(Part I) - Prior to Executive Session

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:0.700

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, sunny.

0:0:1.380 --> 0:0:1.940

Johnson, Soni

You're welcome.

0:0:2.710 --> 0:0:4.560

Neal, Barbara

OK, here we go.

0:0:4.810 --> 0:0:9.40

Neal, Barbara

So I am calling this meeting of the public Safety Communications Task force to order.

0:0:9.50 --> 0:0:15.90

Neal, Barbara

It is 11:15 on April 10, 2024, and I'm Barbara Neil.

0:0:15.450 --> 0:0:21.580

Neal, Barbara

As a reminder, the meeting is being recorded and the recording will be posted to the Task force web page following the meeting.

0:0:21.950 --> 0:0:26.200

Neal, Barbara

So let's start with a roll call of Task Force members.

0:0:27.310 --> 0:0:31.860

Neal, Barbara

If you would verbally acknowledge your presence when I call your name Jen Morrison.

0:0:32.550 --> 0:0:32.930

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm here.

0:0:34.260 --> 0:0:34.710

Neal, Barbara

All white.

0:0:35.670 --> 0:0:36.490

White, Paul

I am here as well.

0:0:37.230 --> 0:0:40.410

Neal, Barbara

Running I see Mike Dungeness.

0:0:45.870 --> 0:0:46.680

Neal, Barbara

Didn't hear you, Mike.

0:0:52.220 --> 0:0:53.750

Doenges, Mike

Sorry, can you hear me now?

0:0:53.760 --> 0:0:54.610

Doenges, Mike

Yeah, sorry about that.

0:0:54.90 --> 0:0:55.200

Neal, Barbara

Yes. Yep.

0:0:54.620 --> 0:0:56.530

Doenges, Mike

My I had the wrong microphone selected.

0:0:56.540 --> 0:0:57.470

Doenges, Mike

I am here as well.

0:0:57.900 --> 0:0:59.930

Neal, Barbara

Good morning Ron krumitz.

0:1:1.40 --> 0:1:1.680

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I am here.

0:1:2.390 --> 0:1:4.260

Neal, Barbara

Morning and Jim Mac.

0:1:5.750 --> 0:1:6.330

James Mack

I am here.

0:1:10.390 --> 0:1:10.790

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Right.

0:1:7.270 --> 0:1:12.310

Neal, Barbara

OK, I do not see Michael Wright on the call yet.

0:1:14.960 --> 0:1:22.110

Neal, Barbara

OK, so also joining us today in filling in for Cali is Sonny Johnson from the Enhanced 911 board.

0:1:22.120 --> 0:1:23.950

Neal, Barbara

She's our administrative support today.

0:1:23.960 --> 0:1:24.650

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Sonny.

0:1:25.810 --> 0:1:30.270

Neal, Barbara

We have our project management team from Tel Aviv.

0:1:31.490 --> 0:1:31.810

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

But.

0:1:33.800 --> 0:1:34.20

Dominick Arcuri

Morning.

0:1:33.820 --> 0:1:34.410

Rick Burke

Morning everyone.

0:1:30.380 --> 0:1:43.920

Neal, Barbara

Rick and Dom are on the call in warning and I see also that rant stuffy is here with us from mission critical partners and we have at least morning ramps.

0:1:44.170 --> 0:1:46.440

Neal, Barbara

We have at least one member of the public, Steven.

0:1:46.450 --> 0:1:47.780

Neal, Barbara

Would you like to introduce yourself?

0:1:49.620 --> 0:1:50.180

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Steve Whittaker.

0:1:52.90 --> 0:1:52.640

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:1:52.650 --> 0:1:58.10

Neal, Barbara

And actually I do not see anyone else from the public.

0:1:59.120 --> 0:2:2.110

Neal, Barbara

So we can move on to approval of the agenda.

0:2:2.300 --> 0:2:5.790

Neal, Barbara

The agenda was distributed to Task Force members by email.

0:2:5.800 --> 0:2:11.820

Neal, Barbara

It's also available on our web page do Task Force members have any changes to the agenda for today?

0:2:16.650 --> 0:2:17.140

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:2:17.150 --> 0:2:28.440

Neal, Barbara

We will consider the agenda approved and move on to approval of the Minutes from the regular meeting #22 on March 27th, 2024.

0:2:29.70 --> 0:2:31.440

Neal, Barbara

Task Force members have any changes to these minutes.

0:2:36.610 --> 0:2:39.390

Neal, Barbara

OK, Jen, I think you were you saying something.

0:2:38.920 --> 0:2:46.740

Morrison, Jennifer

And yeah, I'd be happy to make a motion to approve the minutes of the regular meeting #22 held on March 27th, 2024.

0:2:47.510 --> 0:2:48.90

White, Paul

I'll second that.

0:2:48.580 --> 0:2:49.170

James Mack

Seconded.

0:2:50.10 --> 0:2:50.440

Neal, Barbara

Great.

0:2:50.770 --> 0:2:59.800

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion, further discussion, all right, all in favor, then, of approving the Minutes from March 27th, raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:3:1.680 --> 0:3:2.190

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I.

0:3:2.940 --> 0:3:4.270

Neal, Barbara

See Ron's hand.

0:3:4.320 --> 0:3:8.620

Neal, Barbara

I see all other hands are up.

0:3:9.310 --> 0:3:10.490

Neal, Barbara

The Minutes are approved.

0:3:12.420 --> 0:3:16.770

Neal, Barbara

OK, moving to public comment, we have one member of the public.

0:3:16.780 --> 0:3:21.620

Neal, Barbara

So Steven, if you'd like to take a minute to offer your thoughts, go right ahead.

0:3:23.230 --> 0:3:24.20

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Yeah, that was clever.

0:3:24.30 --> 0:3:26.470

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I said, what a minute, but I'll.

0:3:27.870 --> 0:3:30.560

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I'll take the bait. Yeah.

0:3:30.510 --> 0:3:30.770

White, Paul

Umm.

0:3:27.550 --> 0:3:32.710

Neal, Barbara

If you would like to take a period of time during public comment to offer your feedback, go ahead.

0:3:33.730 --> 0:3:38.110

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

So I wanna reiterate some concerns.

0:3:38.120 --> 0:3:40.420

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I've raised multiple times prior.

0:3:41.150 --> 0:3:48.430

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I believe that the project scope, as I've reviewed the mission critical document.

0:3:49.350 --> 0:4:4.380

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Uh envisions erroneously envisions 1 statewide system, and it's clear that there's never gonna be 2 or \$300 million at anybody's disposal to build 1 statewide system.

0:4:4.470 --> 0:4:8.320

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

It would have been done by now under Terrye's tenure.

0:4:8.370 --> 0:4:24.860

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Terry Lavalley had that it's been a possibility and what I see seriously missing from the work plan is an immediacy on designing resilience and failover among the regional systems that we rely on day to day.

0:4:25.20 --> 0:4:32.30

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

And so I what I feel is happening is that the fear and field is happening is that the?

0:4:33.670 --> 0:4:42.300

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Department is dreaming of having an owning a statewide system which they can't even seem to get their own.

0:4:42.310 --> 0:4:45.410

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

LMR densified.

0:4:46.470 --> 0:5:1.110

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Uh, and we're all gonna pay the price for that omission in the the planning and the work plan documents that I believe you need to take a much more active role in directing the.

0:5:1.160 --> 0:5:6.530

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I'm talking to the Members here, the majority that can out both the department.

0:5:6.780 --> 0:5:21.470

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

You need to take an active role in directing that immediate resilience measures between and among radio systems that are typically regionally or municipally owned, such that we could withstand a hurricane.

0:5:21.480 --> 0:5:25.370

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

We're entering probably the highest hurricane season soon.

0:5:25.920 --> 0:5:31.650

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

We're also entering, you know, the highest potential for solar disruptions.

0:5:32.150 --> 0:5:39.610

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

So we we are kind of sitting ducks lacking any plan and we seem to be, uh, proceeding.

0:5:39.620 --> 0:5:41.160

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

There's also in this work plan.

0:5:41.170 --> 0:6:2.990

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

There's no effort to fill the cellular dead zones, and the department's tenure plan, which I referenced at the last time I spoke to this board this task force the 10 year telecommunications plan, is has a joke of efforts to densify fill this cellular dense zones.

0:6:3.340 --> 0:6:16.960

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

And it was just the half hour ago I heard your cochair addressing gave OPS saying she'd really like to know where there's only one tower serving an area such that if that tower fails, no one could call 911.

0:6:17.120 --> 0:6:36.230

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

And yet that same lack of leadership or failed leadership has informed the vendors that

were submitting proposals here that no finding, so filling cellular dead zones is not part of the work scope of work for this project.

0:6:36.300 --> 0:6:44.950

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

So I think you all have been somewhat complacent and you need to get much more vigorous and speak up and outvote the chairs if you have to.

0:6:45.240 --> 0:6:48.390

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

But you can't keep leaving these big gaps undone.

0:6:48.940 --> 0:7:3.800

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

So resiliency and a failover among existing systems is a high priority, as is honoring the governors highest demand for cellular infill coverage.

0:7:4.200 --> 0:7:6.310

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

And right now we have no.

0:7:7.250 --> 0:7:7.920

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Uh.

0:7:8.270 --> 0:7:11.310

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Momentum on either of those as evidenced in the work plan.

0:7:15.950 --> 0:7:23.320

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I wanna take issue with Paul's comment that he doesn't see your lawyer viewing vetting public records requests.

0:7:23.750 --> 0:7:28.180

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I'll point out that this Department of Public Safety is not working.

0:7:28.190 --> 0:7:29.980

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

They're stalling every request.

0:7:29.990 --> 0:7:36.800

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

They're taking maximum advantage of 10 day extensions when none are required, they're stonewalling.

0:7:36.890 --> 0:7:48.970

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I still don't have the contracts for the program manager for the CDs four which you this task force is to be ongoing, responsible and exerting oversight.

0:7:49.220 --> 0:8:4.410

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

As you learned at the recent meeting or weeks prior to that for me, so the contract, the the COPS grant restricts consultant fees to I think 6 or 80 bucks an hour or so.

0:8:4.420 --> 0:8:8.430

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I doubt we're gonna get anybody off the right caliber for that amount of money.

0:8:8.440 --> 0:8:13.110

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

I've asked for waiver requests and Department of Public service please.

0:8:13.230 --> 0:8:16.600

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Stupid now and says Ohh what kind of waivers are you talking about?

0:8:17.0 --> 0:8:19.510

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

No, not the same waivers I just asked for.

0:8:19.660 --> 0:8:20.670

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

You know, a month ago.

0:8:21.120 --> 0:8:24.130

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

So there's a game going on with public records.

0:8:24.140 --> 0:8:30.170

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

It's a dysfunctional Department of Public safety, and this body needs to honor its own public records.

0:8:30.180 --> 0:8:30.530

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Request.

0:8:30.540 --> 0:8:39.800

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

You need to revisit that policy to adopt that it's your first meeting with the goal of letting the Co chairs be the gateway to all the documents you.

0:8:39.810 --> 0:8:47.540

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

You're gonna need each one of you is gonna need to be able to respond to public records requests because this is an untenable situation.

0:8:50.90 --> 0:8:51.80

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

That's probably enough for now.

0:8:53.360 --> 0:8:53.770

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:8:53.860 --> 0:8:54.650

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Steven.

0:8:54.660 --> 0:8:57.20

Neal, Barbara

And I see that Kim Cheney joined.

0:8:57.740 --> 0:8:58.290

Neal, Barbara

Good morning.

0:8:58.300 --> 0:9:0.240

Neal, Barbara

Kim, would you like to offer public comment?

0:9:1.810 --> 0:9:2.430

Kim Cheney

Yes.

0:9:2.440 --> 0:9:3.260

Kim Cheney

Can you hear me now?

0:9:4.170 --> 0:9:4.750

Neal, Barbara

Yes it can.

0:9:10.200 --> 0:9:10.560

Neal, Barbara

Go ahead.

0:9:10.940 --> 0:9:14.920

Kim Cheney

It yeah, thinking about how to get started, I think.

0:9:18.750 --> 0:9:27.70

Kim Cheney

What troubles me about this is this effort is not about technology, it's about money, fundamentally.

0:9:28.770 --> 0:9:32.290

Kim Cheney

Virtually everybody in Vermont needs this service.

0:9:34.270 --> 0:9:38.350

Kim Cheney

If you're Granny gets sick or you get sick, you need to be able to call somebody.

0:9:39.440 --> 0:9:49.120

Kim Cheney

And the problem we started to try to solve was the overworking of the 911 system.

0:9:49.130 --> 0:10:0.940

Kim Cheney

We saw that you can't keep employees because they go nuts trying to figure out the number of different units they have that combined with.

0:10:3.870 --> 0:10:16.360

Kim Cheney

So fundamentally, it's about money, and my suggestion is the first thing to do is find out how much every local group is now spending on this badging.

0:10:18.190 --> 0:10:20.80

Kim Cheney

It's all buried in their budget.

0:10:20.90 --> 0:10:20.910

Kim Cheney

It's higher than.

0:10:23.80 --> 0:10:34.700

Kim Cheney

It's it's not an easy number to come up with, but if for example, my player spends \$20 per.

0:10:37.380 --> 0:10:40.90

Kim Cheney

Person per year on dispatching.

0:10:40.660 --> 0:10:44.210

Kim Cheney

That's at least the number, and then they're already being spent.

0:10:47.170 --> 0:10:57.470

Kim Cheney

So the next question is how much more do we need to spend to get some basic communications for all the people that need it?

0:10:59.60 --> 0:11:1.340

Kim Cheney

And obviously there's only two sources.

0:11:2.800 --> 0:11:9.70

Kim Cheney

Uh property taxes and and a broader state budget?

0:11:9.80 --> 0:11:11.250

Kim Cheney

Or or federal assistance.

0:11:12.120 --> 0:11:14.840

Kim Cheney

But that way we would know what we need to do.

0:11:16.300 --> 0:11:23.380

Kim Cheney

Then we can figure out roughly want the bottom line cost is and I.

0:11:26.80 --> 0:11:28.670

Kim Cheney

Paul Weitz comments about Hartford.

0:11:28.680 --> 0:11:34.520

Kim Cheney

I think spending enormous amounts per capita, there's gotta be.

0:11:36.790 --> 0:11:43.510

Kim Cheney

A number for the per capita spending.

0:11:46.820 --> 0:12:0.170

Kim Cheney

Based on the equalized grant list of a community so that we would know that everybody is paying some amount and I'll bet right now those numbers are all over the lot.

0:12:1.460 --> 0:12:8.610

Kim Cheney

Some towns are spending, as Paul said, five times as much as others, per cabinet.

0:12:10.330 --> 0:12:13.480

Kim Cheney

That's, that's where I would start.

0:12:14.500 --> 0:12:19.910

Kim Cheney

Let's get the per capita equalized cost that people are now spending.

0:12:22.130 --> 0:12:25.200

Kim Cheney

And try to construct a budget and what is needed.

0:12:27.680 --> 0:12:30.240

Kim Cheney

To allow people to get the services they need.

0:12:32.590 --> 0:12:37.710

Kim Cheney

And then we can look for funding sources and try to spread it out fairly.

0:12:40.600 --> 0:12:43.850

Kim Cheney

That's that's what killed the project here in Barrie.

0:12:43.860 --> 0:12:45.100

Kim Cheney

Montpelier was money.

0:12:47.280 --> 0:12:47.770

Kim Cheney

Umm.

0:12:48.910 --> 0:12:51.540

Kim Cheney

And so it's going to kill every project.

0:12:51.550 --> 0:12:55.490

Kim Cheney

Let's start with what where the problem is.

0:12:55.900 --> 0:12:58.880

Kim Cheney

See if we can't figure out where to go from there.

0:12:59.40 --> 0:12:59.480

Kim Cheney

Thank you.

0:13:1.260 --> 0:13:3.210

Neal, Barbara

Thank you very much. OK.

0:13:5.440 --> 0:13:15.370

Neal, Barbara

We don't see any other members of the public on the call, so we will move on to project updates and I will hand this over to Dom.

0:13:17.340 --> 0:13:17.630

Dominick Arcuri

Alright.

0:13:17.640 --> 0:13:18.230

Dominick Arcuri

Thank you, Barb.

0:13:19.880 --> 0:13:32.410

Dominick Arcuri

Uh, we have been focusing most recently on the the plans for data gathering and site visits and interviews to uh kick off that portion of the project.

0:13:32.760 --> 0:13:35.610

Dominick Arcuri

So we are putting together plans for those.

0:13:36.60 --> 0:13:54.830

Dominick Arcuri

The data collection strategy, a draft of that was developed and the team of the MCP televised and Task Force members meant to coordinate the discussion of data collection, logistics and activities.

0:13:55.320 --> 0:13:57.620

Dominick Arcuri

So that has been updated and that is ongoing.

0:13:58.800 --> 0:14:4.920

Dominick Arcuri

We updated the contact information for the current.

0:14:4.930 --> 0:14:14.290

Dominick Arcuri

The list of dispatch centers and we map them throughout the state and that's assisting with MCP's planning processes.

0:14:14.700 --> 0:14:30.730

Dominick Arcuri

We also grouped the dispatch centers into believe four different types, four different sets of or groups of centers to help with the again with the scheduling and the plans and priorities for data collection.

0:14:32.660 --> 0:14:35.10

Dominick Arcuri

Also, we developed a draft project.

0:14:35.20 --> 0:14:38.250

Dominick Arcuri

Uh frequently asked questions after a queue document.

0:14:38.420 --> 0:14:43.950

Dominick Arcuri

The draft was developed and we've had a couple of revisions to that based on comments.

0:14:44.60 --> 0:14:48.420

Dominick Arcuri

We hope to have that published for the next period.

0:14:49.660 --> 0:15:3.280

Dominick Arcuri

Also, we've begun work on development of the April stakeholder newsletter and we hope to have that published for the next period and and in the planning process.

0:15:3.290 --> 0:15:14.950

Dominick Arcuri

We hope to have a draft of the inventory schedule during the during the next phase next uh for the next Task force meeting.

0:15:16.700 --> 0:15:17.20

Dominick Arcuri

Thank you.

0:15:22.760 --> 0:15:23.870

Dominick Arcuri

You're you're muted.

0:15:23.170 --> 0:15:23.980

Neal, Barbara

Sorry, sorry.

0:15:23.990 --> 0:15:29.730

Neal, Barbara

Yes, I finally caught on part of that logistics discussion we talked about there.

0:15:29.740 --> 0:15:38.540

Neal, Barbara

There's a small group myself, Paul and Jim, that have umm offered to take a look at the survey questions or asked to take a look at the survey questions.

0:15:39.50 --> 0:15:41.510

Neal, Barbara

Data gathering kept questions before they go out.

0:15:43.440 --> 0:15:53.270

Neal, Barbara

And and maybe this is a question for rants, I'm recalling that the timeline for having those togethers was maybe a week or 10 days out from now.

0:15:53.840 --> 0:15:54.740

Neal, Barbara

Is that correct?

0:15:56.360 --> 0:15:56.730

Rance Duffy

Yeah.

0:16:4.10 --> 0:16:4.380

Neal, Barbara

I was.

0:15:57.100 --> 0:16:5.180

Rance Duffy

Let me clarify out to as far as get you guys review it and then and then to then get it out to the agencies themselves, yeah.

0:16:4.390 --> 0:16:6.730

Neal, Barbara

I was Speaking of getting it to us for review.

0:16:9.10 --> 0:16:9.290

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:16:7.300 --> 0:16:15.290

Rance Duffy

Within the week, yes, yes, that is, that is still the intent is we want to get them to, we're gonna get them to draft form of course and get in front of your.

0:16:15.300 --> 0:16:19.410

Rance Duffy

The you'll Barbara, you and the other smaller group to do a review of that.

0:16:20.50 --> 0:16:20.310

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:16:19.500 --> 0:16:28.560

Rance Duffy

And then and then right after that, then we'll we will probably work with you guys again just to make sure we work out when we're going to send the questions out to the actual agencies right after that, so.

0:16:29.270 --> 0:16:39.890

Neal, Barbara

OK, I was thinking that maybe we should have a conversation right now about uh, whether we need to get once the smaller group has looked at those questions and provided you some feedback.

0:16:39.900 --> 0:16:45.290

Neal, Barbara

I'm thinking the entire task force should like take a look at them before they go out.

0:16:46.120 --> 0:16:46.250

Rance Duffy

Sure.

0:16:45.520 --> 0:16:53.280

Neal, Barbara

We could do that at the next regular meeting, or we could schedule a special meeting because you know, for that quick discussion point.

0:16:53.340 --> 0:16:56.710

Neal, Barbara

But I'm interested in what other task force members think of that.

0:16:56.720 --> 0:16:59.360

Neal, Barbara

Is that your preference to review them?

0:17:1.530 --> 0:17:4.880

Neal, Barbara

After this working group has done some initial initial work on them.

0:17:5.430 --> 0:17:5.970

Neal, Barbara

Thoughts on that?

0:17:13.550 --> 0:17:14.660

Morrison, Jennifer

I can go either way.

0:17:14.790 --> 0:17:35.70

Morrison, Jennifer

I think that the subject matter experts we've hired have done this or something similar in other places and they are going to have more expertise than I have about how to drill down into doing this inventory and collecting as to Kim Chaney's point, collecting the information on budgets and personnel, etcetera.

0:17:35.780 --> 0:18:5.80

Morrison, Jennifer

So I'm fine if if if somehow the process feels that the whole task force needs to look at the questions before they go out, I'm certainly willing to do my part, but I don't think I'm going to have any unique knowledge that, uh, Jim, who operates in a dispatch environment and Paul, having been the commander of our peace apps and you bar being sort of an inside or also I don't think I'm gonna have any special knowledge that the three of you and are hired subject matter experts wouldn't have.

0:18:5.90 --> 0:18:14.750

Morrison, Jennifer

So I'll participate if somebody feels there's value in all of us looking at it, but I've, to me, it feels like a slowdown in getting the questions in front of the people who we really want the answers from.

0:18:16.600 --> 0:18:17.310

Neal, Barbara

OK, that's good.

0:18:17.320 --> 0:18:19.110

Neal, Barbara

And put MM Dungeness.

0:18:19.300 --> 0:18:20.20

Neal, Barbara

What do you think?

0:18:25.750 --> 0:18:26.290

Doenges, Mike

I can't.

0:18:26.300 --> 0:18:26.920

Doenges, Mike

I couldn't come.

0:18:26.930 --> 0:18:28.280

Doenges, Mike

I can never come off mute fast enough.

0:18:28.340 --> 0:18:31.940

Doenges, Mike

You would think that a technology person should be able to manage your computer.

0:18:32.670 --> 0:18:34.700

Doenges, Mike

So, sorry about that.

0:18:34.770 --> 0:18:37.820

Doenges, Mike

No, II think reviewing it first would be good.

0:18:37.830 --> 0:18:40.180

Doenges, Mike

I think I'm fine with doing it.

0:18:40.190 --> 0:18:42.250

Doenges, Mike

That, unless there's urgency, I'm fine with doing it.

0:18:42.260 --> 0:18:48.380

Doenges, Mike

The next regular meeting, but I think that US reviewing it and going through it prior is is probably the way to go.

0:18:48.390 --> 0:18:49.450

Doenges, Mike

That's my personal opinion.

0:18:50.490 --> 0:18:53.210

Neal, Barbara

All right, Ron Krumitz, what are your thoughts?

0:18:58.720 --> 0:19:3.970

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Yeah, I mean I I think that we can all just take a look at them as a group and run through them.

0:19:6.470 --> 0:19:32.20

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

You know some of this and and some of this also might need to evolve once they start talking to agencies and you know, find out how that's going, maybe they're asking the wrong questions or maybe the questions that are being asked or interpreted differently by people who are have limited knowledge of the technology.

0:19:32.790 --> 0:19:38.190

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

So we we may need to, we may need to structure it differently.

0:19:38.900 --> 0:19:45.550

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I think you know probably there should be a small small test run before we get too far with it.

0:19:48.90 --> 0:19:50.880

Neal, Barbara

OK, that's good input also.

0:19:51.50 --> 0:19:58.100

Neal, Barbara

And I'm realizing, as I'm talking that I haven't asked Jim or Paul's opinion on going to the larger group either.

0:19:58.110 --> 0:20:0.0

Neal, Barbara

So Jim, why don't we start with you?

0:20:7.80 --> 0:20:7.320

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:20:7.370 --> 0:20:10.660

James Mack

There's anything that we missed or MC PM missed?

0:20:13.510 --> 0:20:13.980

Neal, Barbara

Halt.

0:20:13.990 --> 0:20:14.710

Neal, Barbara

Anything to add?

0:20:18.190 --> 0:20:20.900

White, Paul

No, not only that my phone going off.

0:20:20.910 --> 0:20:24.960

White, Paul

No, I don't really have anything to add that hasn't already been said.

0:20:24.970 --> 0:20:29.440

White, Paul

I guess I wouldn't want to spend a whole ton of time on it as a small group.

0:20:29.670 --> 0:20:35.400

White, Paul

If we're then gonna go to the bigger group and say, you know, free for all everybody tear it apart.

0:20:35.410 --> 0:20:40.260

White, Paul

So if II guess I would like to go one way or the other, but could go either way.

0:20:41.720 --> 0:20:43.450

Neal, Barbara

OK. Umm.

0:20:52.710 --> 0:20:52.950

White, Paul

Right.

0:20:55.410 --> 0:20:55.590

Doenges, Mike

Yeah.

0:20:42.710 --> 0:21:9.840

Morrison, Jennifer

Barb, I think while we're on this topic that I really appreciated what Ron said about the fact that this isn't going to be want it done like we're not going to get it right completely right on the first couple of agencies, these questions get in front of and so there could be value in getting a few agencies to be that beta test for the questions and the process itself before we get these questions out too broadly.

0:21:10.960 --> 0:21:11.670

Neal, Barbara

Interesting, yeah.

0:21:10.820 --> 0:21:12.860

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm. So.

0:21:13.750 --> 0:21:20.740

White, Paul

And maybe since we have a peace app administrator amongst our midst, maybe Shelburne could be that game pig.

0:21:23.490 --> 0:21:24.540

Neal, Barbara

Maybe a ramps?

0:21:24.550 --> 0:21:25.970

Neal, Barbara

I saw your hand up.

0:21:26.400 --> 0:21:26.910

Rance Duffy

Yeah.

0:21:26.920 --> 0:21:30.410

Rance Duffy

I just as a conversation was going on, just want to interject.

0:21:30.420 --> 0:21:33.550

Rance Duffy

We do have to kind of help alleviate some of the concerns.

0:21:33.560 --> 0:21:37.920

Rance Duffy

I think that we're coming up, as we talked, you know Barbara and Jen.

0:21:37.930 --> 0:21:42.60

Rance Duffy

And where we put that data collection piece out there.

0:21:43.910 --> 0:22:8.420

Rance Duffy

We also do a what we call a data review call and we and we won't, we don't do it with every agency, is it really comes down to exactly what one of one of the Members have brought up is if if that agency, let's just say you know ABC agency out there has a question or they didn't understand the question that we asked where they don't understand how to give us that information, that's where we have a data review call and it's it's somewhat scheduled.

0:22:8.430 --> 0:22:13.870

Rance Duffy

I have to resend all that information out and that way they have an opportunity to engage MCP on that part to go.

0:22:14.180 --> 0:22:39.870

Rance Duffy

Hey, I didn't understand this question you asked and it gives us an opportunity to to engage with them and that way we don't have this confusion and misunderstanding about how that goes, but we will, we obviously construct that and put that out on any fashioned way you want to matter of fact like Paul said, if we want to like get the questions lined up and everybody's reviewed them and we want to send it to one piece at 1st and and then see how that goes.

0:22:39.880 --> 0:22:40.180

Rance Duffy

That's.

0:22:40.190 --> 0:22:51.740

Rance Duffy

I mean, that's obviously up to the task force and it might be a good way to get started with that, just to make sure maybe there is that one question that that will hang everybody up and we can readjust it before we send it out to the larger group.

0:22:51.750 --> 0:22:58.920

Rance Duffy

So as, again, we're gonna, we're gonna go ahead and work on the questions as we have them start to develop right now to get them in front of the smaller group.

0:22:58.930 --> 0:23:1.600

Rance Duffy

And then, however, we want to distribute them after that.

0:23:1.730 --> 0:23:7.530

Rance Duffy

Maybe that would give you some you guys some time in between there to kind of maybe talk that over and decide a way to do it.

0:23:8.800 --> 0:23:9.430

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:23:10.140 --> 0:23:11.650

Neal. Barbara

Those all sound like good ideas.

0:23:11.660 --> 0:23:16.430

Neal, Barbara

I know from my perspective I wanted to have that first look at the questions.

0:23:16.700 --> 0:23:28.790

Neal, Barbara

I'll be looking for things you know on this whole 911 dispatch distinction in Vermont and and figuring, let's just get those cached through in advance of going to the larger group.

0:23:28.920 --> 0:23:43.250

Neal, Barbara

So I see a here Paul's point about not having this smaller group spend a lot of time on it, but I think I would, I would like an opportunity to have a just a a once one pass through and then we go to the main group.

0:23:45.490 --> 0:23:47.180

Neal, Barbara

If that works for everyone.

0:23:49.890 --> 0:23:51.50

Neal, Barbara

OK, I'm seeing.

0:23:51.960 --> 0:23:52.270

Neal, Barbara

Go ahead.

0:23:51.70 --> 0:23:52.500

Morrison, Jennifer

It yeah, it works.

0:23:52.510 --> 0:24:2.950

Morrison, Jennifer

It just as a matter of process, if we're going to have everybody on the task force review the questions, then we have to hold a meeting to do it.

0:24:2.800 --> 0:24:3.310

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:24:2.960 --> 0:24:4.190

Morrison, Jennifer

We can't have a quorum.

0:24:4.200 --> 0:24:4.440

White, Paul

Right.

0:24:3.390 --> 0:24:4.740

Neal, Barbara

Yes, we do, yeah.

0:24:4.640 --> 0:24:10.180

Morrison, Jennifer

We can't have a quorum offline and batted around without doing it publicly.

0:24:11.120 --> 0:24:11.500

Neal, Barbara

Correct.

0:24:10.190 --> 0:24:24.780

Morrison, Jennifer

So umm, II think we should do it particularly here at the beginning on the outset and then perhaps if there is fine tuning that needs to happen that little ad hoc task group could could address it with rants as as information starts coming back.

0:24:27.890 --> 0:24:28.110

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:24:29.230 --> 0:24:34.310

Neal, Barbara

So that sounds like putting the working group after the full group has has reviewed.

0:24:35.290 --> 0:24:35.490

Morrison, Jennifer

Nope.

0:24:35.300 --> 0:24:37.780

Neal, Barbara

Is that what you're saying before and after?

0:24:35.590 --> 0:24:37.950

Morrison, Jennifer

Before and after, however, I mean I think, yeah.

0:24:37.790 --> 0:24:39.600

Neal, Barbara

OK, OK, alright.

0:24:40.330 --> 0:24:43.230

Neal. Barbara

Well, I think I don't know that we need to vote on this.

0:24:43.240 --> 0:24:50.70

Neal, Barbara

I think have a general consensus that in the end we want the full group to have a meeting to discuss all of the questions before they go.

0:24:52.100 --> 0:24:59.640

Neal, Barbara

Out to the dispatch centers and that we are gonna be looking at probably this beta test for the questions.

0:25:1.410 --> 0:25:3.100

Neal, Barbara

Before we send it out to everyone.

0:25:3.110 --> 0:25:4.200

Neal, Barbara

So alright.

0:25:6.870 --> 0:25:7.50

White, Paul

I think.

0:25:4.250 --> 0:25:8.910

Neal, Barbara

With that in mind, I think we're, I'm clear on what we're doing on that.

0:25:10.150 --> 0:25:11.900

White, Paul

I think mayor dungeons has his hand up.

0:25:12.690 --> 0:25:14.130

Neal, Barbara

Oh, sorry, might go ahead.

0:25:14.170 --> 0:25:15.540

Doenges, Mike

No, I no, it's fine.

0:25:15.550 --> 0:25:16.200

Doenges, Mike

I had it up earlier.

0:25:16.210 --> 0:25:17.420

Doenges, Mike

I just wanted to throw in my support.

0:25:17.430 --> 0:25:18.880

Doenges, Mike

I like the idea of the beta test.

0:25:18.600 --> 0:25:19.10

Dominick Arcuri

Yeah.

0:25:18.890 --> 0:25:20.680

Doenges, Mike

I just wanted to put my support behind that.

0:25:21.750 --> 0:25:21.970

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:25:20.690 --> 0:25:24.160

Doenges, Mike

That's all thought that it matters, but that's why I may end up.

0:25:23.830 --> 0:25:24.330

White, Paul

Veritas.

0:25:24.330 --> 0:25:27.30

Morrison, Jennifer

Sounds like Shelburne and Rutland will be part of the beta test.

0:25:27.640 --> 0:25:27.930

White, Paul

OK.

0:25:27.390 --> 0:25:27.950

Neal, Barbara

There we go.

0:25:28.840 --> 0:25:30.830

Doenges, Mike

I can walk the documents over myself.

0:25:31.660 --> 0:25:32.250

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:25:32.880 --> 0:25:33.710

Neal, Barbara

Excellent, good.

0:25:33.720 --> 0:25:33.990

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:25:34.0 --> 0:25:40.30

Neal, Barbara

So anything else from task force members on the project updates topic.

0:25:44.960 --> 0:25:50.750

Neal, Barbara

8 hearing nothing is there any new business from Task Force members.

0:25:53.730 --> 0:25:53.960

White, Paul

You.

0:25:53.980 --> 0:25:54.290

Neal, Barbara

Ah.

0:25:55.340 --> 0:26:0.250

White, Paul

I'm and maybe this probably is not in the task force's purview at all.

0:26:0.260 --> 0:26:1.610

White, Paul

In fact, I'm the more I think about it.

0:26:1.620 --> 0:26:14.580

White, Paul

I'm sure it's not, but recently I've seen several stories in the news about dispatch centers that are moving forward with enhancements or new projects.

0:26:14.590 --> 0:26:24.150

White, Paul

You know, for example, if there was something a few weeks ago about South Burlington has made some improvements in there kind of recruiting for customer agencies that might want to be dispatched by South Burlington.

0:26:24.160 --> 0:26:36.680

White, Paul

I recently saw Windsor County Sheriff got some congressionally directed spending from Senator Welch, where they're making some improvements and they want to be a dispatch center and I forget the third one that I saw recently.

0:26:37.410 --> 0:26:47.700

White, Paul

But my point is, I guess it's it's frustrating to see all these little projects sort of simmering all around when we're trying to work towards a fix for everybody.

0:26:47.710 --> 0:26:55.980

White, Paul

And I know we don't have any ability or nor should we tell them to sit and wait for us because we could be years away from having a solution.

0:26:55.990 --> 0:27:3.330

White, Paul

That, and I'm not sure what, if anything, the task force should do for communication, just to make sure everybody knows that.

0:27:3.440 --> 0:27:12.230

White, Paul

You know, I'm not convinced that the whole world of public safety communications in Vermont knows what we're up to, even though we're sending out stakeholder updates, we're sending out biweekly updates.

0:27:13.220 --> 0:27:23.100

White, Paul

I don't know how much they're paying attention to us and taking us into account when they are going forward with these new projects and maybe there's nothing for the task force to do, yeah.

0:27:24.180 --> 0:27:30.660

James Mack

III think there are some out there that don't know that we're doing anything really even with the updates going out.

0:27:30.820 --> 0:27:37.870

James Mack

I also think some are sitting out there just looking at it and saying it's probably not gonna go anywhere.

0:27:38.320 --> 0:27:40.450

James Mack

So we need to continue forward on our plans.

0:27:42.150 --> 0:27:48.310

James Mack

I'm just from the some of the feedback I've gotten interacting with different people out there in the field.

0:27:52.920 --> 0:27:53.70

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh.

0:27:52.470 --> 0:27:54.300

Neal, Barbara

So what to do about that?

0:27:54.550 --> 0:27:55.140

Neal, Barbara

Go ahead, Jen.

0:27:55.900 --> 0:27:59.120

Morrison, Jennifer

Barb, I think, I mean, I think Paul raises an important point.

0:28:0.940 --> 0:28:11.740

Morrison, Jennifer

There certainly are projects out there that I'm aware of where folks are investing money in building something more resilient or something better in their estimation.

0:28:12.880 --> 0:28:24.710

Morrison, Jennifer

And I think it this is also a conversation that is somewhat responsive to Mr Whittaker's concerned that it feels to him that we're driving towards 1 statewide solution.

0:28:42.600 --> 0:28:42.840

White, Paul

Right.

0:28:24.720 --> 0:28:43.610

Morrison, Jennifer

I just want to be very clear that in every other piece of this process, the RFP conversations

with proposed people, bitters, who were proposing to take on parts of this project, this task force has been extremely clear that we want options we do not want.

0:28:43.670 --> 0:28:53.500

Morrison, Jennifer

One solution being brought back at the end of this process, we want options and then we intend to engage in a robust public feedback.

0:28:53.550 --> 0:29:3.510

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, and and stakeholder feedback process to find the best path forward, and I do agree that you know, we all know this isn't going to happen overnight.

0:29:3.520 --> 0:29:5.40

Morrison, Jennifer

This is a couple of years down the road.

0:29:5.270 --> 0:29:16.630

Morrison, Jennifer

We might end up with a system of systems and the question is how do you build the failover and redundancy and all the parts of this system that are beneficial.

0:29:36.220 --> 0:29:36.430

White, Paul

Umm.

0:29:18.60 --> 0:29:37.930

Morrison, Jennifer

So I guess other than continuing to communicate frequently and repetitively, I don't see there's a role for us to discourage any of these projects because it could be that they are beneficial to the future state, whatever the future state is.

0:29:38.240 --> 0:29:42.590

Morrison, Jennifer

And in the meantime, it serves an important role in their local ecosystem.

0:29:49.720 --> 0:29:50.820

White, Paul

Umm, right.

0:29:42.600 --> 0:29:52.870

Morrison, Jennifer

So I'm not sure there's a role for it other than we know that Act 78 said don't break it if it's working right, don't break whatever's working well, umm.

0:29:53.470 --> 0:30:0.200

Morrison, Jennifer

And to come back with something that's resilient and has failover and it is find an equitable funding source.

0:30:0.470 --> 0:30:13.610

Morrison, Jennifer

So I think it's OK that there could be these strong spots, shall we say, in there of of dispatch of public safety communications out there and it could all be part of the tapestry that gets woven together at the end.

0:30:13.660 --> 0:30:15.820

Morrison, Jennifer

But I wouldn't recommend that.

0:30:15.830 --> 0:30:18.560

Morrison, Jennifer

We discourage that at this point.

0:30:20.840 --> 0:30:21.230

White, Paul

Yeah.

0:30:21.850 --> 0:30:22.310

James Mack

I can also.

0:30:21.240 --> 0:30:26.150

White, Paul

And I age I I I I don't want what whatever I said.

0:30:26.160 --> 0:30:35.610

White, Paul

I don't want that to be interpreted as me thinking that we should discourage or that we are going to ultimately come up with one single solution that everybody has to buy into.

0:30:35.880 --> 0:30:36.330

White, Paul

I just.

0:30:36.340 --> 0:30:48.980

White, Paul

I would hate to see some of these smaller organizations spend millions of dollars on building their own little system and have it turn out to be incompatible with the larger system of systems, but I don't know that anything we can do about that.

0:30:50.550 --> 0:30:52.450

Neal, Barbara

Jim, you had a comment and then we'll go to Mike.

0:30:54.80 --> 0:30:54.770

James Mack

Yeah.

0:30:54.820 --> 0:31:4.90

James Mack

You know, for the eclipse we'd invested some money on our end at Shelburne and a backup console system, basically taking our console remote.

0:31:4.300 --> 0:31:5.370

James Mack

We did that.

0:31:5.430 --> 0:31:9.600

James Mack

Use the eclipse as our soft test for it operating our emergency operation center.

0:31:10.530 --> 0:31:15.40

James Mack

I'm and that's a console system that a lot of us use out there.

0:31:15.230 --> 0:31:17.460

James Mack

We just tested it out and it worked fine.

0:31:18.390 --> 0:31:25.430

James Mack

That piece of information can be picked up by this committee and potentially rolled out to somebody else.

0:31:25.440 --> 0:31:29.800

James Mack

Some of the work that South Burlington is doing is stuff that can be rolled out to the rest of us.

0:31:31.190 --> 0:31:34.20

James Mack

I don't see that some of these projects are necessarily bad.

0:31:34.30 --> 0:31:38.960

James Mack

I think they're some good projects and some of the infrastructure behind them has nothing to do with dispatching.

0:31:38.970 --> 0:31:40.850

James Mack

It has more to do with just the infrastructure.

0:31:44.890 --> 0:31:45.410

Neal, Barbara

Thanks Jim.

0:31:44.550 --> 0:31:59.210

James Mack

You know, part of the project, the state, the state is looking at with the \$9 million, that's a lot of infrastructure behind the scenes that I as a dispatcher may not use necessarily on a daily basis, but it's gonna be there that I might use it in later date and time.

0:32:2.260 --> 0:32:3.70

Neal, Barbara

OK, great.

0:32:3.180 --> 0:32:3.790

Neal, Barbara

Thanks, Jim.

0:32:3.830 --> 0:32:4.570

Neal, Barbara

Mike, how about you?

0:32:5.500 --> 0:32:5.830

Doenges, Mike

Sure.

0:32:5.840 --> 0:32:8.830

Doenges, Mike

I have two comments on two different sides of the conversation. Right?

0:32:8.840 --> 0:32:17.890

Doenges, Mike

And then Paul, good it was, I think that's a very I think it's a good observation, one from the technical side kind of the gyms point, right?

0:32:17.950 --> 0:32:23.570

Doenges, Mike

We're gonna hope that in the end, all these systems are any investment people are making now. We can't.

0:32:23.620 --> 0:32:24.670

Doenges, Mike

You shouldn't stop.

0:32:24.680 --> 0:32:35.640

Doenges, Mike

I mean, as much as it may hurt to say, oh, someone spent \$1,000,000 on this upgrade and only 750,000 of it is going to be viable by the time we come to whatever option we choose.

0:32:36.490 --> 0:32:37.280

Doenges, Mike

You can't.

0:32:37.290 --> 0:32:44.920

Doenges, Mike

We can't hinder people for the next three years as we go through this process or however long it's going to take from a network design standpoint.

0:32:44.930 --> 0:32:57.220

Doenges, Mike

I don't know if this is the same way in umm, dispatch design, but you have to evaluate what you need and then look at what you have and then use what you have to try to meet the needs of what you need and then fill the gap.

0:32:57.230 --> 0:33:0.180

Doenges, Mike

So that's kind of how my thought process has been working on this.

0:33:0.370 --> 0:33:2.440

Doenges, Mike

And again, hopefully there's not an overspend.

0:33:2.530 --> 0:33:12.470

Doenges, Mike

I do think from a communication standpoint, Paul is we're sending out, you know, newsletters and or or we're trying to give these updates and we're posting them on the on the page.

0:33:13.260 --> 0:33:21.390

Doenges, Mike

But there may be an opportunity at even as we're reviewing these questions that are going out to dispatch centers, maybe between and and it's just a suggestion.

0:33:21.400 --> 0:33:37.950

Doenges, Mike

We do not have to do this, but maybe between the time that we are doing the beta test and the time we actually send the communications out is putting together something where we actually reach out to every dispatch center and say we are, it could be one of our regular meetings.

0:33:37.960 --> 0:33:58.550

Doenges, Mike

But in incentivizing or getting people to actually come to one of these meetings, to hear the status update, to hear the progress and where we're going, it's not that we don't put this out already, it's just get it in front of them, right, make it something that's like make making phone calls, get people to actually show up, to hear kind of a status update, even though it exists.

0:33:58.740 --> 0:34:6.570

Doenges, Mike

I just know that just because the just in my experience just cause that data exists in these meetings exist, doesn't mean people are paying attention to getting here and listening.

0:34:8.100 --> 0:34:8.360

White, Paul

Right.

0:34:6.580 --> 0:34:9.170

Doenges, Mike

So that might be an effort we want to put forth.

0:34:11.180 --> 0:34:31.370

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, I I think one of my concerns and I have this concern about the 9 million in congressionally directed spending, is that any work that is happening now, umm, this task force is supposed to eventually get to the point where it has developed or identified and developed recommended.

0:34:32.750 --> 0:34:43.320

Neal, Barbara

Standards and best practices for all of these systems and my worry would be is the work that's going on now going to meet those.

0:34:43.330 --> 0:34:46.180

Neal, Barbara

I mean, that's really the same thing that other people have just said.

0:34:46.190 --> 0:34:47.300

Neal, Barbara

Like is it?

0:34:48.170 --> 0:35:12.10

Neal, Barbara

Are we sure or are they sure it's a good investment umm going forward so but I do like mikes idea of kind of a more directed outreach perhaps or more kind of doubling down on that type of outreach perhaps and I'm thinking maybe we could work with Rick and Dom to figure out some strategies on that.

0:35:13.230 --> 0:35:13.730

Neal, Barbara

Umm.

0:35:15.510 --> 0:35:20.140

Neal, Barbara

And and maybe a ways to just approach this whole topic.

0:35:20.370 --> 0:35:22.170

Neal, Barbara

They might be able to help us with, too.

0:35:23.600 --> 0:35:24.750

Neal, Barbara

That sound like a plan.

0:35:26.650 --> 0:35:26.770

White, Paul

Yes.

0:35:31.430 --> 0:35:31.940

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:35:32.70 --> 0:35:33.340

Neal, Barbara

Anything else for new?

0:35:32.610 --> 0:35:34.830

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Hey, Bart, we have kebab.

0:35:34.840 --> 0:35:37.400

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Can you take a one minute comment from me on this topic?

0:35:38.890 --> 0:35:39.470

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Steve Whitaker.

0:35:38.560 --> 0:35:40.90

Neal, Barbara

I'll take a one minute comment.

0:35:40.100 --> 0:35:41.0

Neal, Barbara

Yes, go ahead Steven.

0:35:40.760 --> 0:35:41.670

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Yeah.

0:35:41.880 --> 0:35:45.660

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

So you've heard Colebrook was moving forward South Burlington moving forward.

0:35:45.410 --> 0:35:45.910

White, Paul

That's the one.

0:35:47.440 --> 0:35:47.650

White, Paul

Right.

0:35:45.670 --> 0:35:50.860

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Windsor got a CBS Wyndham has has a plan before them.

0:35:50.870 --> 0:35:54.320

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Shelburne is experimenting with remote dispatch.

0:35:54.550 --> 0:35:55.750

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Stow had a plan.

0:35:55.760 --> 0:35:59.400

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

That was shelved or paused up her Homeland Security money.

0:35:59.610 --> 0:36:7.40

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

You need to move forward quickly with standards that gets everyone singing from the same sheet of music you.

0:36:7.50 --> 0:36:13.90

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

This will not work if everybody continues to go off on their own direction and without resiliency.

0:36:13.100 --> 0:36:21.440

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Engineering being part of it and it will it, it will actually run counter to the goals of this initiative.

0:36:22.230 --> 0:36:32.0

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

Also, I forgot to mention statewide governance, the successor to this task force is is also not evident in the work plan.

0:36:32.10 --> 0:36:35.390

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

So I think both of those things need immediate attention.

0:36:37.170 --> 0:36:49.510

4c9c8a51-6928-4415-b9fc-51026fa49bfc

The interim standards, be they APCO and IP consoles, you know, I don't know who standards you wanna adopt, but you've got experts who could advise you on that, but you need to adopt some near immediately. Thanks.

0:36:50.380 --> 0:36:50.830

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:36:50.880 --> 0:36:51.710

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Steven.

0:36:52.300 --> 0:36:53.670

Neal, Barbara

OK, task force members.

0:36:53.680 --> 0:36:54.920

Neal, Barbara

Anything else for new business?

0:36:57.640 --> 0:37:2.520

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I'm the one comment that I will make about the idea of.

0:37:5.40 --> 0:37:16.290

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

The appearance that we are pushing a single unified system agenda is that, you know, we we within our meetings have made no tried to create.

0:37:16.300 --> 0:37:20.420

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

No illusions that what we're doing is reaching out to.

0:37:22.690 --> 0:37:29.560

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Reaching out to the stakeholders to find out what direction they want us to be going, and I don't.

0:37:29.610 --> 0:37:40.910

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I don't know that there's a better way to state that we're not pushing a single unified system agenda that we wanna know what those stakeholders want to see.

0:37:42.170 --> 0:37:47.30

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Dispatching and communications look like in Vermont.

0:37:47.90 --> 0:38:0.520

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

You know Gord, so I I think it's it's, it's sort of myopic to say that because we have not specifically printed that on a billboard somewhere that we're not saying it.

0:38:3.710 --> 0:38:6.20

Neal, Barbara

OK, fair enough.

0:38:8.850 --> 0:38:9.320

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:38:9.330 --> 0:38:10.300

Neal, Barbara

Are we ready to move on?

0:38:11.710 --> 0:38:12.940

Neal, Barbara

I'm looking at you, Jen.

0:38:13.630 --> 0:38:14.380

Neal, Barbara

Ready to move on?

0:38:15.940 --> 0:38:17.670

Neal, Barbara

OK, alright.

0:38:18.600 --> 0:38:26.680

Neal, Barbara

We do have a a topic for executive session today, so I will take us through our usual two step process here.

0:38:28.350 --> 0:38:31.340

Neal, Barbara

That is to discuss the status of the legal contract.

0:38:31.770 --> 0:38:46.320

Neal, Barbara

So I will make a motion 1st that the task force find that sharing information about contract negotiations publicly would put the task force at a substantial disadvantage and making a decision about awarding a contract or contracts.

0:38:46.790 --> 0:38:48.350

Neal, Barbara

Is there a second to that motion?

0:38:50.170 --> 0:38:50.370

James Mack

I can.

0:38:50.360 --> 0:38:50.540

White, Paul

Yeah.

0:38:49.610 --> 0:38:51.380

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I will make a second to that emote.

0:38:51.340 --> 0:38:51.790

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:38:51.800 --> 0:38:52.730

Neal, Barbara

Lots of seconds.

0:38:52.740 --> 0:38:53.50

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:38:53.60 --> 0:38:53.760

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion?

0:39:1.480 --> 0:39:1.770

Doenges, Mike

Aye.

0:38:56.430 --> 0:39:2.890

Neal, Barbara

All in favor of making that finding, raise your hand, or indicate by saying aye and I.

0:39:3.920 --> 0:39:4.410

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

١.

0:39:2.900 --> 0:39:5.350

Neal, Barbara

And I'm seeing all hands are up.

0:39:5.360 --> 0:39:5.980

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:39:6.440 --> 0:39:8.450

Neal, Barbara

So we've made that finding.

0:39:8.460 --> 0:39:16.200

Neal, Barbara

So the next step and I'll do this is I'll make a motion to move into executive session for the purpose of discussing one or more contracts.

0:39:16.950 --> 0:39:20.940

Neal, Barbara

And I would ask that the televote reps being included, is there a second?

0:39:21.560 --> 0:39:21.950

Doenges, Mike

2nd.

0:39:22.390 --> 0:39:22.710

James Mack

2nd.

0:39:22.500 --> 0:39:22.730

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:39:23.890 --> 0:39:24.560

Neal, Barbara

Thank you all.

0:39:25.920 --> 0:39:26.370

Doenges, Mike

I.

0:39:24.570 --> 0:39:26.650

Neal, Barbara

In favor, raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:39:28.780 --> 0:39:29.470

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:39:29.540 --> 0:39:31.150

Neal, Barbara

I see Ron's hand is up as well.

0:39:31.420 --> 0:39:35.570

Neal, Barbara

Alright, we will see you in the executive session link.

0:39:35.720 --> 0:39:36.70

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:39:36.900 --> 0:39:38.230

Dominick Arcuri

OK, there.

(Part II) - Following Executive Session

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:1.740

Johnson, Soni

I have restarted the recording.

0:0:2.620 --> 0:0:3.0

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank you.

0:0:4.590 --> 0:0:4.870

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:0:6.260 --> 0:0:25.800

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, so for the purpose of wrapping up in the wake of executive session, I would offer the following motion that the task force close out the existing open RFP for legal services without awarding a contract.

0:0:26.600 --> 0:0:26.960

Doenges, Mike

2nd.

0:0:29.100 --> 0:0:29.690

Johnson, Soni

I'm sorry.

0:0:29.700 --> 0:0:30.320

Johnson, Soni

Who was that?

0:0:30.330 --> 0:0:30.820

Johnson, Soni

Who seconded.

0:0:31.110 --> 0:0:32.540

Doenges, Mike

Mike, don't just.

0:0:32.200 --> 0:0:32.620

Johnson, Soni

Thank you.

0:0:33.110 --> 0:0:33.610

Doenges, Mike

You're welcome.

0:0:35.980 --> 0:0:36.700

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion?

0:0:39.930 --> 0:0:41.620

Neal, Barbara

Written all in favor?

0:0:42.130 --> 0:0:49.80

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or indicate by saying aye the see all hands are up, so we have passed that motion.

0:0:50.770 --> 0:0:57.920

Neal, Barbara

And we are on to the next, which is to set a next meeting date, which is already done for two weeks from today.

0:0:58.730 --> 0:1:2.150

Neal, Barbara

So I think we're ready to adjourn, but Jen?

0:1:1.190 --> 0:1:6.550

Morrison, Jennifer

A1 question so we may have a a special meeting to review questions between now and then.

0:1:6.560 --> 0:1:8.630

Morrison, Jennifer

Is that correct? OK.

0:1:7.530 --> 0:1:9.450

Neal, Barbara

Yes, that's that's what I'm thinking.

0:1:9.460 --> 0:1:13.450

Neal, Barbara

We'll see when they come in and and figure out how best to do that.

0:1:15.330 --> 0:1:15.590

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:1:14.590 --> 0:1:16.280

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, then I make a motion to adjourn.

0:1:16.380 --> 0:1:16.630

White, Paul

Yeah.

0:1:17.810 --> 0:1:18.270

James Mack

Saying it.

0:1:19.480 --> 0:1:20.150

White, Paul

I would just.

0:1:20.160 --> 0:1:23.790

White, Paul

It's not that it matters, but I will not be able to attend 2 weeks from today.

0:1:23.980 --> 0:1:25.610

White, Paul

Just FYI for quorum purposes.

0:1:26.120 --> 0:1:26.550

Neal, Barbara

OK. Yeah.

0:1:28.690 --> 0:1:29.60

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:1:29.70 --> 0:1:29.340

Neal, Barbara

Cool.

0:1:29.930 --> 0:1:33.280

Neal, Barbara

Alright, all in favor of a journey for today.

0:1:34.0 --> 0:1:34.200

Doenges, Mike

Aye.

0:1:33.410 --> 0:1:35.280

Neal, Barbara

Raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

0:1:35.840 --> 0:1:36.230

James Mack

١.

0:1:35.630 --> 0:1:36.400

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:1:36.530 --> 0:1:38.380

Neal, Barbara

Alright, thank you all very much.

0:1:38.390 --> 0:1:41.100

Neal, Barbara

We'll talk to you soon and have a good day.

0:1:42.280 --> 0:1:42.650

White, Paul

Me too.

0:1:42.910 --> 0:1:43.210

James Mack

Thank you.

0:1:43.70 --> 0:1:43.660

Neal, Barbara

Thanks.

0:1:44.70 --> 0:1:44.290

Neal, Barbara

Bye, bye.

0:1:43.220 --> 0:1:44.900

Dominick Arcuri

Dina like not.

0:1:43.720 --> 0:1:45.40

Rick Burke

You everyone, right?