

Transcript

August 7, 2024, 3:16PM

AC Audet, Cally 0:03

Shorter recording should have started just now, and the first item is call to order and rule call.

□ **Audet, Cally** started transcription

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 0:12

OK, I think I can handle that one.

It won't be nearly as smooth as Barb.

Barb is not able to join us.

She has a family emergency.

Umm.

So my name is Jennifer Morrison.

I'm the Co chair of this task force.

I want to remind everyone that this meeting is being recorded and I read the recording and a transcription of the recording will be available on our website shortly following the meeting.

Before we get going, I'm going to take A roll call.

If you could please say that you are here rather than just raise a hand, that would be great.

We know that Barb is not present.

Mike dangerous.

DM Doenges, Mike 0:49

I am here.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 0:51

Perfect Ron kummetz.

RK Ron Kumetz 0:53

I am here.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 0:55
Perfect Paul white.

WP White, Paul 0:57
I am here as well.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 0:59
Dim mak.

JM James Mack 1:01
I'm here.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 1:03
OK, I believe we are missing Mike, right and Barb that would that would be the only two Members not present.
So we do have a quorum and with that, what is the next item of business, Cali.

AC Audet, Cally 1:15
Approval of the agenda.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 1:18
OK, if folks have a can take a look at the agenda and see if any members of the task force recommend any changes to the agenda.

DM Doenges, Mike 1:28
I'll make a motion to approve the agenda as written.

RK Ron Kumetz 1:30
I will second that.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 1:33
Excellent.
We have a motion.
It has been properly seconded.

Uh, any discussion?

All those in favor of approving the agenda say aye, or raise your hand.

WP White, Paul 1:44

Aye.

DM Doenges, Mike 1:44

Aye.

JM James Mack 1:45

I.

RK Ron Kumetz 1:46

I.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 1:48

That makes 5 Callie all 5.

DM Doenges, Mike 1:51

And Michael Wright has joined.

AC Audet, Cally 1:51

In the next.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 1:52

Excellent.

AC Audet, Cally 1:53

OK, great.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 1:54

And Michael Wright has joined.

AC Audet, Cally 1:57

The next item is approval of the Minutes from special meeting #17 on July 31st.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 2:06

OK, have folks had an opportunity to review the minutes of circulated by Cali and if so, and if there are no changes, I would entertain a motion to approve the Minutes from the special meeting last week.

DM Doenges, Mike 2:17

So moved.

RK Ron Kumetz 2:19

I will second that.

JM James Mack 2:19

So I'm gonna.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 2:22

See how easy that was?

OK, great.

All those in favor vote by saying aye or raise your hand.

DM Doenges, Mike 2:28

Aye.

RK Ron Kumetz 2:28

Hi.

JM James Mack 2:30

Like.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 2:32

Mike Wright, how say you?

I can't see him on my phone, so OK.

DM Doenges, Mike 2:34

He's got his hand up.

PSC11 Regular Meeting 8/7/24 - THIS IS NOT AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 2:36

Roger that.

I'm on my little bitty phone and I can't see all the squares.

AC Audet, Cally 2:42

All right.

Moving on, the next item is Regional Town Hall and community listening session planning discussion.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 2:51

Give me a moment to turn to that page with my notebook.

OK, so I know that when last we met, we had talked about circulating a coherent plan to the team before this meeting.

Before today, that did not happen.

And it won't happen today.

I.

Here we go.

Here are my note.

Umm, I want to leave this opportunity for discussion and the reason we placed this item before public comment is so that any of our public commenters who wish to offer their opinions when it is time for public comment, it can be incorporated in their time at that point.

This is as far as the planning has gotten and I have not heard any additional input to the initial the initial circulation of an email.

Uh, the Northwest regional town hall is proposed to be held at Saint Albans, VSP and I should caveat this by saying that when considering a location, we want to be able to accommodate several dozen people, probably up to 50, as well as have adequate facilities for people to be able to participate virtually.

So the Northwest regional town hall has been proposed as being at the Saint Albans State Police barracks, the Northeast Regional Town hall has been proposed as being held at the Northern Vermont Regional Hospital Conference Room.

