

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:6.60

Neal, Barbara

Task force accept Jim Mack. Umm.

0:0:8.70 --> 0:0:9.950

Neal, Barbara

Commissioner, what do you wanna wait for, Jim?

0:0:9.960 --> 0:0:10.690

Neal, Barbara

For a couple minutes?

0:0:10.700 --> 0:0:11.530

Neal, Barbara

Or shall we get going?

0:0:16.520 --> 0:0:16.820

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:0:14.740 --> 0:0:18.780

Morrison, Jennifer

Let's just give it about one more minute and then we can jump right in.

0:0:19.530 --> 0:0:19.710

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:0:19.620 --> 0:0:22.670

Johnson, Soni

Alright, just to let everybody know, I did actually start the recording.

0:0:23.730 --> 0:0:26.190

Neal, Barbara

OK, I think that's fine, Sonny.

0:0:26.230 --> 0:0:27.220

Neal, Barbara

We'll give it one more minute.

0:1:19.840 --> 0:1:20.260

Morrison, Jennifer

Shall we go?

0:1:24.370 --> 0:1:25.660

Neal, Barbara

Yes, we shall.

0:1:26.170 --> 0:1:32.110

Neal, Barbara

I had three seconds left on my one minute timer, but that's good.

0:1:32.120 --> 0:1:32.790

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:1:33.480 --> 0:1:34.990

Neal, Barbara

Good afternoon everyone.

0:1:35.40 --> 0:1:38.930

Neal, Barbara

I'm calling this meeting of the Public Safety Communications Task force to order.

0:1:38.940 --> 0:1:44.310

Neal, Barbara

It's 1:02 PM on August 17th, 2023 and I'm Barb Neil.

0:1:44.860 --> 0:1:54.300

Neal, Barbara

As a reminder, Sunny just pointed out that the meeting is being recorded via Microsoft Teams, and that recording will be posted on the web page following the meeting.

0:1:54.470 --> 0:1:54.660

White, Paul

It's.

0:1:55.430 --> 0:1:59.400

Neal, Barbara

So let's do a roll call of the task force members present.

0:1:59.410 --> 0:2:1.450

Neal, Barbara

Good morning or good afternoon, Commissioner.

0:2:1.460 --> 0:2:2.570

Neal, Barbara

You've already spoken.

0:2:4.320 --> 0:2:5.70

Neal, Barbara

Good afternoon, Paul.

0:2:7.480 --> 0:2:8.40

White, Paul

Good afternoon.

0:2:9.350 --> 0:2:11.250

Neal, Barbara

Good afternoon, mayor Dungeness.

0:2:12.300 --> 0:2:12.690

Doenges, Mike

Grooming.

0:2:12.820 --> 0:2:13.510

Doenges, Mike

Good afternoon.

0:2:13.520 --> 0:2:14.520

Doenges, Mike

I apologize for you back.

0:2:15.500 --> 0:2:15.630

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:2:15.760 --> 0:2:16.60

Neal, Barbara

Good.

0:2:16.610 --> 0:2:18.250

Neal, Barbara

Umm Michael Wright.

0:2:19.730 --> 0:2:20.220

Wright, Michael

Good afternoon.

0:2:21.440 --> 0:2:22.230

Neal, Barbara

Ron krumitz.

0:2:29.240 --> 0:2:30.550

Neal, Barbara

Corona can see.

0:2:30.560 --> 0:2:33.480

Neal, Barbara

Uh, your little circle, but can't hear you.

0:2:42.250 --> 0:2:42.680

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:2:42.690 --> 0:2:44.130

Neal, Barbara

We'll just raised his hand.

0:2:45.830 --> 0:2:48.630

Neal, Barbara

Ron, you're raising your hand to indicate that you're here.

0:2:50.870 --> 0:2:51.210

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:2:51.960 --> 0:2:52.280

Neal, Barbara

All right.

0:2:54.920 --> 0:2:55.140

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:2:56.710 --> 0:3:0.600

Neal, Barbara

And we do not at this point have Jim Mack.

0:3:0.610 --> 0:3:2.0

Neal, Barbara

Perhaps he'll join us later.

0:3:2.550 --> 0:3:12.900

Neal, Barbara

OK, we do have a quorum also present, of course, is Sonny Johnson, the 911 boards administrative Services coordinator who's filling in again for Cali this week.

0:3:12.910 --> 0:3:21.530

Neal, Barbara

Kelly will be back next week, but you may still see correspondence from either or both of them over the next week or so.

0:3:22.260 --> 0:3:29.470

Neal, Barbara

Then I see we have a few members of the public present give you an opportunity to introduce yourself and your affiliation.

0:3:29.480 --> 0:3:34.320

Neal, Barbara

If that applies, and you choose to share so Philip Sisk.

0:3:35.270 --> 0:3:36.300

Phillip Sisk

Good morning, everyone.

0:3:36.410 --> 0:3:39.90

Phillip Sisk

Phil Sisk here representing mission critical partners.

0:3:41.740 --> 0:3:45.10

Neal, Barbara

That afternoon umm Steven Whittaker.

0:3:50.530 --> 0:3:50.870

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

I'm.

0:3:50.930 --> 0:3:52.450

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

I'm unmuted now.

0:3:53.20 --> 0:3:53.690

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Yeah, that's me.

0:3:54.630 --> 0:3:54.890

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:3:54.620 --> 0:4:2.870

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

But just for an open meeting alert, erasing a hand in zoom doesn't constitute announcing yourself as far as open.

0:4:2.880 --> 0:4:6.240

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Meeting law requires each member to identify themselves.

0:4:6.990 --> 0:4:9.990

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Uh, and I think Rod didn't speak up yet.

0:4:19.290 --> 0:4:19.460

Kim Cheney (Guest)

You.

0:4:13.780 --> 0:4:21.620

Neal, Barbara

OK, Ron, if you're having trouble with audio, maybe you could add a note in chat that you are here.

0:4:27.580 --> 0:4:29.830

Neal, Barbara

And I'm going to proceed while we wait.

0:4:30.340 --> 0:4:34.230

Neal, Barbara

Welcome to the meeting, Steven and Kim Cheney. Welcome.

0:4:37.230 --> 0:4:38.260

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Thank you, Barbara.

0:4:38.270 --> 0:4:39.850

Kim Cheney (Guest)

I don't know if you can hear me.

0:4:40.110 --> 0:4:40.610

Neal, Barbara

We can.

0:4:39.860 --> 0:4:42.330

Kim Cheney (Guest)

I'm trying to figure out a better use this program.

0:4:43.740 --> 0:4:44.870

Neal, Barbara

We can hear you just fine.

0:4:44.880 --> 0:4:45.780

Neal, Barbara

Thanks for joining us.

0:4:48.90 --> 0:4:48.520

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:4:48.530 --> 0:4:54.620

Neal, Barbara

And I think that is it so on to approval of the agenda.

0:4:57.460 --> 0:5:0.270

Neal, Barbara

So I see Ron is trying to get back in sunny.

0:5:4.150 --> 0:5:6.740

Neal, Barbara

Ron, it looks like you've rejoined the the meeting.

0:5:6.750 --> 0:5:9.320

Neal, Barbara

Are you able to to speak?

0:5:17.340 --> 0:5:19.170

Neal, Barbara

So, well, we're still not hearing you.

0:5:19.180 --> 0:5:19.580

Neal, Barbara

I'm afraid.

0:5:24.170 --> 0:5:25.490

Neal, Barbara

OK, I'm gonna continue on.

0:5:27.920 --> 0:5:31.450

Neal, Barbara

Ron or I'm sorry, approval of the agenda or proposed addition.

0:5:31.460 --> 0:5:40.310

Neal, Barbara

So the agenda was distributed to Task Force members last week and also posted on the web page do Task Force members have any changes to the agenda?

0:5:46.300 --> 0:5:49.700

Neal, Barbara

OK, now we have some feedback.

0:5:49.710 --> 0:5:51.230

Neal, Barbara

It looks to be coming from Iran.

0:5:54.210 --> 0:5:55.520

Johnson, Soni

Probably cause he's logged in twice.

