal, Barbara

Answer your question.

0:40:46.500 --> 0:40:46.620

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Yes.

0:40:47.850 --> 0:40:48.170

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:40:45.370 --> 0:40:48.500

White, Paul

Got it for that box is not checked.

0:40:53.950 --> 0:40:56.740

White, Paul

That's mean through the.

0:41:4.300 --> 0:41:6.320

White, Paul

Problem I know.

0:41:8.410 --> 0:41:9.790

White, Paul

So something.

0:40:49.220 --> 0:41:10.860

Neal, Barbara

Umm can you had sent me an email with some suggestions for what we what we need to be considering asking the legislature for and some of those things are included in here, maybe not as specifically as you might like or we might like.

0:41:16.850 --> 0:41:17.760

Morrison, Jennifer

Now I can do it.

0:41:10.870 --> 0:41:18.320

Neal, Barbara

So do you wanna kind of lead us through those suggestions or do you want me to stumble through that, OK.

0:41:12.190 --> 0:41:20.680

White, Paul

The only thing about whether that box is checked, not going to go away generate.

0:41:17.930 --> 0:41:20.700

Morrison, Jennifer

Can you just can you blow up the document a little bit?

0:41:23.440 --> 0:41:24.530

Neal, Barbara

All right, let's see.

0:41:20.710 --> 0:41:24.910

Morrison, Jennifer

I cannot get close enough to my screen to be able to read it. Umm.

0:41:25.690 --> 0:41:27.580

Neal, Barbara

Look, that's probably a little too much.

0:41:28.630 --> 0:41:41.80

Morrison, Jennifer

So I believe what we had determined that we would ask for to be responsive to the question that Chair McCarthy asked last week when we were testifying.

0:41:42.150 --> 0:41:43.680

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, what else would we?

0:41:43.690 --> 0:41:46.710

Morrison, Jennifer

What would we need as a task force for legislative changes?

0:41:46.720 --> 0:41:48.350

Morrison, Jennifer

What would we like them to be considering?

0:41:53.110 --> 0:41:54.80

Rick Burke

The.

0:41:49.640 --> 0:42:7.640

Morrison, Jennifer

Barb and I suggest that we ask them to articulate the way that the task force would access the 2nd \$1,000,000 because when the big bill was passed this spring, it provided a mechanism for accessing the 2nd \$1,000,000.

0:42:7.680 --> 0:42:27.950

Morrison, Jennifer

If that happened in 2023, so we would ask them for, you know, clear language on how we would access, whether that would be going back to joint fiscal or going back in front of a committee, whatever it is that we have ready access during the course of 2024 to the second \$1,000,000 as we onboard more subject matter expertise.

0:42:29.270 --> 0:42:32.180

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, we also go ahead.

0:42:29.740 --> 0:42:33.420

Neal, Barbara

So if I was just going to interrupt you here.

0:42:33.430 --> 0:42:36.730

Neal, Barbara

Sorry and say that we we speak to that.

0:42:38.340 --> 0:42:38.360

Neal, Barbara

I.

0:42:41.110 --> 0:42:42.530

Neal, Barbara

Under costs and funding.

0:42:42.540 --> 0:42:53.840

Neal, Barbara

And I'm wondering if we want to wordsmith this so that it's more clear, or if you think for the task force thinks that we've covered it here.

0:42:54.750 --> 0:43:0.280

Neal, Barbara

By saying that we will, we will work with our legislative partners to ensure the appropriate mechanisms are in place.

0:43:1.290 --> 0:43:1.590

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm.

0:43:4.910 --> 0:43:15.370

Morrison, Jennifer

I mean, we can leave it there and then just add a section at the bottom of this report on legislative requests and just put them very succinctly at the very bottom of the report.

0:43:17.340 --> 0:43:18.80

Neal, Barbara

Like so.

0:43:20.990 --> 0:43:21.250

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:43:24.380 --> 0:43:24.560

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:43:21.220 --> 0:43:24.970

Morrison, Jennifer

And and I only suggest that because they asked us, right.

0:43:27.510 --> 0:43:27.740

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:43:27.890 --> 0:43:28.210

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm.

0:43:31.480 --> 0:43:33.520

Morrison, Jennifer

So that that would be one is is.

0:43:35.250 --> 0:43:42.660

Morrison, Jennifer

Timely and clear access to the second \$1,000,000 as needed during 2024.

0:43:44.80 --> 0:44:0.360

Morrison, Jennifer

We had talked about possibly asking for flexibility on the dates that are in the Act, the the Big bill from

last year, but because we don't actually know what a reasonable timeline looks like, it's hard to ask for them to push the timelines back.

0:44:0.370 --> 0:44:2.860

Morrison, Jennifer

And we thought that perhaps we should just leave the language.

0:44:3.430 --> 0:44:4.940

Morrison, Jennifer

How it was on the page above.