The Southwest regional town hall has been proposed as being held at the Vermont Fire Academy in Pittsford and the Southeast Regional Town Hall has been proposed at being held at Westminster Barracks for the Vermont State Police.

These are not cast in stone, totally open.

Totally open to other options that meet the requirements for the space.

That one thing that I like about this is that it is not completely police centric that there is an EMS space, IE the hospital in this and the fire Academy in making sure that we are providing a space where people feel that it's their space.

It's the one that they usually go to, so making it a little more well rounded.

We also discussed that dates that would be a good would be September 11th and 12th September 16 and 19 and also daytime on September 10th, but not evening time on September 10th, so 10th, 11th, 12th, 16, 19.

It is unclear to me if it is the will of the group, or logistically possible to only hold one regional town hall per day.

It's unclear if they should all be in the evening or if we should perhaps have like 4.

I'm giving a for instance.

I'm making this up, perhaps on September 11th.

We have a daytime meeting, say from noon to 2:00, o'clock in one of those four regions, and we also host an evening event.

It is also conceivable that this team, meaning the task force and our consultant support, could divide and conquer, and by that I mean let's just say we picked the Knights of September 11th and 12th as being the the best nights to do this evening time.

We could conceivably run two sessions in the state concurrently.

Dom could go to one on our behalf.

Rick could go to the other Barb and I could split and the task force could split so that there's reasonable representation at each and we could bring on some administrative support as necessary and assuming mission critical can divide and conquer, then that plan could work.

So what I I'm saying all this by way of offering that we have a very rough outline of what the regions would look like.

We know we want remote participation to be an option.

We have some very high level of.

Organizational plans of how the meeting would proceed given based on the number of attendees, but I think for now our most urgent need is to nail down place date and time so that we can actually lock in these spaces that are it's only a month away.

So rants, I'm going to ask for your input first on what I just said, since it is really your

show and then I want to open it to the task force members and televote to chime in as well.

RD Rance Duffy 7:39

Have to take the view off.

Uh Gen just to follow up, I was took a quick note.

Did I copy September?

Potentially potentially 1011121619.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 7:51

I those are dates that are small, small group came up with as working for everyone.

RD Rance Duffy 7:55

OK, that's fine.

I and and as long as we know the dates.

I know we were talking about the the week after Labor Day or at this point, it's probably going to be the dates after Labor Day.

How's that is kind of where we're gonna be and we will work around that.

And yes, as far as MCP is concerned, obviously it's part of the contract.

We're going to have at least two moderators because I I have a feeling what's going to happen.

We will probably be moderating most of the meeting, obviously with the support of the Elevate and and the task force members that can be there.

But as far as divide and conquer?

Perfect on your part as far as being able to do to help us facilitate the meeting itself, we as MCP will have two people to to moderate the entire entire meeting.

It may be the same two people.

It may not, depending on the days.

Either way, we're going to have two there.

Gonna know what's going on and the this point.

You're right, we have the planning wise.

We've been throwing that around.

I do want to at some point I know we need to get a meeting together between I believe I don't know who was the subcommittee members, Dom, as far as the planning of that.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 8:55

I have a list somewhere.

There's a there's a subcommittee on logistics like date, Time, Place that making sure the audio, you know, the tech works, and then there's a separate subcommittee on content and meeting flow.

RD Rance Duffy 8:57

Yeah.

Good.

Yeah, yeah.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 9:09

Like what the what?

RD Rance Duffy 9:10

That's really OK.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 9:10

The format of the meeting would be.

RD Rance Duffy 9:13

The logistics of beauty or I mean we ought to want to help as much as we can on that.

That's kind of more on.

I'm kind of leaning that board back to you guys because you know, like you just said those places you indicated we wouldn't know.

You tell us the best places to go.

Set that piece up.

Have the meeting room available.

Do the do that part of the logistics.

It's more going to be just getting with the other group to line out exactly what we're going to talk about on the planning.

And I know that's in the stages to be done at some point after we kind of get this part worked out.

So either way, we're good with the dates at this point and I don't know that I have anything calls to offer that I know we need to sit down and just kind of work out what we are going to go over at the actual event themselves.