0:5:56.60 --> 0:5:56.320

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:5:59.360 --> 0:6:0.450

Neal, Barbara

OK, that's muted.

0:6:0.660 --> 0:6:1.0

Neal, Barbara

Alright.

0:6:1.660 --> 0:6:2.220

Neal, Barbara  
Umm.

0:6:2.840 --> 0:6:7.440

Neal, Barbara  
On to approval of the Minutes from August 9th.

0:6:7.450 --> 0:6:12.770

Neal, Barbara  
So those minutes were distributed last week to Task Force members also available on the web page.

0:6:13.230 --> 0:6:16.490

Neal, Barbara  
Is there a motion to approve the Minutes from August 9?

0:6:17.810 --> 0:6:18.330

Morrison, Jennifer  
So moved.

0:6:17.900 --> 0:6:18.360

Wright, Michael  
So move.

0:6:18.530 --> 0:6:18.870

Doenges, Mike  
Summer.

0:6:18.230 --> 0:6:19.600

Ron Kumetz (Guest)  
I will make a motion to approve.

0:6:21.940 --> 0:6:22.220

Neal, Barbara  
OK.

0:6:21.620 --> 0:6:22.510

Morrison, Jennifer  
Excellent.

0:6:22.520 --> 0:6:27.100

Morrison, Jennifer  
So Ron, can you confirm that you are Ron Kumetz in the flesh?

0:6:27.110 --> 0:6:28.250

Ron Kumetz (Guest)  
I can can I?



0:6:28.760 --> 0:6:29.60

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:6:28.300 --> 0:6:29.830

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

Well, I can in fact confirm that.

0:6:30.980 --> 0:6:31.730

Morrison, Jennifer

Roger that.

0:6:31.740 --> 0:6:32.120

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank you.

0:6:32.610 --> 0:6:33.220

Neal, Barbara

Excellent.

0:6:33.270 --> 0:6:37.200

Neal, Barbara

OK, so I got Mike Donchess made the motion on the minutes.

0:6:37.890 --> 0:6:39.680

Neal, Barbara

Commissioner, you seconded set.

0:6:39.610 --> 0:6:39.850

Morrison, Jennifer

Sure.

0:6:40.420 --> 0:6:41.70

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:6:41.300 --> 0:6:42.490

Neal, Barbara

Any discussion on the Minutes?

0:6:44.690 --> 0:6:47.910

Neal, Barbara

Like all in favor, raise your hand, please.

0:6:48.80 --> 0:6:49.590

Neal, Barbara

All in favor to accept the minutes.

0:6:50.260 --> 0:6:54.460

Neal, Barbara

It looks like everybody Ron has his hand raised as well.

0:7:8.510 --> 0:7:8.760

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:6:55.780 --> 0:7:16.990

Neal, Barbara

No one opposed, but so the minutes are accepted or proved OK, so next agenda item is status of the RFP's and we have a couple to discuss the little RFP and the big RFP and Commissioner, I will hand it over to you for the latest greatest news on either or both of those.

0:7:17.860 --> 0:7:18.270

Morrison, Jennifer

Sure.

0:7:18.280 --> 0:7:19.200

Morrison, Jennifer

Thanks Barb.

0:7:19.780 --> 0:7:30.790

Morrison, Jennifer

The little RFP, which is specific to a project manager for the task forces benefit RFP, is for all intents and purposes done.

0:7:30.840 --> 0:7:32.100

Morrison, Jennifer

It has to go through the final.

0:7:34.220 --> 0:7:47.730

Morrison, Jennifer

Window dressing at the procurement office and it's scheduled to be released next week as early as Monday, but I will obviously let everybody know as well as we will get a link posted on our website that will go to where the RFP's are housed.

0:7:48.20 --> 0:8:7.290

Morrison, Jennifer

Once those that goes live, the big RFP, which is the RFP that has multiple sections related to the subject matter expertise and all the components of the legislation is on the agenda for review by the IT procurement advisory team.

0:8:7.300 --> 0:8:16.400

Morrison, Jennifer

At tomorrow's meeting, we are assuming that it will be approved as is, but of course we don't control what the feedback is that we get from PAT.

0:8:17.110 --> 0:8:17.630

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm.

0:8:18.180 --> 0:8:28.100

Morrison, Jennifer

If it is approved at Pat tomorrow, it will also be released next week and again we will advise the group and post a link on the website.

0:8:29.340 --> 0:8:39.410

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, so the only the only you know Gray area on any of this is when will it actually be posted?

0:8:39.420 --> 0:8:41.630

Morrison, Jennifer

When will the RFP be released?

0:8:41.940 --> 0:9:1.70

Morrison, Jennifer

Because we have dates inside the RFP that all drive from when it is posted and then we have to work around holidays, a couple of known time timelines that won't work where key players are gonna not be available.

0:9:1.380 --> 0:9:7.70

Morrison, Jennifer

So we have to drive the the actual dates inside the guts of the RFP.

0:9:8.90 --> 0:9:33.380

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, off the actual release day, so I think that the what I would wanna ask my task force members is given that we've discussed the dates and the time periods, I would ask that the chairs have a little bit of flexibility on moving those timelines either moving them or not depending on when we can get these to hit the street and they might not both go on the same day, right.

0:9:33.390 --> 0:9:37.470

Morrison, Jennifer

So the little RFP might go sooner because it doesn't have to go through that.

0:9:38.20 --> 0:9:44.360

Morrison, Jennifer

And if Pat comes back with changes required, we don't know how long it will take to get that finished.

0:9:44.540 --> 0:9:56.70

Morrison, Jennifer

So we would ask for input from the task force that that would allow Barb and I to change the dates inside to match what we talked about, but it would be based on the release date.

0:9:56.250 --> 0:9:56.920

Morrison, Jennifer  
Does that make sense?

0:10:5.980 --> 0:10:9.490

Morrison, Jennifer  
And then I think, Barb, you wanted to talk just a bit about the big RFP.

0:10:9.540 --> 0:10:10.460

Morrison, Jennifer  
I don't necessarily.

0:10:10.470 --> 0:10:11.920

Morrison, Jennifer  
I'm not sure we're we.

0:10:11.970 --> 0:10:17.50

Morrison, Jennifer  
I'm not sure we're on the same exact timeline for the little RFP that we are for the big RFP.

0:10:18.360 --> 0:10:23.870

Neal, Barbara  
I did have a a proposed timeline for the big RFP, but I think Paul had his hand up.

0:10:24.420 --> 0:10:25.460

Neal, Barbara  
Paul, did you have a comment?

0:10:28.20 --> 0:10:28.750

White, Paul  
I did.

0:10:29.640 --> 0:10:30.470

White, Paul  
I mean I'm.

0:10:30.520 --> 0:10:32.510

White, Paul  
I'm all well to the Commissioners question.

0:10:32.520 --> 0:10:42.520

White, Paul  
I mean, I'm OK with giving the chairs some flexibility on the timeline, but but I guess I will restate my question as to whether or not this really needs to go through a DS.

0:10:42.560 --> 0:10:43.690

White, Paul  
I know, I understand.

0:10:43.700 --> 0:10:51.900

White, Paul

We can disagree on whether or not the dispatch system is an IT project, but we're not soliciting an IT project.

0:10:51.910 --> 0:10:55.180

White, Paul

We're not soliciting technology, we're soliciting personal services.

0:10:55.190 --> 0:11:6.490

White, Paul

So I would propose that if there's any more hesitation or roadblocks on ABC's part, you know this task force has the authority under the legislation to put out an RFP.

0:11:6.860 --> 0:11:16.360

White, Paul

I would say if our if ads is going to drag their feet too long that we just put it out without them, take their name off from it and just do it, because this is gonna drag out too, too long.

0:11:17.500 --> 0:11:36.960

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, the little RFP, we don't need to go through PAT and I, I think that the determination to put the big RFP through path was really designed to not a gum up the works on the procurement and because we have such a tight timeline to try and run things through that.

0:11:37.50 --> 0:11:52.70

Morrison, Jennifer

If we forge ahead as if it's not an IT project, but then we start buying all these pieces of technology that require ads to be involved to implement them, that then we could meet a roadblock there.

