

# Transcript

January 15, 2025, 4:16PM

□ **Mullaney, Emily** started transcription

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 0:03

Take Emily.

Oh, there we go.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 0:04

Oh, there, there it is.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 0:04

OK.

Yep, we're recording now. Great. OK.

Good morning, everybody.

I'm calling this meeting of the Public Safety Communications Task force to order.

It is 11/16 on January 15th, 2025 and I'm Barbara Neal. As a reminder, the meeting's being recorded, and that recording will be posted on the task force web page following the meeting.

Let's start with our usual roll call of Task Force members.

If you could verbally acknowledge your presence when I call your name, that'd be great.

We'll start with Jen Morrison.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 0:41

Here.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 0:43

Call white.

**WP** **White, Paul** 0:45

I'm here.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 0:47  
Ron koumits.

**RK** **Ron Kumetz** 0:49  
I am here.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 0:50  
Ring Jim Mac.

**JM** **James Mack** 0:53  
Here.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 0:55  
Mike dungus.

**DM** **Doenges, Mike** 0:57  
I am here.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 0:59  
Great. And I did have a message from Michael Wright that he's not able to join today.  
So also joining us though, is Emily Mullaney as our administrative support and our project management partners, Rick and Dom from Telivate.  
And we have from mission critical.  
Let's see, we have Jason Malloy.  
And Jason Alloy from mission critical morning, Jason.  
And then it looks like we possibly have two members of the public. Kim will start with you if you'd like to introduce yourself and any affiliation you'd care to share.

**KC** **Kim Cheney** 1:40  
Kim Chaney.  
Interested member of the public.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 1:46

Thanks for being here.

And then we have someone with the phone number ending in 2247.

Like to introduce yourself.

 **+18\*\*\*\*\*47** 1:56

Hi, good.

Hi, it's Irene runner.

I couldn't get in through the teams links, so I'm dialing in just here to listen. Thank you.

 **Neal, Barbara** 2:02

OK.

Good morning.

Thanks for joining us. OK, we are ready to move on to approval of the agenda which was distributed to Task Force members by e-mail.

It's also available on the web page.

Do task force members have any proposed changes to the agenda?

OK, Dom.

 **Dominick Arcuri** 2:26

I have a question.

I believe we'll be talking about the schedule for review of the draft document.

Do we want to do that under project updates or new business or just a separate?

 **Neal, Barbara** 2:42

I'll have a little intro blurb during the project updates.

Was my plan, and then if you're ready to, I was.

 **Dominick Arcuri** 2:50

OK.

 **Neal, Barbara** 2:53

I made an assumption, I guess that you would cover the schedule in your project update section. OK OK.

Great. All right.

**DA Dominick Arcuri** 3:00  
Sounds good.

**NB Neal, Barbara** 3:02  
Anything else on the agenda?  
Right. We will consider the agenda approved then and move on to approval of the Minutes.  
So these are the minutes from special meeting #1818 sorry, which occurred on January 8, 2025 are is there a motion to approve these minutes?

**RK Ron Kumetz** 3:25  
I will make that motion to approve the Minutes.

**NB Neal, Barbara** 3:28  
Thank you, Ron.

**JM James Mack** 3:29  
Seconded.

**NB Neal, Barbara** 3:32  
Thank you, Jim, any discussion?  
OK. All in favor of approving the minutes from January 8th?  
Raise your hand or indicate by saying aye.

**RK Ron Kumetz** 3:47  
I.

**NB Neal, Barbara** 3:47  
Thank you, Ron.  
Jim, I see all all task force members except Mike Dungees.  
I'm not sure what your if you're approving.

**DM Doenges, Mike** 3:56  
I said I am sorry if you didn't hear me.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 3:57

OK.

All right.

Good. Then the Minutes are approved.

OK. We are on to public comment.

Kim Chini do you have anything to share with us today?

Muted, Kim.

**KC** **Kim Cheney** 4:15

Yeah.

I'm anxious to see a draft report.

Without it, it's impossible to have any substantive comments.

And I've.

An absent hair, partly a medical issue the other day, but.

I'm told there was some debate about it being made public, but I don't know what the result of all that was.

So I hope I'll hear about that today.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 4:53

OK.

Well, the the draft report right now is not has not been delivered to the task force.

It is something task force members have access to and we're expecting a comment or I mean a final draft for public review and comment.

Don will have the exact date, but at some point in February.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 5:16

I just wanna correct that it's not a final draft that will be released.