However, I do want to make sure to interject.

There's kind of two pieces to the meetings themselves and Jen.

I know your last email had that we have the regional town halls, which is typically be a lot of your public safety type personnel to discuss what we're going to talk about. And then there is the community listening session, which was kind of intended for any any other public members that wanted to come in and participate in that.

But they are intended to be separate type meetings.

They could be held in the same day, they could be held in the same day, so we can do that.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 10:17

Yes.

RD Rance Duffy 10:22

But I want to make sure to let's make sure to delineate there's kind of two separate meetings going on, whether they're on the same day or within that same time frame.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 10:31

I think it's not impossible that we could do both in the same week or whatever in the same timeframe.

There was a brief discussion of getting all the feedback from the regional town halls, which is more geared towards town officials and 1st responders who actually rely on the system and getting that feedback and understanding it before we open it for more broad audience.

RD Rance Duffy 10:57

To the public side of it.

Is that what you're thinking?

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 11:00

Yeah, before we open it to the public side of it.

RD **Rance Duffy** 11:00

OK.

Yeah, yeah.

MJ **Morrison, Jennifer** 11:02

And so it might mean doing the regional town halls, you know, maybe running two concurrently on two of those dates and then end of the month doing the the public listening sessions. Yep.

RD **Rance Duffy** 11:14

Maybe reschedule scheduling those later in the month.

OK.

Gotcha.

Maybe scheduling those little bit later on?

MJ **Morrison, Jennifer** 11:20

Yeah, it it could be.

RD **Rance Duffy** 11:21

Yeah, OK. Yeah.

MJ **Morrison, Jennifer** 11:22

I don't know.

I'm not.

I'm not saying I'm asking the group for feedback.

That was the conversation that came up was that's a lot, and we might, but I don't want to do is get information overload and not be able to process it and prioritize it and digest it before we get totally different stakeholders input.

So I think there's some value and understanding what the first responder community is saying they want for priorities before we hear from folks with a different lens on the project.

RD **Rance Duffy** 11:42

Understood.

Exactly because because as you all know, and you know this and you, most of you all know that on here too you're have different perspectives on what the public safety community wants out of the system and even from the public.

And and there's going to be different conversations to be held.

And that's the reason we do those two sessions in a different format in a different fashion.

So you're right, we just need some other input and see what else anybody has to say at this point. So.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 12:17

What are other thoughts from the group on?

He's either locations, potential dates or times of day.

WP White, Paul 12:30

I'll go ahead gently.

This is Paul umm.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 12:32

Yes.

Yeah, go ahead, Bob.

WP White, Paul 12:34

So first of all, I'm I'm glad to see that they're not all scheduled for VSP's locations.

I think that that would be easy to do since you know BSP has locations everywhere, but it would give it could give the impression that this is, you know, a DPS driven thing which we want to avoid.

So thank you for that.

I get.

I guess I will go with the flow with whatever the group decides, but I guess I would not be in favor of trying to do 2 regional town halls concurrently at the same time for a couple of reasons.

One is, I think is as much as we can.

I know not everybody's going to be able to go everywhere, but I think it it would be helpful to have as many task force members as possible attend each of the regional town halls just to try to reinforce the idea that this is a multi jurisdictional effort.

And so if we could have, you know, different representatives at each of the town halls, I think that would be a good thing and that we couldn't do that if we're having to divide and conquer.

And then secondly.

Some people you know, I know we're not gonna get people driving from Putney to Saint Albans or vice versa, but some people if one of the dates is a bad date they may choose to drive to a regional meeting in a different part of the state.

If they're really are that interested in it, and if we have two of them on the same date, and that date turns out to be bad for somebody, then we've written 50% of the meetings off their possibility lists.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 13:55

Right.

That's fair.

Yep.

What do you think about daytime night time?

Like if on the same date we did a noon to two and then a 5 to 7 or a 6 to 8.

WP White, Paul 14:10

Yeah, I think that would be a better option.

Yeah.

If we're trying to get to, if we want to get them out of the way as soon as possible, then I think that would be better than trying to do concurrently.

Yeah, we could do.