0:44:6.0 --> 0:44:6.320

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:44:5.680 --> 0:44:7.130

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, where?

0:44:7.140 --> 0:44:7.530

Morrison, Jennifer

She says.

0:44:7.540 --> 0:44:8.20

Morrison, Jennifer

Where?

0:44:8.30 --> 0:44:14.990

Morrison, Jennifer

Where where we wrote that you know, we hope to but are not sure that we're going to be able to hopeful but not certain about its ability.

0:44:15.0 --> 0:44:17.290

Morrison, Jennifer

There's an extra space after the word ability, Barb.

0:44:17.940 --> 0:44:18.220

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:44:18.630 --> 0:44:27.280

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm to meet the September 2024 deadline for completion of the data collection, analysis and the December 2024 deadline related to final system planning.

0:44:27.510 --> 0:44:37.270

Morrison, Jennifer

I think because we don't have a better answer of what we would ask for for a date that we might leave it like that for now, but that was something I wanted the group to weigh in on.

0:44:44.570 --> 0:44:45.60

Morrison, Jennifer

Is a.

0:44:44.260 --> 0:44:45.790

Neal, Barbara

Thoughts on that from task force?

0:44:45.430 --> 0:44:47.340

Morrison, Jennifer

Is everybody comfortable leaving it?

0:44:47.350 --> 0:44:47.750

Morrison, Jennifer

How it is?

0:44:53.200 --> 0:44:53.520

Morrison, Jennifer

All right.

0:44:53.530 --> 0:45:6.180

Morrison, Jennifer

Well, hearing no feedback, the other thing that I would put down at the bottom for a legislative request would be language that requires all public safety, communication centers doing business in or for.

0:45:7.960 --> 0:45:8.180

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

0:45:6.190 --> 0:45:21.590

Morrison, Jennifer

I can drop this into the chat inner for Vermont agency to comply with other sections of Act 78, which that implicates a course that they would have to give us in whatever information they have on the inventory of equipment.

0:45:21.600 --> 0:45:27.620

Morrison, Jennifer

The current staffing, their budgets, their costs, their, umm, all the things that are implicated in the bill.

0:45:27.860 --> 0:45:29.400

White, Paul

That being 113553.

0:45:27.630 --> 0:45:32.560

Morrison, Jennifer

So that came up as a potential thing that could slow us down in the process.

0:45:32.630 --> 0:45:43.710

Morrison, Jennifer

If if local, municipal or even regional or hired centers balked at providing the information that we are required to catalog.

0:45:42.380 --> 0:45:47.250

White, Paul

I'm afraid I would have to go and figure out which one I I agree with that.

0:45:47.260 --> 0:45:47.550

White, Paul

I just.

0:45:47.560 --> 0:45:52.390

White, Paul

I'm not sure in the in the unlikely event that a dispatch center in New Hampshire or New York.

0:45:53.810 --> 0:45:55.700

White, Paul

Dug their heels and didn't want to provide that.

0:45:56.430 --> 0:45:56.450

Morrison, Jennifer

I.

0:45:55.710 --> 0:45:59.430

White, Paul

I don't know that putting that into Vermont legislation would really have any effect.

0:46:0.280 --> 0:46:0.560

Morrison, Jennifer

Agree.

0:46:1.710 --> 0:46:1.990

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:46:4.900 --> 0:46:5.140

White, Paul

Right.

0:46:3.90 --> 0:46:7.860

Morrison, Jennifer

Calgary might not work, but at least we'll get the bulk of it because the bulk of the providers are in state.

0:46:8.450 --> 0:46:8.780

White, Paul

Right.

0:46:8.790 --> 0:46:16.780

White, Paul

And and and it might if there hesitation to give us the data was because they thought they needed to keep their customer information proprietary.

0:46:16.790 --> 0:46:21.990

White, Paul

This might give them sort of an out to be able to comply and sort of protect themselves.

0:46:26.540 --> 0:46:30.470

Neal, Barbara

OK, I copied and pasted what you put in the chat, Jen.

0:46:32.490 --> 0:46:32.820

Neal, Barbara

In.

0:46:36.680 --> 0:46:37.70

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:46:31.800 --> 0:46:44.40

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm sure they'll turn it into something very legislatively sounding, but that's the gist of it is that in order for us to do the job, the task they've laid out in front of us, we have to get people to be compliant with our request.

0:46:45.530 --> 0:46:45.760

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

0:46:49.40 --> 0:46:55.810

Neal, Barbara

So I can make a complete sentences out of those two things.

0:46:55.820 --> 0:47:1.600

Neal, Barbara

Add that section as Gen recommended other changes.

0:47:6.780 --> 0:47:7.50

Neal, Barbara

Mike.

0:47:10.260 --> 0:47:11.460

Neal, Barbara

Oops, we can't hear you, Mike.