It's a second draft designed for public feedback, stakeholder input, legislative input, and then MCP will incorporate all of that feedback into a final draft to be delivered later much later.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 5:34

Yes, good.

**MJ Morrison, Jennifer** 5:35  
Week, weeks later, at least.

**NB Neal, Barbara** 5:35  
Thank yes.  
Thank you for that clarification, Jen.  
You're absolutely right.  
OK.  
Senator Renner, do you have any comments this morning?

**+18\*\*\*\*\*47** 5:48  
Not this time.  
I too am looking forward to seeing the draft. Thank you.

**NB Neal, Barbara** 5:52  
OK.  
Fair enough.  
Alright, we're moving on then to project updates. And as I mentioned a minute ago, before I pass this over to Dom, I want to update the task force members on our approach to the draft system planning report review.  
So task force members should be using the link provided by mission critical to access the document for review and we have asked Dom and or Rick to reach out to each of you.  
To set up a time to discuss your feedback on the report sections individually.  
So that is the approach we're gonna take at this point.  
And Dom you can outline some of the additional changes to the timeline that we've discussed and I'll hand it off to you now.

**DA Dominick Arcuri** 6:44  
OK.  
Thank you, Barb.  
Regarding first overall projects status, the primary activities that we have been working on recently have been the continuation of the inventory and assessment report that has reached the second draft level and the level at which we have.

Distributed it to each of the 37.

In dispatch centers communication centers, they have received the introductory introductory portion as well as their individual summary of their particular facility. So that has been done to get.

Confirmation from each of those that what we have recorded and documented is accurate and complete.

There are some areas there where we're hoping to get.

Updates from some of the centers, so that is in process that was those were distributed Friday.

With a two week turn around period. So we hope to see responses and I know we've seen.

At least one so far, we hope to see responses by that puts us what the 24th of this month.

And as we discussed.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 8:18

So far Dom we've got.

So far we do have several agencies that have responded.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 8:22

I'm sorry.

OK.

Great. Excellent.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 8:27

They didn't do a reply all, so I do have comments from a few agencies and those are being incorporated as they come in.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 8:37

Great. Appreciate. And and we did say that after a week we would send out a reminder.

So that would be this Friday.

The 17th would be time to send out a reminder when we are looking to receive feedback.

And of course, the other major activity that we have ongoing is the draft of the

system planning report, which was.

Established by MCP.

Also on Friday last week.

And links were distributed to the task Force members.

So all task force members as well as TELIVATE has access to the draft report and as Barb mentioned, we have been developing a review schedule for that. We previously had a had intended on a short turn around for a review.

We have updated that we want to make sure there is sufficient time.

For task force members to review the document, so we have established several weeks for that period.

And as Bob mentioned, we will be reaching out to each task force member individually to determine.

Their preferred schedule for a review and discussion of the document.

We wanted to capture comments from each task force member and telivate will then be consolidating those comments and sending them to MCP.

We have committed with the schedule to have a complete set of comments back to MCP on February 7th. So 27 that gives us 3 plus weeks.

For review.

So as I mentioned, we'll be reaching out to each of the task force members to.

Kind of put together a schedule for that and we can be flexible in terms of how each of you would like to do that.

It might be somewhat cumbersome to review the entire document in one session.

So likely we'll have several or at least a couple of sessions with each task force member potentially do half the document in one session, half in another.

That will be up to what your schedule allows.

And when you are available for discussion.

So offline, we'll be having further.

Discussion with each of you as to the the best schedule for that.

Further, beyond receiving all the comments on 2/7.

Mcp.

We're requesting a revised draft, which would be the draft intended for public comment by the 19th of February, so 219 that will then start a lengthy.

Public.

Review session and comment period.

A legislative review in parallel, we have that schedule for the 20th of February



through March 21st.

So essentially a month to do that in that time frame, we will also be scheduling regional sessions, essentially listening sessions similar to the town hall session.

And so we had earlier in the project.

So that we can give us another form to receive a feedback. We wanted to provide multiple opportunities for feedback from legislators as well as the the public. And those are some of the venues and opportunities that that they'll have.

So.

The closing of that period again is planned for the 21st of March.

And then following a two week update session.

Mcp will be producing the final draft in early April.

Also within.

That over the over the next few weeks, possibly within the public.

Legislative review session we want to schedule.

A.

Finance and funding workshop.

To get feedback from.

The appropriate legislators on potential options for funding the.

The activities that are called out in the draft and will be documented in the report.

I think that captures pretty much most of the activity we have ongoing.