I mean, it's for whoever's going to those.

It's gonna mean some.

It's a full day commitment, but that's OK that's what we signed on for, right?

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 14:28

Yeah.

So I'm not trying to get them out of the way quickly.

WP White, Paul 14:30

Uh.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 14:32

I'm trying to think about the fact that, particularly for these regional town hall sessions, we're looking at a large swath of the EMS and fire community being volunteers, and they might not be able to take time off from their regular job.

WP White, Paul 14:40

Umm.

Sure. Yep.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 14:46

But I also know that the same people who are on those volunteer squads are on their select board or on there, you know, whatever it is.

WP White, Paul 14:53

Right.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 14:54

And so if we only have evening selections, are we competing with a local priority?

Umm, I I I was just trying to figure out a way to make it approachable for people by offering two different times.

WP White, Paul 15:01

Yeah.

Yeah.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 15:09

I mean, we could even theoretically do a 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM.

WP White, Paul 15:09

Yeah, no. Yeah.

RD Rance Duffy 15:10

2nd.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 15:12

Like an early morning meeting.

WP White, Paul 15:16

Yeah.

No, I'm not at all opposed to doing some during the day and some during the evening to try to hit as many possible different attendees as possible.

MW Michael Wright 15:26

I think if you're going to add, if you're gonna offer a remote access, which obviously we're going to, if we did, if there's four and we did two in the morning and two in the evening, that gives everybody options because as Paul just said, if I can't come to the St Johnsbury one, I can jump on remotely and grab a daytime one that's down in Rutland or whatever.

So I think giving 2 morning options and two evening options spreads it out evenly and I'm assuming these are also probably going to be recorded or at least one of them.

So that somebody that could, you know, totally missed out of it, although obviously they can't comment, they would at least be able to listen in on the presentation if they absolutely missed it.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 16:11

And they can email us.

I mean, they can write to us after they watch the.

MW Michael Wright 16:14

Right.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 16:16

So what do others think?

RK Ron Kumetz 16:20

I think that the remote aspect, the remote access aspect of this really lends some new flexibility to to this effort that previous efforts to to figure out what people

wanted in, in dispatch and stuff just lacked.

I mean, this is something new, so hopefully we'll get a l'm.

I'm hoping that a significant number of you know the the volunteer agencies will be willing to weigh in.

Umm, but I I I really reiterate that in our communications.

You know, we we we really do have a problem with people who of failed to communicate what they want and then simply go passive aggressive when you know we do something else because that's not what they wanted.

If they failed to communicate to us, then they have cast their vote.

And and and I think that that needs to be politely phrased, but the message needs to be out there.

Uh, that there's really no excuse.

They can email, they can write, they can put it on a postcard and a stamp.

We're willing to listen to them.

We're having remote access and all this other stuff.

We just need to get people to participate.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 17:46

Gotcha.

Who else wants to chime in on this?

RD Rance Duffy 17:48

I do.

I I do emphasize uh, I know.

And I'm on.

I'm on site currently doing some of your on site assessments that I I do want to emphasize a couple things haven't ended the task.

Force members that can attend the meetings would be great because what we have ran across so far and and it just it's just inevitable with government service is we are we are doing a section where we explain what we're doing and why we're there and even back to the point of even what the task force is because there's some of the locals that we've talked to have no idea and and it's already happened and I knew I kind of knew that that that was a potential.

So I went ahead and put in place for my teams, myself and my teams to say hey, talk about the task force, bring up what the task force is about and why mission critical

partners specifically, what are we doing and how are we supporting the task force? So I say all that because that's going to be that could be very relevant to these meetings that we're getting ready to have, because that way if the task force is there, you guys can, you can directly address some of these things that, that, that we've we've already been dealing with on that.

No, none of it's been negative.

It's just they either, like you said.

I think Ron just hit it.

They either didn't read the email, which happens, we know what happens.

They didn't get the information for whatever reason, they already got.

They already get 500 emails a day for whatever, so we just need to make sure you open all the information out there that we can and then the other piece.

Yeah, I already know.

I mean, I was a volunteer firefighter back in the day, and we're going to do an on site, and I know you have a lot of volunteer services in this state, so having an evening option for those, those personnel would probably be huge.