0:47:20.670 --> 0:47:21.210

Doenges, Mike

Can you hear me now?

0:47:22.30 --> 0:47:22.700

Neal, Barbara

Yes, we can.

0:47:23.10 --> 0:47:23.630

Doenges, Mike

OK, great.

0:47:23.640 --> 0:47:26.230

Doenges, Mike

Yeah, I had to unmute the headset and unmute the computer.

0:47:26.320 --> 0:47:27.30

Doenges, Mike

And yeah.

0:47:27.860 --> 0:47:28.0

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:47:27.80 --> 0:47:30.330

Doenges, Mike

Anyway, it may be it may be premature.

0:47:31.410 --> 0:47:44.150

Doenges, Mike

One of the things I was thinking about, we discussed it probably gosh must have been back in October, was the lack of standardization and language and forwarding when it came to different departments, right.

0:47:44.160 --> 0:47:52.490

Doenges, Mike

When it comes to records and and being able to figure out you know how to properly Bill, is there a way to say just like we're going to standardize?

0:47:52.540 --> 0:47:57.920

Doenges, Mike

And like I said, it may be premature, but if we're going to do it some sort of standardized.

0:47:58.650 --> 0:47:59.220

Doenges, Mike

Umm.

0:48:0.170 --> 0:48:16.960

Doenges, Mike

Language across the state for different departments, where especially for the billing side of this, when it comes time for that, remember how we were talking about how certain calls are being for certain departments being all combined under one thing and then they were really you know being crossed a certain way because the language they were using.

0:48:17.90 --> 0:48:19.210

Doenges, Mike

I don't know if that's something like I said, it might be premature.

0:48:20.820 --> 0:48:21.170

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm.

0:48:25.500 --> 0:48:25.760

Doenges, Mike

Right.

0:48:20.830 --> 0:48:28.370

Neal, Barbara

I and I wonder if that would become a standard that we develop, you know, or or recommend.

0:48:28.980 --> 0:48:29.390

Doenges, Mike

Right.

0:48:29.400 --> 0:48:32.650

Doenges, Mike

It it likely would in my head, but do we want?

0:48:32.660 --> 0:48:43.960

Doenges, Mike

If we're asking for the legislature to consider potential language, do we wanna start to, you know, whatever we establish, do we want them to be dictating that that must be used by the department's participating in the program?

0:48:48.740 --> 0:48:48.950

Doenges, Mike

OK.

0:48:56.970 --> 0:48:57.210

Neal, Barbara

Mm-hmm.

0:48:46.410 --> 0:49:3.80

Morrison, Jennifer

I think we'd want to develop that and make a recommendation later, but I do see utility bar before the word, et cetera on that bottom sentence, we put inventory, current staff budget costs, detailed billing scheme.

0:49:3.150 --> 0:49:4.680

Morrison, Jennifer

You know that type of thing because?

0:49:4.740 --> 0:49:10.910

Morrison, Jennifer

Because what we're really trying to get at is how are you deciding how you charge your client, right?

0:49:10.940 --> 0:49:11.180

Doenges, Mike

Right.

0:49:9.530 --> 0:49:11.240

White, Paul

It's we should be in the provider.

0:49:10.920 --> 0:49:13.390

Morrison, Jennifer

So detailed billing scheme, et cetera.

0:49:13.400 --> 0:49:13.840

Morrison, Jennifer

There you go.

0:49:14.730 --> 0:49:15.30

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:49:19.430 --> 0:49:19.580

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:49:16.810 --> 0:49:20.900

Morrison, Jennifer

Scheme might be regarded in a bad way by some people, but I don't know what.

0:49:20.930 --> 0:49:22.210

Doenges, Mike

Structure structure.

0:49:23.30 --> 0:49:23.470

James Mack

Structure.

0:49:20.910 --> 0:49:23.480

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't know what other word it there you go.

0:49:23.840 --> 0:49:24.0

Doenges, Mike

That's.

0:49:22.380 --> 0:49:24.880

Neal, Barbara

Detailed building structure, yes.

0:49:25.250 --> 0:49:26.780

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good, very good.

0:49:27.90 --> 0:49:27.480

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank.

0:49:27.240 --> 0:49:27.550

White, Paul

I know.

0:49:27.490 --> 0:49:27.900

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank you.

0:49:28.150 --> 0:49:28.420

Doenges, Mike

Yeah.

0:49:28.600 --> 0:49:28.920

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:49:28.510 --> 0:49:30.60

Morrison, Jennifer

You should always word Smith.

0:49:30.70 --> 0:49:30.320

Morrison, Jennifer

Me.

0:49:28.430 --> 0:49:31.10

Doenges, Mike

Thank you for understanding what I was trying to say, yeah.

0:49:31.320 --> 0:49:32.0

Morrison, Jennifer

You're welcome.

0:49:36.790 --> 0:49:37.90

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:49:38.850 --> 0:49:41.310

Neal, Barbara

Uh, what else on the report?

0:49:43.350 --> 0:49:46.80

White, Paul

Is it the diagnosis that we I would.

0:49:46.790 --> 0:49:48.10

Neal, Barbara

I don't think the OK.

0:49:51.90 --> 0:49:53.600

Neal, Barbara

So the the report is due on Friday.

0:49:53.670 --> 0:50:3.260

Neal, Barbara

I would suggest that the task force give the blessing for us to put the finishing touches on this legislative request section US meaning.

0:50:5.20 --> 0:50:12.0

Neal, Barbara

Jen and I and and in consultation with the Vice chair, we can make those. I'm.

0:50:15.310 --> 0:50:19.480

Neal, Barbara

Make that more complete there and submit this, yes.

0:50:17.40 --> 0:50:21.330

Morrison, Jennifer

Last minute window dressing, last minute window dressing without substantive changes.

0:50:22.300 --> 0:50:22.540

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

0:50:24.780 --> 0:50:25.320

Neal, Barbara

Makes sense?

0:50:24.760 --> 0:50:25.620

Morrison, Jennifer

So everybody good with that?

0:50:28.350 --> 0:50:29.890

James Mack

We need to make a motion on this.

0:50:29.900 --> 0:50:31.30

James Mack

Where are we all set?

0:50:32.20 --> 0:50:34.440

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't think, Paul, what do you think?

0:50:34.0 --> 0:50:35.0

Neal, Barbara

I don't know, Paul.

0:50:34.450 --> 0:50:35.280

Morrison, Jennifer

We need a motion on that.

0:50:35.10 --> 0:50:35.500

Neal, Barbara

What do you think?

0:50:39.630 --> 0:50:41.290

White, Paul

Uh, I.

0:50:41.300 --> 0:50:45.430

White, Paul

Guess it wouldn't hurt to have a motion to authorize the chairs and vice chair to.

0:50:48.840 --> 0:50:53.950

White, Paul

Do the appropriate window dressing before submitting the the document to the legislature.

0:50:56.80 --> 0:50:59.750

White, Paul

With the understanding that any substantive changes would come back to the task force.

0:51:2.530 --> 0:51:3.580

Neal, Barbara

That sounded like a motion.

0:51:4.230 --> 0:51:4.560

White, Paul

Sure.

0:51:4.640 --> 0:51:5.80

James Mack

Secondly.

0:51:4.770 --> 0:51:5.460

White, Paul

I don't know if wouldn't.

0:51:5.200 --> 0:51:6.300

Doenges, Mike

I'll go.

0:51:8.240 --> 0:51:9.70

Morrison, Jennifer

Sure it is.

0:51:5.470 --> 0:51:9.570

White, Paul

I don't know if I don't know if window dressing is the appropriate description, but yeah, yeah.

0:51:9.560 --> 0:51:10.450

Morrison, Jennifer

Sure it is.

0:51:12.670 --> 0:51:15.250

Neal, Barbara

Jim seconded any any further discussion.

0:51:18.950 --> 0:51:19.130

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:51:19.850 --> 0:51:22.220

Neal, Barbara

Umm, all in favor?

0:51:22.690 --> 0:51:25.420

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:51:25.470 --> 0:51:28.430

Neal, Barbara

I see all hands are up, including.

0:51:28.440 --> 0:51:29.520

Neal, Barbara

Well, I'm looking for Bron.

0:51:29.530 --> 0:51:30.210

Neal, Barbara

There's Ron.

0:51:31.270 --> 0:51:40.620

Neal, Barbara

OK, so the draft report to the legislature is approved as discussed with those window dressing changes to be done.

0:51:41.900 --> 0:51:42.480

Neal, Barbara

OK, good.

0:51:47.330 --> 0:51:48.0

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:51:48.90 --> 0:51:58.130

Neal, Barbara

We are now at public comment, so I will assume that Steven would like to provide public comment.

0:51:58.140 --> 0:52:3.270

Neal, Barbara

Is there anyone else on the line that would like to have an opportunity to speak today?

0:52:3.280 --> 0:52:4.520

Neal, Barbara

If you could raise your hand.

0:52:6.550 --> 0:52:8.470

Neal, Barbara

Or otherwise indicate you wanna speak.

0:52:11.770 --> 0:52:13.600

Neal, Barbara

OK, I am seeing nothing.

0:52:13.610 --> 0:52:15.480

Neal, Barbara

So Steven, if you would like to?

0:52:17.290 --> 0:52:20.90

Neal, Barbara

Go ahead and make any public comments you care to share.

0:52:22.570 --> 0:52:24.280

Morrison, Jennifer

Madam Chair, Co chair.

0:52:24.420 --> 0:52:24.530

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:52:24.290 --> 0:52:29.540

Morrison, Jennifer

Could I suggest that we put a time limit on this as we have other agenda items to get to.