It's a. It's a busy, busy time frame and we're, you know, we're we're grateful to have the the report look forward.

Looking forward to giving it a thorough review.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 13:57

Yes. And as I recalled, we were going to also create like a a mechanism for feedback via our website or via probably through e-mail, but access through the website.

So people could see the report and submit comments that way as well.

I believe we discussed that, OK.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 14:14

Yes, that that that's correct, yes.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 14:17

OK.

**RB Rick Burke** 14:18  
Abdom.

**DA Dominick Arcuri** 14:23  
You suck.

**RB Rick Burke** 14:23  
Ens, we we certainly encourage you to complete as you're doing your review to complete the stakeholder review worksheet that'll allow us to guide the conversation. And that'll also allow you to capture. Your your individual comments regarding the report, and so we that'll we that'll kind of. Five, our our discussion and in terms of your availability, I mean we've got three weeks we don't. We really don't want to wait until the last day so you know we and we also would like to provide feedback on certain sections when available prior to the final if we can. And if we need to have, you know, there, there's still some meetings ongoing where we could have collaboration and discussions with MCP and internal. But you know, we we certainly don't want to wait till the end. So when Dom recommended, you know that that when Dom and I discussed this earlier before the meeting. You know, if you look at the you know, is there a natural break in the the in, in the report and you know the 1st, the 1st 3 sections. Take up 93 pages. So we, you know, we were thinking that perhaps we could do up to up to for the first session. Up through section 3.3 if you. Preach called technology and shared systems based regionalization, so that that might be a good break. We'll you know if you're available and you can get through that half of the document, then we can schedule a meeting, but we can be flexible if you want to meet more than once. More than twice multiple times, or if if you read something and you'd like to discuss it real time, just let us know.

But you know, we wanna give you a forum where where we can.  
Not only gather your comments, but have a discussion with you.  
You know about your feedback. If if you haven't yet read the document, it's it's it's  
very thorough and it's very dense. And so it isn't a simple read.  
You're going to.  
You know you're going to react to it and and and, you know, so far I don't know if  
you've had a chance to read it, but it it's it's extremely.  
Informative and and the way that the story.  
Is told and the recommendations are made.  
It builds upon it in each of the sections so.  
You're going to enjoy it and we will look forward to working with you.  
So let us know your thoughts on whether or not you know.  
A2 Stage meeting going through half of the document and then the second-half or  
whether or not you want to do it all or whether you want to meet three or four times,  
just let us know your thoughts on it.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 17:27

I have a question I think might be for mission critical.  
How is there a cadence of feedback that would work best for you?

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 17:41

So since we have multiple subject matter experts that worked on each of the  
individual sections.  
It's elevate wants to provide that.  
As it comes in, we can certainly do that. If Telebate wants to maintain a master  
stakeholder review worksheet and then send it at the end so that we don't miss  
anything, we can certainly be accommodating for that or.  
You know if it works better that Televate keeps the master review worksheet and  
sends it all at one time. You know that certainly would cut down on our time that we  
have to make the changes.  
But if that's what works better for the group, we can certainly we can certainly do  
that.  
We we can accommodate the state in that manner. One thing that I did want to ask  
for Dom and Rick was there an intent to have?  
Representation from MCP on those review meetings with the task force. That way, if

we have any.

Questions on the comments coming from each task force member. We can ask directly with that person during that meeting versus having to.

E-mail back and forth after the comment period begins.

What? What was the intention there or had or had you considered that at this point?

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 19:17

At this point, the intention had been for primarily for telibate to meet with the task force members individually and then compile their feedback.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 19:27

OK.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 19:29

So understand there may be a little bit of back and forth, but we can certainly accommodate that as best we can.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 19:29

OK. If I could.

Sure. If I could just make a request then as you're compiling the that master stakeholder review worksheet, if you can just indicate which comment comes from which person, even if you just wanna at the beginning of that review worksheet, just say review reviewer one is this person review.

Two is that person. That way it makes it a little bit easier when we have questions.

On a comment.

We can refer directly to that person to that comment, and that might speed up some of the some of that review process.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 20:16

So Jason, we may have multiple people having similar comments.

So you know, if we go, we take that effort.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 20:22

Sure.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 20:24

You know, you could see all all task force members having a comment on a particular section.

So, you know, I appreciate what you're asking for.

We may not be able to do it specifically because it could be multiple people, and if that's the case, we might, you know, just say, you know, group response or something to that effect.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 20:42

Yeah, and that's fine.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 20:43

Yeah. Yeah, we might have a consultative, yeah.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 20:45

Yeah, that's that's fine if it.

Yeah, if it's multiple people that have a question or a comment or concern about a specific piece of the report.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 20:48

Yeah.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 20:56

Yeah. If you wanna just write it as a group response or or multiple responses or however you wanna indicate that, that's fine.

It's just the one off comments that we were more concerned with as far as knowing who to direct our comments to or who to direct our questions to for clarification.

You know, that's fine.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 21:18

OK. All right.

Well, just for for your application, I mean the final comments have been discussed.

You know internally before we turn them over to to some extent.

So we you know that while they may represent an individual response, you know

they they kind of are are collective in nature.

So you know, we can.

We can identify but.

It may not be a response to an individual per SE, because the group has agreed that those questions are probably are relevant.

And what I would would also I also like to say that we we anticipate that there may be needs along the way for us to have a a conversation with MCP about a particular comment or a particular recommendation as an example. You know, I mean there you know.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 21:52

Sure, understand.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 22:10

I I think the document requires an executive summary.

I mean, we've got an introduction, but it's not, you know, an executive summary.

We're handing this over to the legislature and they're going to, you know.

Them their ability to read 100 page document or refer back to the inventory report is going to be you know it's going to be based on individuals interest and and time frame.

So you know a A executive summary in this document seems to make sense where we frame it out and and you know comments like that you know you're going to see so.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 22:40

Sure. Well and and just for just for your knowledge, Rick, the the process that we normally follow when we have an initial draft like this, we typically do not put the executive summary in there just because if we get back comments that substantially change a section for example.

If we say option A is the best case scenario and then the group comments say no, we don't like option A, it's a non starter.

Then we have to go back and completely not only change that section, but then we have to rewrite part of the executive summary.

So we we like to write that executive summary after a substantial amount of comments have come in understanding that there may be one or two comments

here and there that require the executive summary to be changed. But that allows us to focus our efforts on updating the doc.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 23:16

Yep.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 23:33

And only having to write that executive summary one time.  
So yeah, before before it goes to the legislature.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 23:37

I I think writing the executive summary is.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 23:40

And before it goes to public comment.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 23:41

A.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 23:42

It'll have that executive summary.  
But just during that initial task force review period that executive summary's not there.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 23:52

Alright, I'm, I appreciate that clarification.  
Yes, writing executive summary is the last.  
Task I'm writing the report like this is pretty common, so I agree with you.  
I just want to make sure that.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 24:09

Did Rick freeze? Oh, there he is.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 24:09

2 pages for a, you know, legislative member to be able to understand.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 24:12  
He's back.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 24:13  
All right.  
Thank you.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 24:15  
Sure.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 24:16  
OK.  
Great. So is it fair to say Dom and Rick that you will be in touch with individual task force members like by the end of the week to schedule a time and I mean I think that the goal here is to allow sufficient time for a thorough and.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 24:27  
Yeah.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 24:33  
Effective review and to make sure that each individual task force member gets their feedback to the vendors.  
Through this process so.  
So there we go.  
All right.  
Anything else?  
Well, let me start with Jason.  
Do you have anything to add as far as updates for the project update? Are you good?

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 24:56  
Not necessarily an addition, but just a question of clarification for the task force. As far as the individual inventory reports the the reports that have gone out to the individual agencies once we reach the end of that comment period next Friday and



we have the overall inventory Doc.

Updated.

Is the intent to just simply have still the one large document for public consumption and the individual agency's can look at that?

Or is the intent that we will provide a smaller final copy to each agency in addition to the larger whole document?

**MJ** Morrison, Jennifer 25:45

No, we the only reason.

**NB** Neal, Barbara 25:46

Oh.

**MJ** Morrison, Jennifer 25:46

The only reason they got the chunked piece was for them to be able to Fact Check their section before we incorporate it into a final draft.

**JM** Jason Malloy 25:52

OK.

**MJ** Morrison, Jennifer 25:56

So and and be clear that once the inventory report is done and you have transmitted it to us.

**JM** Jason Malloy 25:56

OK.

**MJ** Morrison, Jennifer 26:05

Then it's going to be redacted before it goes anywhere.

**JM** Jason Malloy 26:10

And that's what we that's what we understood to be the process.

We just wanted to make sure before we went down that path and then had to come back and do additional work to create.

**MJ Morrison, Jennifer** 26:22  
No.

**JM Jason Malloy** 26:22  
Those separate reports, so that's fine.  
We, we.

**MJ Morrison, Jennifer** 26:25  
We just can't have so many versions of a report out there in the ecosystem. It gets confusing.