And of course, it might be good for you to say, hey, we've extended this to you because we know you're work schedules might not work during the daytime period.

MJ

Morrison, Jennifer 19:24

Very good.

Yep.

I I'm I hear that.

One thing that I wonder about and we don't have to resolve this today.

In fact, I think it's probably the purview of the group that's gonna organize the flow of the meeting.

So it probably in the other group I have participated in conferences or presentations where there's the ability to text a number that you put up in the meeting and people are able to send feedback that they don't have to say out loud or put in the chat.

And I really would like people to have the ability to very comfortably and honestly provide feedback.

So I can't remember the name of the tool.

Like a person I used to present with was a master with it, but it's literally you put a tax number up on the screen and you ask questions.

You can ask questions, put up a slide with a question and receive people's answer to

that question.

But there's also the ability to give free text responses.

So I would like to wonder if we could incorporate that in some way to make sure that the people who are reluctant umm.

Really do have every opportunity to participate and provide input.

So leaving the listening sessions for the general public in a parking lot for now in the interest of time, I feel like we have a pretty good sense and I'm rants.

I'm gonna want to go direct with you.

In fact, I'd love it if you would drop a meeting on my calendar.

So it does not get whatever happens this weekend with the next round of flooding. It's.

I'm not gonna remember to to schedule something with you, so if you can just put something on my calendar for like Friday or Tuesday, something like that.

We'll we'll get this firmed up.

RD **Rance Duffy** 21:14

OK.

I'm actually just FYI for you.

I'm here through tomorrow and I'm, and I'm actually.

I'm leaving, but then I'm back again next week.

So I'll be back in the state next week anyway, so maybe I can we can work out something along that line so.

MJ **Morrison, Jennifer** 21:22

That.

That'd be great.

That'd be great.

Just nudge me any other thoughts from the task force or television on this topic.

Very good.

Kelly, what's the next agenda item?

AC **Audet, Cally** 21:42

It is public comment.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 21:44
Very good.

DA Dominick Arcuri 21:45
Well, I I'm.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 21:45
I can't see.

DA Dominick Arcuri 21:46
I'm sorry.

I'm sorry.

Before we move on, I I heard some good input.

I don't know if we got everything we needed to to nail down the the specific logistics.

I think I I didn't hear any opposition to the locations that were recommended, so maybe we can call that one done in terms of there was definitely support for sounds like both morning and evening sessions.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 22:17
Yes.

DA Dominick Arcuri 22:17

So I I I think that I think we have consensus there, although I did hear some some differing in terms of.

I having them on or or splitting the team and having them potentially, you know due to locations in one day I think.

Uh, Paul sounded like he was against that.

He wanted to see as many task force members, so you know, attend as possible, but I think it was suggested that, you know, maybe we should, maybe we could do that to try and get things done more expediently.

So so I'm not sure if we settle down dates.

It doesn't sound like we settled down dates yet.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 23:01

No, we didn't.

We didn't settle on dates, but I don't think we can settle on dates until we get with the place, the locations and ask about availability.

DA Dominick Arcuri 23:05

OK.

OK.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 23:09

So we might, we might be at the mercy of the places where we want to hold these events and As for dividing and conquering, I appreciated Paul's comment and I can go.

DA Dominick Arcuri 23:15

Right.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 23:23

Yeah, I can go either way on that one.

Like whatever, whatever the group wants to do and thinks is the best use of time.

DA Dominick Arcuri 23:24

OK, alright.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 23:29

Sounds good to me.

DA Dominick Arcuri 23:32

Good.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 23:32

I think we have enough.

DA Dominick Arcuri 23:33

That's good.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 23:33

I think we have enough to take the planning.

Dom, you, me and rants to take the planning down into the granular level and and then give the plan back to the team.

DA Dominick Arcuri 23:39

Good.

Sounds good.

RB Rick Burke 23:45

Yeah.

And and do logistics of so many people on the task force needing to attend.

You know, you might need to get a doodle poll out there to look at days and times.

They're gonna work for everyone, cause we've got quite a bit of logistics in the, you know, in planning, not just the events, but our our team being there as well.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 24:05

Yes, of course.