0:11:52.120 --> 0:11:54.400

Morrison, Jennifer

O it was meant to get over the hurdle.

0:11:55.580 --> 0:11:55.740

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh.

0:11:55.800 --> 0:12:6.650

Morrison, Jennifer

Real or perceived at the front end, so that there isn't at the, you know, like when we have momentum and we're and we're actually doing things that we don't hit that roadblock there.

0:12:6.700 --> 0:12:11.300

Morrison, Jennifer

So it was sort of a proactive move to obviate that at the back end.

0:12:13.160 --> 0:12:14.760

Morrison, Jennifer

And but I agree with you, we don't have to be.

0:12:14.770 --> 0:12:29.500

Morrison, Jennifer

You know, if it's going to take three or four weeks of back and forth with the task force doesn't have to we we can choose to roll the dice about problems down the road or having to go back as we implement the you know the solution.

0:12:29.440 --> 0:12:29.720

White, Paul

Right.

0:12:31.880 --> 0:12:32.400

Morrison, Jennifer

Got my.

0:12:33.830 --> 0:12:55.780

Doenges, Mike

Just just to kind of pause point if if we do get to the point where it was taking too long right now and need to involve them, you know through the RP process when we award the RFP, I assume or well I would make the assumption that we could get an assigned number from EDS to work with the appropriate company to spec, out and scope accordingly at that point as well.

0:12:55.790 --> 0:13:3.330

Doenges, Mike

So if it is a bit of a hold up, I think during the process, not necessarily at the end, but during the process there is the opportunity to integrate with.

0:13:5.970 --> 0:13:6.540

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, I know.

0:13:6.550 --> 0:13:7.10

Morrison, Jennifer

Disagree.

0:13:7.20 --> 0:13:15.420

Morrison, Jennifer

There will be opportunity that even if we let it hit the street and they have concerns that as the process is rolling along, it can be worked out.

0:13:15.550 --> 0:13:24.380

Morrison, Jennifer

What I didn't want to see happen is some substantive change to the nature of the RFP to come up that that doesn't match.

0:13:24.450 --> 0:13:34.150

Morrison, Jennifer

You know, if we get out of the gate and it and they're like, no, no, no, no, there's this statute or this regulation and you're not in compliance with this requirement from state government.

0:13:35.670 --> 0:13:37.300

Morrison, Jennifer

That's what I didn't want to get too far ahead of.

0:13:43.230 --> 0:13:56.800

Neal, Barbara

That so I could throw out some of those proposed dates that I had in mind, given I have some experience with the PAT process, which I do hope goes smoothly and would eliminate potential issues down the road.

0:13:58.610 --> 0:13:59.380

Neal, Barbara

Perhaps, but.

0:14:1.720 --> 0:14:23.210

Neal, Barbara

I think that we would assuming things go well or there's only minor changes, perhaps that need to happen after the PAT process that we could anticipate releasing the big RFP by the end of next week at the latest as soon as it's ready to go and and hope and no later than the end of next week, assuming it's ready to go.

0:14:24.120 --> 0:14:40.830

Neal, Barbara

Then I would, because we have Labor Day holiday in there, I would push the date for vendors to return to us with questions to September 8 instead of just a straight 2 weeks later like had been defined.

0:14:40.900 --> 0:14:46.850

Neal, Barbara

So September 8 is what I would target and then I would also change the.

0:14:47.970 --> 0:14:56.60

Neal, Barbara

I would give it a little additional time for the vendor responses after we get the questions back cause we will have to respond to those questions.

0:14:56.390 --> 0:15:23.310

Neal, Barbara

So I would recommend that we make responses due to the entire big RFP on Friday the 13th of October and then have that following week of where where task force can be reviewing and hopefully make a vendor selection or target a vendor selection for October 20th or to determine next what we might want to do if we want presentations or whatever we might want from the vendor.

0:15:24.220 --> 0:15:32.570

Neal, Barbara

So responses due October 13th would be my recommendation based on that holiday and some other conflicts that I'm aware of.

0:15:39.40 --> 0:15:40.420

Neal, Barbara

I see a thumbs up from.

0:15:41.680 --> 0:15:42.130

Neal, Barbara

The mayor.

0:15:40.620 --> 0:15:42.460

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, but I'm fine with that timeline.

0:15:43.940 --> 0:15:44.510

White, Paul

So I'm just.

0:15:44.520 --> 0:15:51.690

White, Paul

If so, we had originally put in the draft RFP that we were thinking the vendor would start working October 1.

0:15:51.700 --> 0:15:56.80

White, Paul

If if responses aren't due till October 13th, when do we think that they would start working?

0:15:58.900 --> 0:16:0.660

Neal, Barbara

Well, I would hope N1.

0:16:2.760 --> 0:16:9.10

Neal, Barbara

It's going to depend, it's going to depend like it always has on contract negotiations.

0:16:10.570 --> 0:16:11.920

White, Paul

Right. OK.

0:16:11.890 --> 0:16:13.310

Neal, Barbara

And how smoothly that goes.

0:16:13.800 --> 0:16:15.370

Morrison, Jennifer

I I'm sorry, Paul.



0:16:15.380 --> 0:16:21.940

Morrison, Jennifer

I have in the draft that the project kickoff was October 23rd, not October 1.

0:16:23.130 --> 0:16:28.130

Morrison, Jennifer

So I I think we're just pushing this by one week to to have a project kickoff date of November 1st.

0:16:29.460 --> 0:16:32.610

White, Paul

OK, I don't have it in front of me, so I will take your word for that.

0:16:32.620 --> 0:16:38.620

White, Paul

I had it stuck in my head October 1, but if we're only pushing it a week, I guess that's can't object to that.

0:16:40.500 --> 0:16:49.110

Morrison, Jennifer

Yeah, we had the the hope, the the wishful thinking initially of an issue date of August 14th which is not going to happen.

0:16:49.120 --> 0:16:52.520

Morrison, Jennifer

We will have it issued before October 25th.

0:16:53.350 --> 0:17:1.340

Morrison, Jennifer

Uh, But then working around the Labor Day holiday, we had originally said that issue date plus two weeks, but then you have the holiday.

0:17:1.610 --> 0:17:14.190

Morrison, Jennifer

So I think with the document that I'm looking at right now is that we would be pushing it back by a week from what we had hoped for and exactly what we had anticipated when we first sketched this out.

0:17:14.290 --> 0:17:18.690

Morrison, Jennifer

Understanding that the veto session took three or four weeks off of our calendar.

0:17:20.360 --> 0:17:22.610

Neal, Barbara

And then there was that little flood I heard about.

0:17:22.850 --> 0:17:23.680

Neal, Barbara

You know, there was that.

0:17:23.140 --> 0:17:23.820

Morrison, Jennifer

Oh, there was that.

0:17:25.720 --> 0:17:31.510

Neal, Barbara

So we may want to also review the dates that are inside the RFP.

0:17:31.520 --> 0:17:36.480

Neal, Barbara

Once it you know we're all in agreement on on kind of this path forward just to make sure they align.

0:17:37.830 --> 0:17:43.400

Neal, Barbara

I know they're all estimated dates, but we could do a quick check of that, OK.

0:17:48.440 --> 0:17:48.690

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:17:51.140 --> 0:17:53.570

Neal, Barbara

It seems to me that there's agreement on the dates.

0:17:53.620 --> 0:17:56.670

Neal, Barbara

Do we need a vote or no?

0:17:56.500 --> 0:17:57.680

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't think we need a vote.

0:17:57.300 --> 0:17:57.770

Neal, Barbara

I don't.

0:17:57.690 --> 0:17:57.980

Morrison, Jennifer

They just.

0:17:58.0 --> 0:18:0.710

Neal, Barbara

OK, alright, I agree.

0:18:0.400 --> 0:18:7.180

Morrison, Jennifer

Just need to make sure that all the task force members have been given an opportunity for input, and if there's anyone who objects, just let us know.

0:18:12.970 --> 0:18:15.350

Neal, Barbara

OK, hearing no objections.

0:18:15.870 --> 0:18:22.900

Neal, Barbara

Shall we move on to this is back with you, Commissioner, the updates on the congressionally directed spending application.

0:18:23.500 --> 0:18:23.970

Morrison, Jennifer

Sure.

0:18:24.80 --> 0:18:24.610

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank you.

0:18:25.630 --> 0:18:36.730

Morrison, Jennifer

So as as has been mentioned repeatedly, the Department of Public Safety applied for and was awarded a \$9 million congressionally directed spending appropriation.

0:18:37.660 --> 0:19:5.840

Morrison, Jennifer

That was applied for and obtained granted a when we were on a different path towards regional dispatching and when the money came back to us, it came back through the COPS technology funding stream which specifically disallowed sub granting despite the fact that our application said over and over again that we intend to sub issue subgrants to willing regional partners etcetera.

0:19:5.850 --> 0:19:16.400

Morrison, Jennifer

So we found ourselves in a bit of a funnel that we weren't able to get out of despite our efforts to get the money moved to a different funding stream or to make an exception to the no sub granting.

0:19:16.970 --> 0:19:29.440

Morrison, Jennifer

So we found ourselves in our last meeting one week ago week in a day ago, making recommendations about how the application, what, what, what we should buy with the money.

0:19:30.230 --> 0:19:31.980

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm it it is my.

0:19:34.400 --> 0:19:46.470

Morrison, Jennifer

Decision that based on the input we heard at the last meeting, based on what I believe to be true, that pursuing the option that the radio technology shop put together is the best option.

0:19:46.480 --> 0:20:3.620

Morrison, Jennifer

We have continued to refine that and the status this week is that we are completing a first draft of responses to all the the questions that are part of the there's like, you know, 8 or 10 questions you have to answer as part of your grant application.

0:20:4.320 --> 0:20:20.590

Morrison, Jennifer

We have to put together timeline and budget and we have we have, we are working on finalizing a first draft of all of that and it looks like we are on target to be able to make that submission the week of the 28th.

0:20:20.650 --> 0:20:21.400

Morrison, Jennifer

I believe it is.

0:20:21.410 --> 0:20:24.20

Morrison, Jennifer

I believe it is on the 28th that we have to make the submission.

0:20:24.510 --> 0:20:34.20

Morrison, Jennifer

There's a 2 step process to this one you have to enter into grants.gov and the next one is in a different grant portal.

0:20:34.380 --> 0:20:50.80

Morrison, Jennifer

So there's a 2 Step 2 day process to being compliant with the next phase of things and I'm I'm actually pleased to report that my team has really leaned into this in the past week and and we've made a lot of headway on on getting that grant application ready.

0:20:50.390 --> 0:20:52.670

Morrison, Jennifer

So I think we're on track and we'll be on time.

0:20:55.470 --> 0:20:55.620

Neal, Barbara

And.

0:20:58.200 --> 0:20:58.650

Neal, Barbara

Uh.

0:20:58.920 --> 0:21:5.60

Neal, Barbara

Questions or comments from the task force members on the congressionally directed spending application.

0:21:8.330 --> 0:21:8.960

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:21:9.110 --> 0:21:14.490

Neal, Barbara

Hearing none, we'll move on to we'll move on to a public comment.

0:21:15.830 --> 0:21:23.10

Neal, Barbara

So we will give a everybody who chooses to the five minutes for public comment today.

0:21:23.730 --> 0:21:26.880

Neal, Barbara

So Philip Sisk, I'll start with you.

0:21:26.890 --> 0:21:27.950

Neal, Barbara

If you have anything to share.

0:21:29.150 --> 0:21:30.140

Phillip Sisk

Uh, thank you.

0:21:30.270 --> 0:21:35.880

Phillip Sisk

Nothing at this time other than I'll be looking forward to reviewing those RFP's when they are published.

0:21:36.210 --> 0:21:36.550

Phillip Sisk

Thank you.

0:21:37.210 --> 0:21:37.760

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:21:37.900 --> 0:21:41.160

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Steven Whittaker, do you have comments today?

0:21:46.420 --> 0:21:47.210

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Am I unmuted?

0:21:48.100 --> 0:21:48.460

Morrison, Jennifer

You are.

0:21:47.940 --> 0:21:48.620

Neal, Barbara

You you are.

0:21:48.630 --> 0:21:49.600

Neal, Barbara

We can hear you, yeah.

0:21:51.360 --> 0:21:59.730

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Well, I think for for example, the discussion of the dates today, all that has been done behind closed doors here to four.

0:21:59.800 --> 0:22:13.530

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So I really think that y'all were not in compliance with open meeting law in how you manage the executive session there were there was questionably anything that would compromise the state's position.

0:22:13.940 --> 0:22:18.780

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

That was done at the executive session and I think y'all ought to make a separate recording of it.

0:22:18.860 --> 0:22:35.420

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Negative sessions from here going forward because there would be no record and it would be really ugly, you know, directing costs it in trying to litigate that kind of open meeting law violation without a record for a judge to review in camera.

0:22:35.670 --> 0:22:53.90

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So you you can't be that unfamiliar with executive session processed to get in and conduction of what when you should stop what you're doing and go out into open session to discuss things as simple as dates because you've claimed until just meeting that all those dates were even secret.

0:22:53.480 --> 0:23:0.170

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

And so I think you I've made the point you need to vote to record your executive sessions.

0:23:0.180 --> 0:23:11.780

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Keep that as an allegedly exempt file and in case this practice continues, in which case it would need to get litigated and somebody you know who.

0:23:11.900 --> 0:23:18.150

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Understands the strict meaning of what's qualified for executive session and what's not.

0:23:18.680 --> 0:23:19.980

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Could review that recording.

0:23:20.890 --> 0:23:27.520

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Umm, I hear no sense of urgency about the deadlines for both the reporting.

0:23:27.530 --> 0:23:35.420

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

It looks like it's not just contract negotiation, it's contract approval, which I can see I've seen take a month or more.

0:23:35.810 --> 0:23:46.470

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So this could be December before a contractor is starting work and that doesn't leave enough time to get pilot projects scoped and designed and approved by this board.

0:23:46.830 --> 0:23:55.620

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So I feel like this, you know, the two person scheduling with ADS in this diversion around ADS.

0:23:55.710 --> 0:23:59.20

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

What is the statutory authority for this PAT team?

0:23:59.30 --> 0:24:8.500

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

I've never heard of them, and nor do they have any statutory authority that I'm aware of to slow down the procurement process by this task force.

0:24:8.890 --> 0:24:26.940

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So I'm I'm concerned that the complacency of allowing a couple of members to divert this thing rather than keep it on track for some production by December is gonna box us in and we're not gonna have either.

0:24:26.980 --> 0:24:45.40

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Neither a report nor some approved pilot projects, and if we missed the deadline for pilot projects, sure they can extend it, but that's going to create a whole nother mess of everybody clamoring for a piece of that eleven million and could derail the whole project.

0:24:45.560 --> 0:25:1.50

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So I would like you all to get very focused in the next section of the agenda on how you're gonna catch up for the month's lost in this not having taken the bull by the horns and gotten this schedule moving a month or two ago.

0:25:2.790 --> 0:25:3.650  
ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098  
That's enough for today.

0:25:5.350 --> 0:25:5.920  
Neal, Barbara  
OK.

0:25:5.990 --> 0:25:6.930  
Neal, Barbara  
Thank you Steven.

0:25:7.790 --> 0:25:9.740  
Neal, Barbara  
Kim Chini, would you care to comment?

0:25:12.140 --> 0:25:14.200  
Kim Cheney (Guest)  
No other than to say hello.

0:25:14.210 --> 0:25:19.420  
Kim Cheney (Guest)  
Journey starts with small steps and you're working on them.

0:25:22.210 --> 0:25:22.920  
Neal, Barbara  
OK.

0:25:22.970 --> 0:25:23.690  
Neal, Barbara  
Thank you very much.

0:25:25.30 --> 0:25:31.110  
Neal, Barbara  
Alright then back to the agenda.

0:25:31.250 --> 0:25:40.410  
Neal, Barbara  
So to the agenda item is to solicit member input on task force priorities during the RFP process.

0:25:42.750 --> 0:25:58.690  
Neal, Barbara  
What do we need to be thinking about while the RFP is going through its umm, you know it's necessary actions as we move forward here and I will open the floor to task force members.

0:26:6.550 --> 0:26:15.580  
Morrison, Jennifer  
So I've thought about this Barb and I wanna say that at the risk of possibly duplicating.



0:26:17.570 --> 0:26:25.220

Morrison, Jennifer

Efforts that may be described in the RFP that there are things that we could do, some preliminary work on.

0:26:25.300 --> 0:26:33.320

Morrison, Jennifer

In my estimation, that would then be enhanced by the work of the subject matter experts once they are on board and and familiarized with the work.

0:26:33.330 --> 0:26:47.490

Morrison, Jennifer

And one of those would be thinking about what our ineffective communications plan looks like to, uh, keep interested parties beyond this core group.

0:26:47.560 --> 0:26:56.350

Morrison, Jennifer

You know, this is the usual suspects right here on this meeting, and it's probably gonna be the usual suspects unless we have a guest into educate us about something or do something.

0:26:56.960 --> 0:27:8.700

Morrison, Jennifer

But beyond this group, what is an effective communications plan look like to update the broader constituency on the task force as work and milestones?

0:27:10.690 --> 0:27:33.380

Morrison, Jennifer

So that would be point number one and the second point that I can think about it, it's related to effective communications plan is how do we do constituent outreach in advance of a contract being awarded to let folks know that we're here and and want to listen to them about their priorities.

0:27:33.390 --> 0:27:40.210

Morrison, Jennifer

So that those would be two things that I think we could spend some time working on while we wait for the RFP process to percolate along.

0:27:45.250 --> 0:27:45.630

Morrison, Jennifer

God my.

0:27:48.720 --> 0:27:51.270

Doenges, Mike

So I know there's been some predesignated, uh, yeah.

0:27:51.280 --> 0:27:57.590

Doenges, Mike

Really great location for potential pilots maybe coming up with a list of that to have ready for the respondents.

0:27:59.860 --> 0:28:0.360

Doenges, Mike  
God.

0:28:0.850 --> 0:28:5.570

Doenges, Mike  
And if you like, this is the point to that was you.

0:28:4.70 --> 0:28:6.120

Neal, Barbara  
Mike, can I, Mike, can I interrupt you?

0:28:6.130 --> 0:28:6.560

Neal, Barbara  
I'm sorry.

0:28:6.570 --> 0:28:8.670

Doenges, Mike  
It's like it's like what you think?

0:28:6.570 --> 0:28:9.330

Neal, Barbara  
Where we're having a really difficult time hearing you.

0:28:9.340 --> 0:28:11.470

Neal, Barbara  
It's very garbled, at least on my end.

0:28:11.210 --> 0:28:11.940

Doenges, Mike  
Yeah.

0:28:12.250 --> 0:28:14.150

Doenges, Mike  
I'm sorry if it's any better or no.

0:28:14.210 --> 0:28:15.640

Neal, Barbara  
That is better, yeah.

0:28:15.830 --> 0:28:16.540

Doenges, Mike  
OK, great.

0:28:16.770 --> 0:28:19.270

Doenges, Mike  
Apparently I was talking to the wrong side of my microphone.

0:28:19.570 --> 0:28:19.870

Neal, Barbara  
OK.

0:28:19.280 --> 0:28:23.30

Doenges, Mike  
I apologize communications issues, as the Commissioner was saying. So.

0:28:24.400 --> 0:28:47.400

Doenges, Mike  
So, umm, there's, you know, there's been discussion about redesignated pilots and even some stuff that happened prior to the submission, this board being put together, but maybe having a list of some potential pilot locations for the RFP respondents, rights stuff, information that we can provide gathering and and building an informational collection that we can provide to those respondents.

0:28:47.960 --> 0:28:57.670

Doenges, Mike  
Who we or their RFP to accelerate their process data that we know that they don't necessarily have to go and research on their own that you can provide to them for the things that we're asking.

0:28:57.720 --> 0:29:11.250

Doenges, Mike  
So, umm, whether it's the communications fund, the pilot locations or even you know where where we know there are already dead spots in communications infrastructure like having that information that we already have.

0:29:11.310 --> 0:29:15.290

Doenges, Mike  
They don't have to go dig it up like we could probably help find paths to those pieces.

0:29:19.900 --> 0:29:20.20

Neal, Barbara  
Yes.

0:29:17.190 --> 0:29:20.140

Doenges, Mike  
That makes sense, yeah.

0:29:28.800 --> 0:29:30.750

Neal, Barbara  
OK, other ideas?

0:29:31.140 --> 0:29:37.120

Neal, Barbara  
Thoughts on this next steps would be another question that's circulating my head.

0:29:41.300 --> 0:29:41.870

White, Paul  
The Barber.

0:29:42.300 --> 0:29:44.240

Neal, Barbara

Yep, sure.

0:29:41.930 --> 0:29:44.780

White, Paul

I'll go if nobody else has got their hand up.

0:29:45.860 --> 0:30:2.550

White, Paul

Umm well to follow up on what the mayor just said regarding pilot projects, you know, I've one of the things that sort of got us headed down the road to where we are is the fact that DPS can no longer afford.

0:30:2.760 --> 0:30:7.750

White, Paul

I don't mean financially afford, but can't afford to keep dispatching for free for all these municipalities.

0:30:7.940 --> 0:30:18.380

White, Paul

So I've been saying for a year now that a pilot project should be focused on whatever part of the state is gonna bring the most immediate relief to the DPS dispatch centers.

0:30:18.390 --> 0:30:27.0

White, Paul

And I unless things have changed in the past six months, I think that's Rutland County in Rutland County needs to fail over somewhere.

0:30:27.10 --> 0:30:29.420

White, Paul

And Addison County would seem to make sense to be the place.

0:30:29.430 --> 0:30:38.60

White, Paul

So I guess that would be my vote for where to focus the first pilot project when we get to that point, umm.

0:30:38.600 --> 0:30:49.970

White, Paul

And then sort of in the same vein as as the Commissioner, you know, a lot of this may end up being redundant work because we're looking to hire both the project manager and subject matter experts to help us with this.

0:30:49.980 --> 0:31:18.90

White, Paul

But I think we we as a task force need to at least give some thought and discussion to what is governance gonna look like for regional dispatch center, you know, and by that I mean, you know, who's gonna own it, who's gonna run it, who or who would we allow to own it and run it, you know, for

example, under last year's under the path that DPS was headed down last year, Orange County was slated to be given money to be a dispatch center.

0:31:18.100 --> 0:31:23.330

White, Paul

And now, a year later, there, there really is no practically no Orange County Sheriff's Department.

0:31:23.340 --> 0:31:28.80

White, Paul

So what would we do if that if they had been granted money to stand up a dispatch center?

0:31:28.90 --> 0:31:31.850

White, Paul

A new sheriff gets elected and decide this he or she doesn't want to run one.

0:31:31.860 --> 0:31:32.90

White, Paul

What?

0:31:32.140 --> 0:31:32.890

White, Paul

You know how?

0:31:33.140 --> 0:31:34.430

White, Paul

How are we going to deal with that?

0:31:34.440 --> 0:31:36.920

White, Paul

Who's gonna own the equipment?

0:31:36.930 --> 0:31:39.620

White, Paul

Who's gonna own the the entity?

0:31:40.630 --> 0:31:44.220

White, Paul

Or what if a community signs on with a regional dispatch center?

0:31:44.230 --> 0:31:46.320

White, Paul

But then decides they want to switch to a different one.

0:31:46.530 --> 0:31:53.860

White, Paul

You know, those are the kind of things that we need to at least give some thought to, even though I know the subject matter experts are gonna guide us on that.

0:31:53.870 --> 0:32:1.130

White, Paul

But the more thought and discussion we can give to it ahead of time, umm, the more prepared will be when we get there.

0:32:1.540 --> 0:32:11.270

White, Paul

So governance is one, I think similarly, technology standards, you know, we don't want everybody just going through a catalog and picking their own.

0:32:12.0 --> 0:32:13.590

White, Paul

Well, I think I want to buy these radios.

0:32:13.600 --> 0:32:15.210

White, Paul

I think I want to buy those radios.

0:32:15.320 --> 0:32:22.240

White, Paul

You know we we want to come up with some kind of standards so that when we're ready to set up regional dispatch centers, everybody's on the same page.

0:32:23.80 --> 0:32:32.700

White, Paul

And again, the sooner we can have those thoughts and discussions, the better off we'll be rather than waiting for the subject matter expert to to tell us, umm.

0:32:33.400 --> 0:32:39.280

White, Paul

And then I guess the last thing is we talked a lot in previous meetings about CAD system.

0:32:39.290 --> 0:32:39.850

White, Paul

You know, I've.

0:32:39.860 --> 0:32:43.170

White, Paul

I've heard rumor that DPS is putting out some kind of.

0:32:43.380 --> 0:32:53.150

White, Paul

I won't call it an RFP, but doing some kind of a search for maybe a new CAD RMS system and if maybe in a future meeting if we could get an update on that, if that's even true.

0:32:53.160 --> 0:32:55.130

White, Paul

Maybe I heard something that was untrue.

0:32:57.730 --> 0:32:58.340

White, Paul

But just.

0:32:57.990 --> 0:33:0.410

Morrison, Jennifer

If if it if we are, it's news to me.

0:33:1.930 --> 0:33:2.230

White, Paul

OK.

0:33:4.620 --> 0:33:6.480

White, Paul

Or maybe that's all the discussion we need to have them.

0:33:10.430 --> 0:33:11.850

White, Paul

And I guess I guess that's like.

0:33:9.210 --> 0:33:15.600

Neal, Barbara

Ohh I I I I sorry Paul, I don't know if you're finished now.

0:33:15.610 --> 0:33:15.880

Neal, Barbara

I do.

0:33:15.890 --> 0:33:17.60

Neal, Barbara

I see my Don.

0:33:17.150 --> 0:33:17.470

White, Paul

I'm done.

0:33:17.70 --> 0:33:18.460

Neal, Barbara

Just set your hand is up.

0:33:18.470 --> 0:33:21.560

Neal, Barbara

So, OK, did you wanna follow up with Paul's comments? OK.

0:33:22.380 --> 0:33:22.910

Doenges, Mike

I did.

0:33:22.920 --> 0:33:23.250

Doenges, Mike

I did.

0:33:23.260 --> 0:33:29.630

Doenges, Mike

I think Paul hit some some good points and I think actually we can start preparing this.

0:33:29.640 --> 0:33:33.990

Doenges, Mike

But when we get our project manager for our side, they'll be able to help us really deployment Paul.

0:33:34.0 --> 0:33:37.480

Doenges, Mike

So I think those I think I think that's working together would do that.

0:33:40.50 --> 0:33:41.300

Doenges, Mike

Amazon Prime and everything.

0:33:41.430 --> 0:33:43.20

Doenges, Mike

That picture of the doctors.

0:33:40.970 --> 0:33:43.230

Neal, Barbara

OK, I don't think I caught all of it.

0:33:43.780 --> 0:33:45.140

Neal, Barbara

My sorry.

0:33:48.300 --> 0:33:49.630

Doenges, Mike

I again, I apologize.

0:33:49.640 --> 0:33:59.30

Doenges, Mike

I was just saying that I think, I think Paul's points are really good ones and I think having our project manager on board will help us get through that process as well.

0:34:0.340 --> 0:34:0.720

Neal, Barbara

Got it.

0:34:2.50 --> 0:34:3.80

Neal, Barbara

Yes, that makes sense.



0:34:3.720 --> 0:34:10.450

Neal, Barbara

Umm, I think I'll add a comment here on on Paul's comment about developing technology standards.

0:34:10.740 --> 0:34:14.450

Neal, Barbara

I'm a firm believer in technical and operational standards.

0:34:14.460 --> 0:34:17.560

Neal, Barbara

It's what the whole 901 system is built on.

0:34:19.350 --> 0:34:30.320

Neal, Barbara

I would be my what I think will be of great benefit when we have a vendor on is those experts know which standards we need to be.

0:34:32.100 --> 0:34:36.110

Neal, Barbara

We need to be thinking about and implementing or considering for implementation.

0:34:36.360 --> 0:34:42.430

Neal, Barbara

I wouldn't have a I wouldn't have a comfortable level of knowledge on that at this point.

0:34:42.440 --> 0:34:45.770

Neal, Barbara

So but others on the task force probably do.

0:34:45.980 --> 0:34:54.500

Neal, Barbara

And so we can at least begin that list, if you will, of things that, that, that we want to consider.

0:34:55.610 --> 0:34:56.460

Neal, Barbara

Uh bright.

0:34:56.470 --> 0:34:58.430

Neal, Barbara

Now, first kimchi.

0:34:58.440 --> 0:34:59.170

Neal, Barbara

I do see your hand.

0:34:59.180 --> 0:35:11.450

Neal, Barbara

I'm going to get comments from Task Force members 1st and then I'll confer with the Co chair about

whether or not we want to accept public input on this topic so you can be thinking about that, Commissioner, run Krumitz, go ahead.

0:35:12.900 --> 0:35:45.540

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

So I I I am certainly on board with the idea of having operational standards, but I I caution that getting into the same boat as other states have where we tell individuals, stakeholders that they need to buy a certain brand of equipment puts us in a whole where I mean there are there are fire and EMS agencies in this state whose communications budget can't actually afford to repair one of the more sophisticated radios out there.

0:35:45.550 --> 0:36:3.530

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

So we need to be conscious of that when we develop our standards, because we even if we have grant funding to implement this, we don't want to bankrupt ourselves going forward by developing a system that is too costly for our individual agencies to afford.

0:36:7.550 --> 0:36:8.130

Neal, Barbara

Good point.

0:36:12.60 --> 0:36:14.580

Neal, Barbara

Any other task force member input?

0:36:16.450 --> 0:36:17.360

Neal, Barbara

At this point.

0:36:16.450 --> 0:36:33.740

Morrison, Jennifer

I just wanna like, I just want to ask my fellow task force members to give some thought to to this, we don't want to get going on on a section of the RFP that that we would be awarding.

0:36:35.50 --> 0:36:37.540

Morrison, Jennifer

We don't want it like I'm just going to use what you just said, Ron.

0:36:37.550 --> 0:36:48.0

Morrison, Jennifer

The technical standards piece we don't want to get going in a direction that somehow leads the successful bidder to think that's the avenue we're pursuing.

0:36:48.450 --> 0:37:24.970

Morrison, Jennifer

We want to we want to remain open to all possibilities and encouraging multiple options be brought to us, so I just would be careful that if we're going to start working on something as specific as governance or technology standards that we do it in a way that is Super Switzerland, right, just info, not trying to

show that we are favoring One Direction or another, cause I don't want a a successful vendor to come to us and be like oh, I know where they're headed.

0:37:24.980 --> 0:37:28.350

Morrison, Jennifer

We're gonna make a really happy by doing X, so that's all.

0:37:28.360 --> 0:37:53.720

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm just want to kind of try and throw out again that we don't want to duplicate the effort of the RFP, but we do want to jump start what we can and I liked what we were talking about with the possible pilot project locations and that would include things like the contacts like who are the, who are the people who could be involved in decision making, get those pre identified gauge level of interest opportunities and then be able to turn over little bit of a.

0:37:54.290 --> 0:38:0.920

Morrison, Jennifer

This is where we think these opportunities live for pilot projects to the vendor who's going to hit the ground cold.

0:38:1.870 --> 0:38:8.190

Morrison, Jennifer

So I just want to make sure we're not foreshadowing preferences by doing too much work in One Direction or another.

0:38:13.480 --> 0:38:21.160

Morrison, Jennifer

And I'm totally open since we have a minute here that if Mr Cheney wants to weigh in, I'd be happy to hear his thought.

0:38:22.90 --> 0:38:34.280

Neal, Barbara

I have one more comment as a task Force member just before we do that and that would be whether or not or we should be thinking about what other entities the task force needs information from.

0:38:35.250 --> 0:38:37.500

Neal, Barbara

Are there any things anything?

0:38:37.730 --> 0:38:52.640

Neal, Barbara

Is there anything out there that we need to know more about, like the state has done some work on dead spots around the state cellular dead spots, specifically other state partners we might wanna hear from our like the Vermont Community Broadband Board.

0:38:52.650 --> 0:38:52.920

Neal, Barbara

And what?

0:38:53.130 --> 0:38:56.700

Neal, Barbara

Find out what they're up to and how it might impact what we're up to.

0:38:59.100 --> 0:39:14.20

Neal, Barbara

So I would encourage task force members to think about what else they might want to know to be informed about the current landscape and the work that's being done around, well, not just at the state level either.

0:39:14.90 --> 0:39:16.10

Neal, Barbara

You know the local and county perhaps.

0:39:17.940 --> 0:39:29.230

Neal, Barbara

So that's not really a well formed thought at this moment, but I'll be thinking too about who we might wanna get input from so we can discuss it at the next meeting and now I'll be quiet and go over to Kim Chini.

0:39:31.240 --> 0:39:31.580

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Thank you.

0:39:42.60 --> 0:39:42.500

Neal, Barbara

Oops.

0:39:42.680 --> 0:39:43.370

Neal, Barbara

Did we lose him?

0:39:45.250 --> 0:39:47.780

Neal, Barbara

I think we did OK.

0:39:47.790 --> 0:39:49.460

Neal, Barbara

Well, he's getting reconnected.

0:39:49.850 --> 0:39:52.540

Neal, Barbara

Steven, would you like to comment at this point?

0:39:52.700 --> 0:39:53.610

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

I I would.

0:39:53.660 --> 0:39:54.930

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

I just see that I'm.

0:39:55.40 --> 0:40:29.840

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

I'm thinking about this timeline and I don't believe that if your subject matter experts contracts don't get executed until late October, early November, uh, you need to have provisionally adopted some kind of technical standards to allow pilot projects to begin to shape their ideas as well as governance because the, the, the delay, the subject matter experts, I don't think are going to be ready to give recommendations until year end, if not next year.

0:40:30.130 --> 0:40:33.950

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

And so the pilot projects are going to be have to be governed.

0:40:34.940 --> 0:40:35.380

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Uh.

0:40:36.310 --> 0:40:45.280

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Both governed and technical standards that are set up provisionally based on, you know, APCO or in in TPSC, whatever it's called.

0:40:45.510 --> 0:40:52.310

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

There are documented standards for resiliency and failover and redundancy and storm hardening, etcetera.

0:40:54.350 --> 0:41:1.950

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So and and Barb to your comment, I think it's not inconsistent with my recommendation.

0:41:2.340 --> 0:41:27.200

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

I don't think would be practical to hear from all the different initiatives and try to bring this task force up to speed on the activities and interrelationships between the statewide fiber strategy being implemented by the broadband Board and the interoperability plan that Corey chases supposed to finish her, Terry.

0:41:28.120 --> 0:41:46.40

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Or I think that I have asked repeatedly that this task force recommend the governor reissue, the ECAC an executive order and get all these related initiatives into one forum.

0:41:46.590 --> 0:41:56.0

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

That advisory only for him, not an executive forum, where they all put all their cards on the table and look for synergies and come up to speed on what y'all are doing.

0:41:56.550 --> 0:42:6.920

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Having this task force present to that Advisory Council what it's up to and then have them work several meetings separately, coming back with ideas.

0:42:8.240 --> 0:42:15.800

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

There was a good piece in the paper recently by Christine Hallquist talking about the need for fiber resiliency.

0:42:15.940 --> 0:42:17.60

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Storm hardening.

0:42:17.280 --> 0:42:25.230

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

But it there cooperates completely in secret with their all their plans claimed exempt.

0:42:25.290 --> 0:42:34.40

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

So how are we gonna basically verify that we're building infrastructure that is storm hardened so that Advisory Council would be?

0:42:34.410 --> 0:42:44.370

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

You know, I've got a list in my head of all the people that would need to be on it, but I don't see this task force getting its head around all those different initiatives.

0:42:45.240 --> 0:42:50.110

ce00856e-5a73-40ee-98bc-e157ad763098

Uh, if you weren't tired of hearing from me by now, you certainly would be that.

0:42:53.300 --> 0:42:54.270

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:42:54.320 --> 0:42:55.490

Neal, Barbara

Thank you for your input.

0:42:56.450 --> 0:43:0.640

Neal, Barbara

Umm, yes, yes.

0:42:57.540 --> 0:43:0.650

Morrison, Jennifer

Mr Chaney's back, let's give him a crack at it and then move on.

0:43:1.730 --> 0:43:6.280

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Yes, sorry I problems with this program.

0:43:6.290 --> 0:43:7.160

Kim Cheney (Guest)

I'm not familiar with.

0:43:8.270 --> 0:43:20.350

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Well, I've heard all the technical stuff, but your group talk very wisely about getting independent legal advice.

0:43:20.980 --> 0:43:27.840

Kim Cheney (Guest)

What's gonna crater any future is fighting over the governance.

0:43:29.40 --> 0:43:32.930

Kim Cheney (Guest)

And I wanna support Paul White.

0:43:35.270 --> 0:43:41.640

Kim Cheney (Guest)

The the governance issue you're going to need some independent legal advice.

0:43:43.630 --> 0:43:44.0

Kim Cheney (Guest)

And.

0:43:48.900 --> 0:43:49.980

Kim Cheney (Guest)

By that I mean.

0:43:51.550 --> 0:44:2.850

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Probably a law firm who feels they can navigate you through municipal law and governance plans.

0:44:8.60 --> 0:44:15.270

Kim Cheney (Guest)

And kind of one of the sticking points that I ran into when I was trying to work on this.

0:44:20.910 --> 0:44:23.910

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Both the Department of Public Safety and.

0:44:28.80 --> 0:44:40.800

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Homeland Services have their own regulations for grants, and as I read what's on the table at the moment, I mean, what's an active governance?

0:44:42.320 --> 0:44:49.600

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Is that DPS has to follow essentially the Homeland Security grant proposals.

0:44:51.30 --> 0:45:3.50

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Let's talk about governance and one of the sleepers that I couldn't figure out is through those rules require competitive bidding.

0:45:5.20 --> 0:45:11.290

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Because what happened locally when we thought we had some money?

0:45:13.600 --> 0:45:16.0

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Is they opted for provider.

0:45:17.940 --> 0:45:33.300

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Financing, which is the same Motorola would buy it all, and then it would kind of lease it from paying back overtime and that obviously eliminates competitive bidding and.

0:45:36.130 --> 0:45:38.620

Kim Cheney (Guest)

I think some legal work has to be done.

0:45:41.130 --> 0:45:46.870

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Honey congruity between Homeland Security regs and state regs.

0:45:49.250 --> 0:45:50.20

Kim Cheney (Guest)

And I think the.

0:45:52.300 --> 0:46:3.680

Kim Cheney (Guest)

This task force can think about the various models of governance and they all have their own things to fight over.

0:46:5.350 --> 0:46:19.510

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Ohh but the bottom line is getting disparate people with different interest to work together is a complex job and it takes a legal form format to get it done.



0:46:21.50 --> 0:46:26.230

Kim Cheney (Guest)

And my mind that's equally important with all the technology.

0:46:28.470 --> 0:46:32.250

Kim Cheney (Guest)

And the cost issue cost is always an issue.

0:46:32.260 --> 0:46:37.490

Kim Cheney (Guest)

The question is how it's gonna be divided and what services are gonna be provided.

0:46:39.950 --> 0:46:46.40

Kim Cheney (Guest)

But you'll need a format for how to pour that money in and see that it's used wisely.

0:46:47.530 --> 0:46:48.620

Kim Cheney (Guest)

So I would urge.

0:46:51.160 --> 0:47:1.340

Kim Cheney (Guest)

By the way, Commissioner Morrison, I've emailed your Homeland Security unit and ask question about competitive bidding.

0:47:2.770 --> 0:47:4.900

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Nobody wants to answer that question.

0:47:5.90 --> 0:47:13.600

Kim Cheney (Guest)

I can't get an answer to it, so it either doesn't exist or it's too opaque and nobody wants to opine on it.

0:47:14.970 --> 0:47:16.750

Kim Cheney (Guest)

But I think it's critical because.

0:47:23.240 --> 0:47:27.570

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Structure, or you're gonna get provider financing.

0:47:29.260 --> 0:47:31.690

Kim Cheney (Guest)

So we gotta look at how we're going with this.

0:47:33.590 --> 0:47:34.830

Kim Cheney (Guest)

I mean 11 million.

0:47:38.50 --> 0:47:39.180

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Paul may be right.

0:47:39.190 --> 0:47:43.270

Kim Cheney (Guest)

It may be enough to get Rutland off the ground, but didn't get anybody else off the ground.

0:47:45.810 --> 0:47:46.130

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Test.

0:47:48.690 --> 0:47:50.920

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Happy thoughts down the road for everybody.

0:47:51.950 --> 0:47:53.30

Kim Cheney (Guest)

Thank you for listening.

0:47:54.650 --> 0:47:55.90

Morrison, Jennifer

Thank you.

0:47:54.580 --> 0:47:55.120

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:47:56.900 --> 0:47:57.550

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:47:58.20 --> 0:48:2.30

Neal, Barbara

Any other public comment on the issue of what we need to be thinking about?

0:48:2.40 --> 0:48:3.520

Neal, Barbara

What the task force needs to be thinking about?

0:48:7.740 --> 0:48:17.410

Neal, Barbara

OK, hearing none, I think we will go to the last agenda item, which is a new business.

0:48:17.420 --> 0:48:21.0

Neal, Barbara

Is there any new business other than setting the next meeting date?

0:48:25.240 --> 0:48:25.440

Neal, Barbara

Right.

0:48:29.100 --> 0:48:33.790

Neal, Barbara

Commissioner, thoughts on the cadence for next meeting at this point?

0:48:35.910 --> 0:48:51.340

Morrison, Jennifer

If the RFP's go out next week, I would propose that we go 2 weeks out for our next meeting and that in that interval we have time to think about what we're going to work on during the rollout.

0:48:54.190 --> 0:49:4.160

Morrison, Jennifer

I think that there's going to be times in the cadence of this project where we do have to meet weekly because of the, you know, need to keep things moving.

0:49:4.530 --> 0:49:11.220

Morrison, Jennifer

I don't believe that right the second we're in, one of those must meet every week, but I'm open to the feedback of the rest of the group.

0:49:22.780 --> 0:49:24.570

White, Paul

I would agree with Jen on that.

0:49:24.620 --> 0:49:25.610

White, Paul

I just and I'm.

0:49:25.620 --> 0:49:27.710

White, Paul

I'm not suggesting you need to schedule around me.

0:49:27.720 --> 0:49:29.30

White, Paul

I just for quorum purposes.

0:49:29.40 --> 0:49:34.390

White, Paul

I just want to let you know that I will likely not be available on the the week of the 30th.

0:49:36.290 --> 0:49:37.200

Morrison, Jennifer

All the calendar here.

0:49:40.530 --> 0:49:40.770

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:49:39.40 --> 0:49:41.450

White, Paul

That would be 2 weeks from now so.

0:49:41.560 --> 0:49:41.790

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep.

0:49:44.210 --> 0:49:45.990

Morrison, Jennifer

Yep, I.

0:49:46.90 --> 0:49:46.920

Morrison, Jennifer

Anyone else not?

0:49:47.140 --> 0:49:47.440

White, Paul

Long.

0:49:45.770 --> 0:49:47.610

White, Paul

It as long as there's not.

0:49:47.730 --> 0:49:48.580

Morrison, Jennifer

Gonna be here in the week of.

0:49:48.620 --> 0:49:49.600

Morrison, Jennifer

The 28th of August.

0:49:56.220 --> 0:49:56.540

Morrison, Jennifer

OK.

0:49:57.650 --> 0:49:58.290

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

I should be OK.

0:49:56.550 --> 0:50:0.430

Morrison, Jennifer

Then I think we should be OK quorum ones, OK.

0:50:2.450 --> 0:50:11.320

Morrison, Jennifer

Umm, So what do people think about the 30th going back to Wednesday the 30th at 11:15?

0:50:13.140 --> 0:50:14.270

Morrison, Jennifer

Would that be agreeable to people?

0:50:15.200 --> 0:50:16.160

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

That would be fine with me.

0:50:17.330 --> 0:50:17.830

Neal, Barbara

Thank you, Ron.

0:50:17.630 --> 0:50:17.990

Wright, Michael

Works.

0:50:17.860 --> 0:50:18.650

Doenges, Mike

Should with me as well.

0:50:17.370 --> 0:50:19.800

Morrison, Jennifer

All right, I got 1M D.

0:50:21.200 --> 0:50:21.960

Neal, Barbara

Michael Smith.

0:50:20.800 --> 0:50:22.840

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm seeing Mike W nodding.

0:50:23.880 --> 0:50:24.80

Wright, Michael

Yep.

0:50:25.830 --> 0:50:27.370

Morrison, Jennifer

It's good for you, Barb.

0:50:27.600 --> 0:50:28.260

Neal, Barbara

Yes, it is.

0:50:28.270 --> 0:50:28.510

Neal, Barbara

Yep.

0:50:29.480 --> 0:50:34.420

Morrison, Jennifer

OK, 11:15 on Wednesday, August 30th.

0:50:35.890 --> 0:50:37.490

Morrison, Jennifer

I'm going to just block that out.

0:50:37.650 --> 0:50:43.830

Morrison, Jennifer

Next Task Force meeting and we'll get that meeting notification out in a timely manner.

0:50:45.410 --> 0:50:45.530

Neal, Barbara

Yes.

0:50:48.680 --> 0:50:49.0

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:50:48.570 --> 0:50:49.900

Morrison, Jennifer

That's all I have for new business.

0:50:52.590 --> 0:50:58.440

Neal, Barbara

All right, then, I believe we can move on to adjournment.

0:50:58.450 --> 0:51:0.160

Neal, Barbara

Is there a motion to adjourn?

0:51:1.700 --> 0:51:4.90

Morrison, Jennifer

Yes, I sent will make a motion to adjourn.

0:51:5.200 --> 0:51:5.480

Neal, Barbara

Q.

0:51:5.480 --> 0:51:5.860

Wright, Michael

Some of.

0:51:6.140 --> 0:51:6.480

White, Paul  
2nd.

0:51:8.220 --> 0:51:11.580

Neal, Barbara  
I didn't hear who second in Saudi are you all set, Paul?

0:51:10.310 --> 0:51:11.630

Johnson, Soni  
Paul Paul seconded.

0:51:11.590 --> 0:51:12.530

Neal, Barbara  
OK, alright.

0:51:13.200 --> 0:51:14.590

White, Paul  
Just pick one.

0:51:14.30 --> 0:51:16.810

Neal, Barbara  
And we'll vote to adjourn and raise your hand if you approve.

0:51:18.340 --> 0:51:18.970

Morrison, Jennifer  
Approve.

0:51:19.40 --> 0:51:20.310

Neal, Barbara  
That's alright.

0:51:18.730 --> 0:51:20.580

Doenges, Mike  
I am not a video.

0:51:20.320 --> 0:51:21.730

Neal, Barbara  
I excellent.

0:51:21.820 --> 0:51:25.50

Neal, Barbara  
Alright, everybody, we'll see you on the 30th.

0:51:25.100 --> 0:51:25.440

Neal, Barbara  
Thank.

0:51:25.680 --> 0:51:26.320

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:51:27.190 --> 0:51:27.570

Wright, Michael

Thank you.

0:51:27.520 --> 0:51:27.980

Doenges, Mike

Thank you.

0:51:30.680 --> 0:51:30.840

Ron Kumetz (Guest)

But.

0:51:31.950 --> 0:51:32.250

Neal, Barbara

Bye bye.