**JM Jason Malloy** 26:29  
Yeah.

**MJ Morrison, Jennifer** 26:32  
And then people get bad information if there's conflicting or changed and evolved information.

**JM Jason Malloy** 26:32  
Understood.

**MJ Morrison, Jennifer** 26:37  
So that's the whole purpose of waiting to transmit until we have something designed for public consumption so that we can keep the number of drafts floating around to a minimum.

**JM Jason Malloy** 26:51  
Understood. Thank you.  
We just, we just wanted that clarification to know if we needed to make arrangements on our end to create those additional reports.  
So thank you.  
Other than that, I have nothing to add. Thank you.

**NB Neal, Barbara** 27:02

OK, great. All right, questions.

Any other questions from Task force members for TELEVATE or mission critical?

On the project status.

Okey Doke, we will move on then to the next agenda item which is executive session if needed.

I don't believe there is any need for an executive session today.

Any any comments, any anybody disagree?

OK.

Moving on then to new business, does anyone have any task force members have new business for today, Jen?

**MJ** Morrison, Jennifer 27:46

I feel like we're four weeks away from.

I don't feel like I know that we are four weeks away from a draft designed for public feedback. We should.

Identify dates for the regional town halls and make assignments for.

You know who's going to set those up?

Who's going to be in charge of setting up the the virtual public listening sessions?

Obviously I'm going to be responsible for having a fillable feedback form.

Created on our website and any.

Publication publicizing that we do.

Of these town halls and listening sessions should include a link to our website.

So I'm happy to take care of the initial planning for schedule for regional town halls.

I will try to get a helper from my staff.

Does anyone have particular a week that you know?

Is there a week in there that people think is the right time?

I would assume that we want to do that.

Hear from stakeholders in the field. You know the the people impacted by this before we do the broader listening sessions.

So in my mind, we're looking at the week of February.

If it goes out to the public on the 19th, you know we're looking at like that week of the of the break.

I don't know if that's possible or not, 'cause it's the legislative break.

I don't know if people are planning to be traveling or should we look at the week of March 10th. That gives people one, two, 2 1/2 weeks to read the the stuff.

2 1/2 weeks and then start doing the.

Town halls.

The regional town halls the week of March 10th. Understanding that we have to get.

All our feedback.

Back to MCP by a certain date too.

So we're sort of hemmed in at the distribution date.

And the date but at which we have to provide feedback.

Long way of saying, we need to plan.

Do we want to assign a small subcommittee Barb from the seven of us to work on that?

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 30:05

Actually, I thought we could.

I thought we could hammer out these details when we meet with Rick and Dom.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 30:12

OK.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 30:12

When is our next?

Meeting with you, Rick and Dom.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 30:18

It would be next Thursday, as was currently on the calendar.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 30:20

Note OK.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 30:23

We could.

We could schedule one this Thursday, certainly, but right now, what's on normal schedule, OK.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 30:27

No, I'm not.

I'm not available tomorrow. Tomorrow's no good.

Anyway, I'm putting that out there.

It doesn't have to be decided today, but I feel like we can't keep waiting another week to either identify who's going to work on setting these up and start identifying dates.

Cetera. I I can run with it, but I don't wanna do it in a vacuum.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 30:49

Why don't?

Why don't we try and hammer it out something?

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 30:50

No, no, I I think we can.

I think we can work it out with Rick and Dom next week would be.

Does that feel like sufficient time, Jen?

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 31:01

I can just start prepping my team. Yeah, OK.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 31:01

OK.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 31:03

That's the 23rd.

I believe it's. Yeah. That's our next schedule.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 31:06

23rd.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 31:09

OK and.

Yeah, we'll be thinking about all the things that that you've just listed off, Jen, and how we're gonna get those done.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 31:19

Again, question. The legislative brake is when I wanna make sure I have that accurate.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 31:19

Is the.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 31:20

Yeah.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 31:27

Is it?

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 31:27

They would, when they adjourn on Friday, February 28th the following week, they have off and they don't come back until the Tuesday, March 11th.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 31:34

OK.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 31:37

1100 that might not wait.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 31:38

11th, OK.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 31:40

I'm in the wrong calendar.

**WP** **White, Paul** 31:41

Probably Wednesday, would it be Wednesday? They come back.  
Do they take town meeting day off?

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 31:44

No, they come.

They come in on Tuesday. It's Mondays.

**WP** **White, Paul** 31:46

OK, OK.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 31:47

They have all.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 31:47

Yeah, Tuesday the 11th, right?

**WP** **White, Paul** 31:49

Right, right, right, right.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 31:50

Meeting days Tuesday the 4th. Yes, Town meeting's the 4th.

**WP** **White, Paul** 31:52

10 minutes, 10 minutes the 4th.

You're right.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 31:57

So just to.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 31:57

But is there a reason we can't have any of the regional town halls during that period during the break period?

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 32:06

I think we could.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 32:08

We might be able to.

It is not uncommon for some folks to take town meeting day, week or portions of that week off because town meeting day is a holiday.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 32:19  
OK, OK.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 32:21  
So I I'm it's also in Vermont that last week of February through Tuesday, the 4th is school break for many Vermont schools.  
So it's it's frequently a time when parents take their.  
Winter vacation.  
So I'm just saying that 'cause if we're expecting firefighters, police, EMS to attend, but it's in the middle of the school break, they might all be in Florida if they have any sense.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 32:50  
So I think I think.  
These are all good considerations and we can work through them because we're going to have multiple opportunities.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 32:58  
OK.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 33:01  
To to meet with folks. But yes, let's plan to hammer it out when we meet with Rick and Dom next Thursday.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 33:10  
K.  
Sounds good.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 33:11  
OK.



**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 33:12

I see Jason has his hand up.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 33:14

Sorry, Jason.

Go ahead.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 33:16

Not a problem is is the intent for Bonnie to lead those sessions, as we did with the initial regional town halls.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 33:29

I mean, somebody needs to facilitate them.

I'm not sure it has to be Bonnie for all of them.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 33:36

We want to have that discussion then on our Monday meeting instead of next Thursday, with just that we we can look at our schedules as well and and make sure that those are accommodated.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 33:51

Sure.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 33:52

That makes sense, yeah, yeah.

OK, alright.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 33:57

That's all.

Thank you.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 33:58

A reminder this coming Monday is a state holiday.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 34:03

Right, right.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 34:03

So I don't know if that's gonna work.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 34:04

But we had discussed that we, Jen, I don't know if we heard from you, but I'm available, I believe, Paul said he was available.

On the on the 20th.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 34:15

I don't I on January.

Let me see.

I believe I can be available.

Sure. Yes, at 11:00, sure.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 34:25

11.

**JM** **Jason Malloy** 34:27

Yeah. And that's not a holiday for MCP.

So. So we'll certainly be available.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 34:31

OK.

OK.

Let's discuss then.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 34:42

Very good.

**MJ** **Morrison, Jennifer** 34:42

OK.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 34:44

Alright. Any other new business for today?

Alright, next item is confirm the next meeting date and adjourn.

So the next meeting date is already on the books for January 29th.

If there's nothing further, is there a motion to adjourn?

**WP** **White, Paul** 35:08

But just a second now.

Maybe it was changed in the most recent timeline, but in one of Dom's emails he had mentioned having a special meeting on the 22nd that's no longer being considered.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 35:22

Right. I think we'll replace.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 35:23

That's right.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 35:24

Yeah, we'll have the individual with the vendors. Yep. Yep.

**WP** **White, Paul** 35:25

Because because we're doing the one-on-one meetings with Task force members, OK.

No longer need to meet the 22nd. That's OK.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 35:32

Right. So so we're on back to our regular schedule meeting on January 29th.

**WP** **White, Paul** 35:32

Thank you.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 35:40

At the regular time. Anything else?

OK.

I'll ask again.

Is there a motion to adjourn?

**DM** **Doenges, Mike** 35:51  
I moved.

**WP** **White, Paul** 35:51  
I'm a preacher.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 35:54  
Great. Thank you.

**JM** **James Mack** 35:54  
2nd.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 35:57  
All right.  
All in favor of adjourning raise your hand, or indicate by saying saying I I see Ron's  
hand is up.  
Alrighty, alright.

**JM** **James Mack** 36:06  
Hi.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 36:09  
We are adjourned.  
Thank you all very much.  
Wait for your calls from telivate and we'll talk to you soon.

**DA** **Dominick Arcuri** 36:13  
Girl.

**DM** **Doenges, Mike** 36:18  
Thank you.

**RB** **Rick Burke** 36:19

Thank you everyone. Have a great day.

**NB** **Neal, Barbara** 36:19

OK.

Thank you.

Bye bye.

**+18\*\*\*\*\*47** 36:23

Thank you.

□ **Mullaney, Emily** stopped transcription