Understood.

And that's why we want to try and nail this down next week to give three weeks heads up time for all of this.

It's coming faster than you think, friends.

RB Rick Burke 24:13

Agreed.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 24:16

So, OK, very good.

Is public comment next Cali.

AC Audet, Cally 24:22

It is, yes.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 24:22

Can you invite our guests to participate?

I can.

I can't.

I think Stevens on under anonymous, but I don't know who else might be on.

AC Audet, Cally 24:29

Yes, it looks like Senator Renner, would you like to speak today?

IW Irene Wrenner 24:42

No thanks.

I'm just here to listen.

AC Audet, Cally 24:44

Alright, thank you.

And it looks like Steven is the only other member of the public.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 24:54

OK.

Steve, do you wanna go ahead, give you 5 minutes?

A anonymous 24:58

The five, even when I'm the only one.

Uh, I have to question.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 25:02

Yeah.

Hey, Barbara only gave you 3.

A anonymous 25:07

Say it again.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 25:09

I said Barbie only gave you three last time.
I'm very generous today.

A anonymous 25:14

Well, we won't debate the legality of that.

Uh, I listen to this long winded logistics over getting public comment and I have to question like what for it if if you're gonna take the public comments from these forums the same way that you've taken an acted on the public comments that I've been making since you know Paul's work group days, you really have to question is this just an exercise in, you know, a charade of public input so that you could say you did it.

But I've put lots and lots of specifics and intend to again here today on to this task force discussion table and not any of it has been acted upon.

You know that the deficient RFP that you know resulted in deficient proposals resulted in no progress on LTE integration, no progress on, you know, proposals to. Said one concurrently a CAD assessment or a statewide records management system or immediate failover during these potentially catastrophic hurricanes and our statewide communications interoperability plan, Green Mountain powers currently paying BELLAVANCE to dig trenches that could result in the could if we were acting timely, result in hardened underground backhaul to critical emergency tower radio towers and governance.

The need for local regional governance and pilot projects and confidentiality assertions which are totally absurd, and the independent review of the CDs, which this task force is obliged to, I mean, what good is it to solicit comment if y'all are just gonna sweep it all under the road?

I mean literally, why should we bother soliciting people to participate in this process with the track record that you've got out?

I'll leave it there because there's a lot in that question.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 27:41

OK.

Thank you.

Holly, is there anyone else on the screen that you don't recognize as being a member of the task force?

AC **Audet, Cally** 27:47

Thursday.

MJ **Morrison, Jennifer** 27:50

OK, what is the next item on the agenda?

AC **Audet, Cally** 27:53

The next item is project updates from Tel Aviv and Mission Critical Partners.

MJ **Morrison, Jennifer** 28:00

OK, let's go with Televic first.

DA **Dominick Arcuri** 28:02

All right.

Thank you.

I'll go over the highlights of the status report.

I'll try and share that right now while I'm gonna share the the schedule, of course.

We talked last week and the schedule looks essentially.

Similar, we are in the midst of data collection.

As I mentioned in the previous update, we are we are still there and as planned, we anticipate a continuing to sorry for the airport announcement.

We anticipate continuing to do the on site dispatch center, data collection and maybe ranch can give us an update in a minute as to where that stands.

As of last Friday, we had visited 14 of the 38th dispatch centers and we are continuing to do that.

I believe mission critical has, yeah, up their teams to current, uh, two teams now to to move it at a quicker rate to be able to complete the dispatch center visits by middle of August as we plan to.

I know there are a few that had to reschedule due to activities they were unable to meet at the schedule time, so there may be a few that have to be caught up after that date, but the plan is to be essentially complete by mid August.

We are also in the process of doing data collection in terms of the RF infrastructure

throughout the state.

MCP is held meetings with some of the major radio vendors, as well as radio technology services, and we are starting to gather that data focusing on the number of channels that are in use throughout the state.

Uh.

Both at each of the dispatch centers and elsewhere and as well as trying to identify all the existing RF, transmit and receive sites that are in in used throughout the state and our potentially available for whatever solution solution options are suggested.