0:52:30.700 --> 0:52:33.270

Neal, Barbara
Yes, absolutely.

0:52:33.620 --> 0:52:38.640

Neal, Barbara
I would say since we only have 1 commenter and we offer up to 10 minutes that that should be our limit.

0:52:42.370 --> 0:52:42.660

Neal, Barbara
Steven.

0:52:41.930 --> 0:52:43.170

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2
I won't need 10 minutes.

0:52:44.150 --> 0:52:44.870

Neal, Barbara
OK, go ahead.

0:52:46.320 --> 0:52:49.380

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2
So I wanna raise against some red flags.

0:52:49.390 --> 0:52:50.930

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2
I'm I'm kind of shocked that the.

0:52:51.930 --> 0:53:5.440

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2
Uh, constructive and precise comments that I made yesterday, I mean at the last meeting about what the legislature asked for in the report and what is missing from this report seem to have gotten no discussion whatsoever.

0:53:6.340 --> 0:53:20.950

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2
Umm there are there is work that could have been done to address some of the requests in the law that were required to be in this report, and I took the time to point it out to you and and nobody did anything about it.

0:53:22.530 --> 0:53:23.380

Rick Burke
The.

0:53:21.820 --> 0:53:28.590

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2
But more to the point, the there's a bigger issue here and it has to do with.

0:53:29.650 --> 0:54:1.980

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

I have spoken to the Commissioner and written twice to Barb, Neil and both of your Co chairs and said are you representing to the administration that you've done your due diligence or the task force that you've done your due diligence on investigating the issues of errors, omissions and misrepresentations in the work that you have now selected a project manager for and the chairs refused to answer that question and that's not OK.

0:54:2.30 --> 0:54:5.980

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Article six of the Constitution requires that level of accountability.

0:54:6.430 --> 0:54:30.640

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

So, but more to the point, this is it's seems to be unprecedented that we have created this situation where the SME's that have been flapping in the breeze hanging out for months and months since they put their proposals together and are now being asked to serves in a subordinate role to one of their competitors.

0:54:30.970 --> 0:54:32.820

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

That's absolutely untenable.

0:54:33.370 --> 0:54:51.390

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

So regardless of the errors, omissions, and misrepresentation that elevate has done to central Vermont and Firstnet effort in Vermont, uh, you've now created a situation where you're you're asking the IT in fact, Jen?

0:54:51.400 --> 0:55:0.800

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

I asked you in a email to confirm that there is a cause a 30 day can be cancellation for convenience cost.

0:55:1.660 --> 0:55:13.630

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

I don't know that I've gotten any response to that, but my point is that this task force has an obligation to do the due diligence on the serious issues that I've raised.

0:55:13.640 --> 0:55:18.40

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

But now you've raised, you've created an absolutely unworkable 1.

0:55:20.590 --> 0:55:21.600

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

For what?

0:55:21.610 --> 0:55:24.270

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

The no competition among.

0:55:26.220 --> 0:55:40.190

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Basically, television can use this imprimatur of this task force to go around and cultivate future business around the state or represent that there most qualified to do the same kind of incomplete planning.

0:55:40.880 --> 0:55:43.490

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

I'll point out a couple of examples from Central Vermont.

0:55:44.160 --> 0:55:54.170

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

They were hired for \$35,000 or plus to do an assessment and inventory, and then when I further requested the inventory, they said they didn't do it.

0:55:54.800 --> 0:56:4.120

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

And then now we've got RFP's on the street from public safety that say that we're headed towards a statewide trunked radio system.

0:56:4.130 --> 0:56:5.790

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

System televise.

0:56:9.580 --> 0:56:10.630

Rick Burke

The.

0:56:5.800 --> 0:56:16.350

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Absolutely refused to do the analysis of whether, instead of a simulcast system in central Vermont that we should be evaluating and considering the alternative of the trunk radio system, that wasn't done.

0:56:16.360 --> 0:56:40.580

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

So we basically wasted 3545 fifty \$5000 in central Vermont that this task force and the SME's are going to have to redo and similarly with Firstnet the recommend the the Surrey Devil Propagation analysis with no known tower locations represented it 18 to your first network and I have 76% coverage at the end of five years.

0:56:40.810 --> 0:56:48.750

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

We don't, and no one ever called upon the fact that that was a flim flam propagation analysis.

0:56:48.760 --> 0:56:54.50

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

She can't do a propagation analysis without having power sites already identified.

0:56:54.610 --> 0:57:6.860

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

So nor did they point out some of the flaws in the AT&T plan that were later caught by the independent reviewer, which included no disaster recovery plan.

0:57:6.870 --> 0:57:8.980

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

No, let's escalation path.

0:57:9.350 --> 0:57:9.620

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

No.

0:57:10.550 --> 0:57:24.80

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Uh, no, right of recourse when later Televic was hired by DPS to find measure coverage down in the southwestern Vermont, where AT&T said they had coverage, there was no coverage.

0:57:24.90 --> 0:57:29.200

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Televote went and measured it, and AT&T's response was tough.

0:57:29.210 --> 0:57:30.680

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

We measure things differently.

0:57:30.730 --> 0:57:32.30

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

You you can't sue us.

0:57:32.370 --> 0:57:33.680

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Take it up with Washington.

0:57:34.110 --> 0:57:38.250

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

So you're you've basically set this thing on a further.

0:57:38.860 --> 0:57:39.390

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Uh.

0:57:41.580 --> 0:57:48.320

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Wrong track by failing to address these issues in all your executive sessions you heard Kim Chaney.

0:57:48.840 --> 0:57:56.750

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

The transcript is useless as far as Kim Chaney's important comments last time because of the audio quality.

0:57:56.880 --> 0:58:12.200

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

So I would ask someone to reconstruct that transcript from the video if you or from a conversation with him, because that's an important piece where he's saying that the secrecy of the unbounded secrecy that this has been pursuing on is untenable.

0:58:12.210 --> 0:58:13.800

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

And is not consistent with.

0:58:14.550 --> 0:58:15.160

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

It's either.

0:58:15.230 --> 0:58:22.180

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

It's certainly not consistent with Vermont statutes related to telecommunications planning, so I have.

0:58:24.530 --> 0:58:25.860

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

That's on a lot of your lap.

0:58:25.870 --> 0:58:29.170

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

I think this task force needs to kind of.

0:58:32.580 --> 0:58:39.130

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Grow into its branches and elect to elect a chair and fill out the.

0:58:40.700 --> 0:58:49.20

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Membership with UH, engaged and members not just be LED around by the nose by the chairs.

0:58:49.490 --> 0:58:51.120

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

You know, this is this is not working.

0:58:51.130 --> 0:59:1.740

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

We've wasted six months and now we've got a we're worse off by having a untenable situation with a project manager, so I don't.

0:59:1.920 --> 0:59:3.990

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Well, I would like to hear some discussion.

0:59:4.0 --> 0:59:14.890

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

I've raised a whole lot of policy issues and never once has one of them and put on the agenda to be discussed and I don't understand how this task force can pretend to be doing its job in that circumstance.

0:59:15.400 --> 0:59:18.80

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

So I would like someone to speak to that.

0:59:19.530 --> 0:59:20.380

1f118ddc-eefb-4dfe-a148-09a58d4fc8b2

Thanks, that's enough.

0:59:22.950 --> 0:59:31.990

Neal, Barbara

I think it's fair to say that the Commissioner and I and and and the vice chair, Paul White, can have some of the discussions around the policy issues you've brought up.

0:59:32.200 --> 0:59:38.940

Neal, Barbara

And beyond that, I thank you for your comments and we're gonna move on to new business.

0:59:39.20 --> 0:59:42.220

Neal, Barbara

Do task force members have any new business for today?

0:59:45.180 --> 0:59:56.560

Morrison, Jennifer

I think I had flagged a an item towards the beginning of the agenda, but I think it was covered in the kickoff meeting about the the work plan from Tel Aviv that we're going to work together on the television.

0:59:58.300 --> 0:59:58.720

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:59:58.820 --> 1:0:2.780

Neal, Barbara

I think we're, we're, we've got that covered then anything else.

1:0:4.280 --> 1:0:5.300

James Mack

What about the legal?

1:0:7.130 --> 1:0:9.110

James Mack
RFP and negotiations.

1:0:8.780 --> 1:0:9.330

Morrison, Jennifer
Yes.

1:0:9.820 --> 1:0:10.80

Morrison, Jennifer
Yep.

1:0:10.950 --> 1:0:11.560

Morrison, Jennifer
Thank you.

1:0:11.810 --> 1:0:13.20

Morrison, Jennifer
That is a great question.

1:0:13.190 --> 1:0:21.920

Morrison, Jennifer
Let me get into my dumpster fire of a inbox and find the relevant email that gave me an update on that.
Uh.

1:0:21.990 --> 1:0:22.420

Morrison, Jennifer
Umm.

1:0:25.720 --> 1:0:45.660

Morrison, Jennifer
We have not heard back as of this morning that the the company that we are involved in negotiations with is still working on and determining the conflict of interest that would have to be cleared up if, if any, before we could move forward with the project.

1:0:45.670 --> 1:0:53.320

Morrison, Jennifer
So when queried by my attorney, he said that he was not sure when what the time frame was for him to turn that around.

1:0:53.330 --> 1:0:56.30

Morrison, Jennifer
So I can actually lean into that a little bit this week.

1:0:56.360 --> 1:0:57.240

Morrison, Jennifer
So it's still in process.

1:1:1.300 --> 1:1:1.770

Neal, Barbara

Alrighty.

1:1:2.220 --> 1:1:2.790

Neal, Barbara

Anything else?

1:1:4.310 --> 1:1:6.660

Neal, Barbara

They just realized I'm still sharing that document.

1:1:6.670 --> 1:1:7.210

Neal, Barbara

Sorry about that.

1:1:8.360 --> 1:1:9.20

Neal, Barbara

Anything else?

1:1:11.680 --> 1:1:11.940

Neal, Barbara

OK.

1:1:14.60 --> 1:1:22.270

Neal, Barbara

We do have a some information to discuss on next steps for the system planning, RFP's and the evaluations of those.

1:1:24.150 --> 1:1:41.890

Neal, Barbara

So I would make a motion that the task force find that the sharing of information about bitter responses publicly would put the task force at a substantial disadvantage in making a decision about awarding a contract or contracts.

1:1:43.80 --> 1:1:44.500

Neal, Barbara

Is there a second to that motion?

1:1:48.50 --> 1:1:49.480

Morrison, Jennifer

Sure, I can second that.

1:1:50.780 --> 1:1:51.20

Neal, Barbara

OK.

1:1:50.500 --> 1:1:52.910

Doenges, Mike

Sorry, I was second at nonverbally apologize.

1:1:53.380 --> 1:1:54.150

Neal, Barbara

Alright, thanks.

1:1:53.220 --> 1:1:55.960

Morrison, Jennifer

In your mind, in your mind, you're like ending it.

1:1:56.790 --> 1:1:57.610

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion?

1:2:2.130 --> 1:2:4.960

Neal, Barbara

Umm, all in favor of making that finding?

1:2:4.970 --> 1:2:10.210

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or say I I see all task force hands are up.

1:2:11.320 --> 1:2:12.600

Morrison, Jennifer

Except Ron, there's Ron.

1:2:12.540 --> 1:2:13.610

Neal, Barbara

Yep, there's Ron.

1:2:13.680 --> 1:2:15.530

Neal, Barbara

OK, OK.

1:2:15.540 --> 1:2:16.870

Neal, Barbara

So we've made that finding.

1:2:16.880 --> 1:2:29.640

Neal, Barbara

Next step is uh, I'll make a motion that we move into executive session for the purposes of discussing one or more contracts and that the televote representatives on the call be invited to that executive session.

1:2:30.640 --> 1:2:31.440

White, Paul

That's what it seems like.

1:2:31.450 --> 1:2:32.20

White, Paul

I've got two more.

1:2:32.270 --> 1:2:32.700

James Mack

I could.

1:2:33.530 --> 1:2:34.300

Morrison, Jennifer

Jim is succeeding.

1:2:33.710 --> 1:2:34.480

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Jim.

1:2:34.870 --> 1:2:35.660

Neal, Barbara

OK.

1:2:35.850 --> 1:2:39.270

Neal, Barbara

All in favor, raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

1:2:41.420 --> 1:2:43.450

Morrison, Jennifer

Rick, I'll send you the executive session link.

1:2:44.930 --> 1:2:45.270

Rick Burke

OK.

1:2:44.670 --> 1:2:52.170

Neal, Barbara

OK, for all in favor and yes, watch for that link and I'll see everyone over in the other session.

1:2:54.820 --> 1:3:0.440

Neal, Barbara

And Kelly, I, as we usually do, maybe you could notify other people on the call when we return to public session.

1:3:1.780 --> 1:3:3.100

Audet, Cally

Yeah, I can do that.

1:3:3.200 --> 1:3:14.350

Audet, Cally

I'll just ask that if there are any new members of the public that I do not typically notify just to send me your contact and I will get a notification to you as well.

1:3:16.840 --> 1:3:18.100

Neal, Barbara

OK, good enough.

1:3:18.170 --> 1:3:20.860

Neal, Barbara

We'll see everybody in the executive session link. Thanks.

1:3:21.540 --> 1:3:21.910

Rick Burke

Thank you.

1:38:32.780 --> 1:38:33.180

Neal, Barbara

OK.

1:38:37.980 --> 1:38:40.230

Neal, Barbara

It's like you've started the recording, Callie.

1:38:40.280 --> 1:38:40.800

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

1:38:43.330 --> 1:38:47.900

Neal, Barbara

And I see some people joining in from the public.

1:38:48.370 --> 1:38:50.300

Neal, Barbara

We ready to proceed, Jen?

1:38:52.140 --> 1:38:53.160

Morrison, Jennifer

I've just are we?

1:38:53.220 --> 1:38:53.460

Morrison, Jennifer

Are we?

1:38:53.470 --> 1:38:54.870

Morrison, Jennifer

We're just missing the 1M right?

1:38:56.530 --> 1:38:57.350

Morrison, Jennifer

Everybody else is here.

1:38:57.900 --> 1:38:58.60

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

1:38:58.890 --> 1:38:59.90

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

1:39:0.320 --> 1:39:0.660

Morrison, Jennifer

All right.

1:39:0.670 --> 1:39:0.790

Morrison, Jennifer

Good.

1:39:1.200 --> 1:39:2.20

Neal, Barbara

Is that accurate? Yes.

1:39:1.300 --> 1:39:2.310

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm yeah.

1:39:2.320 --> 1:39:3.990

Morrison, Jennifer

Let's let's go ahead and proceed.

1:39:4.0 --> 1:39:9.190

Morrison, Jennifer

I think on the agenda we are up to next meeting date etcetera.

1:39:11.80 --> 1:39:11.570

Morrison, Jennifer

Is that right?

1:39:11.50 --> 1:39:19.520

Neal, Barbara

Right there, there was an agenda item for potential action from executive session, which we do not have, so we can move on to set the next meeting date.

1:39:20.640 --> 1:39:27.450

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, so we have a next meeting date on the books already for the 17th of January.

1:39:27.860 --> 1:39:36.500

Morrison, Jennifer

It is currently set for a total of an hour and 15 minutes, including a potential executive session of 45 minutes.

1:39:38.640 --> 1:39:52.960

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, I think I would like to suggest to the team that the Wednesday, January 17th meeting be extended by 15 minutes so that we have a total of 90 minutes, including an hour for executive session.

1:39:54.600 --> 1:39:55.330

Morrison, Jennifer

You getting all this?

1:39:55.340 --> 1:39:55.650

Morrison, Jennifer

Cali.

1:39:56.850 --> 1:39:57.170

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

1:39:57.100 --> 1:39:57.370

Neal, Barbara

This is.

1:39:58.290 --> 1:40:15.420

Morrison, Jennifer

And that we add a meeting on Wednesday, January 24th for a total of two hours and that it would, I believe we would call it a special meeting because we would have very few agenda items and mostly executive session.

1:40:18.870 --> 1:40:19.110

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

1:40:19.920 --> 1:40:37.550

Morrison, Jennifer

And that our regularly scheduled meeting on January 31st currently scheduled for an hour and 15 minutes total be grown to two hours total uh from 11:15 until 1:15 on the 31st.

1:40:43.970 --> 1:40:45.240

Neal, Barbara

That all sounds good to me.

1:40:46.770 --> 1:40:48.440

Morrison, Jennifer

So you got that Cali adding a meeting.

1:40:48.450 --> 1:40:54.950

Morrison, Jennifer

That's a special meeting, limited agenda, long executive session and then the 31st, just extending the time.

1:40:56.100 --> 1:41:0.790

Audet, Cally

Do you have a preference on extension of executive session on the 31st?

1:41:2.450 --> 1:41:3.40

Morrison, Jennifer

I think we'll.

1:41:5.560 --> 1:41:9.880

Morrison, Jennifer

I think we will need an hour and a half in executive session on the 31st.

1:41:10.330 --> 1:41:10.550

Audet, Cally

OK.

1:41:12.450 --> 1:41:13.30

Audet, Cally

And I got it.

1:41:15.730 --> 1:41:15.970

Neal, Barbara

OK.

1:41:17.140 --> 1:41:22.540

Neal, Barbara

And if I recall correctly, we don't need a vote on the meeting times, we've just all agreed to those.

1:41:23.550 --> 1:41:29.380

Neal, Barbara

So if there's nothing further, I'll listen for a motion to adjourn.

1:41:31.680 --> 1:41:32.90

Doenges, Mike

So moved.

1:41:31.870 --> 1:41:32.370

Morrison, Jennifer

Tell moved.

1:41:33.590 --> 1:41:34.150

James Mack

So I get it.

1:41:35.530 --> 1:41:36.730

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, Mike and Jerry.

1:41:33.760 --> 1:41:38.510

Neal, Barbara

I heard Mike 1st and Jim seconded all in favor.

1:41:39.60 --> 1:41:41.530

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or signify by saying aye.

1:41:41.660 --> 1:41:45.870

Neal, Barbara

I see everybody's hand is up, including Ron electronically.

1:41:46.400 --> 1:41:54.780

Neal, Barbara

Thanks everybody once again for all your time and extra time today and we will talk again next week.

1:41:55.850 --> 1:41:56.460

Morrison, Jennifer

Very good.

1:41:56.710 --> 1:41:57.290

Morrison, Jennifer

Thanks everyone.

1:41:56.840 --> 1:41:58.340

Neal, Barbara

Alright, thank you.

1:41:59.110 --> 1:41:59.880

Rick Burke

Thank you everyone.

1:41:59.430 --> 1:42:0.170

Neal, Barbara

Bye. Bye.

1:42:0.310 --> 1:42:0.870

Rick Burke

Have a great day.

1:42:0.270 --> 1:42:0.900

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, thanks.