I did get a chance to to meet with Chris Knox from RTS here at APCO, so we were able to sit down and kind of hash out a little bit better plan as to how to put that data together.

So that is that is progressing as well and that's kind of a summary of where we are and happy to answer questions from task force members.

OK.

Here hearing none, I guess I'll turn it over to France to see if he has any additional updates.

RD Rance Duffy 31:23

Thanks Tom.

I've just kind of more of a reiteration.

Dom is kind of hit most of that already.

We're on site.

I'm here in Montpelier today and we're getting ready to actually head up to St Johnsbury here this afternoon for another one.

And as he indicated, we do have to actually there's two teams on site this week, myself and another person and two other people are actually working in other sections of the state.

And then I'll actually be back again next week and another two people be next week to finish out.

The original intent was to complete all of the agencies through August 16th.

I believe is that the next Friday the Dom did indicate that we do have a few that are just for whatever reason it wasn't because they didn't necessarily want to meet.

It was just a scheduling purposes that they could not meet on the time frame that we had available, so we will probably well we will, we will be scheduling a, I call it my my catch up you know the follow on that we're going to have to get with some of the

agencies just because of the them not being available at the time that we had allocated in the 1st place and then actually it's going along really well. We're getting a lot of great information and and and never been to Vermont, and I've been in and out of Vermont now for the last three weeks, so I appreciate it. Beautiful state and glad to visit so.

AC Audet, Cally 32:48
It appears we may have lost the Commissioner, yeah.

WP White, Paul 32:50
Say did we lose Jen?
OK.
Anybody have any questions for rents or Don?

RD Rance Duffy 32:58
No.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 33:04
Thanks Paul.
Seems kicked me out.

WP White, Paul 33:09
Welcome back.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 33:11
Thank you very much.
I'm going to start driving now, so if you lose me, feel free to jump right back in.

WP White, Paul 33:14
Umm.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 33:21
Allie, what's next on the agenda?

AC Audet, Cally 33:22

OK, there I'm new business.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 33:27

Are there any items of new business from Task Force members?

OK, hearing none Callier there any hands?

AC Audet, Cally 33:39

There are not.

And the final item is to confirm the next meeting date which is currently scheduled for Wednesday, August 21st.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 33:42

Very.

AC Audet, Cally 33:52

Unless there are any objections.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 33:56

Uh, two weeks from today.

No objections it it is.

AC Audet, Cally 33:59

Yes.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 34:03

It is possible that if there are decision points to be made for the regional town halls that we would need a quick special meeting, but it's also possible that those logistics can be taken care of by myself in a small team and just communicated out.

Once we have that schedule set, we will post it on the website and Cali.

Once we get that made, if it is before the 21st, could you please make sure that our regular attendees get a copy of those town halls?

AC Audet, Cally 34:31

Yes, I can do that.

And if there is nothing further there just to entertain a motion to adjourn.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 34:41

Which I would be happy to entertain.

RK Ron Kumetz 34:44

I will make the motion to adjourn then.

JM James Mack 34:45

Check it in.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 34:47

All right, Ron makes a motion.

Jim has second titted it any anyone opposed to that?

I'll leave it there.

Alright, all those in favor say aye.

Or show your hand, aye.

DM Doenges, Mike 34:59

Aye.

RK Ron Kumetz 35:00

I.

JM James Mack 35:00

Alright.

MW Michael Wright 35:02

I.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 35:05

OK.

Very good.

PSCIF Regular Meeting 8/7/24 - THIS IS NOT AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT

Thank you, Callie, and thank you everyone for your attendance and we'll be in touch real soon about the town halls.

AC Audet, Cally 35:08

Good.

Thank you.

DM Doenges, Mike 35:12

Alright, awesome.

MW Michael Wright 35:12

Good day. Thanks.

WP White, Paul 35:13

Alright, bye.

DM Doenges, Mike 35:13

See you. Bye.

MJ Morrison, Jennifer 35:13

Bye.

RK Ron Kumetz 35:13

Bye.

□ **Audet, Cally** stopped transcription

PSC/TF Regular Meeting 8/7/24 - THIS IS NOT AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT