

Valcour Gov Board
11/10/2021

Attendees: Ryan Brady, Lori Malloy, Vegar Boe, Jen Beane, Steve Laroche, Robert McKenna, Mark Anderson, Michelle Hunt, Nathan Harvey, Darwin Thompson, Matt Sullivan, Craig Gardner, Guest – Tucker Jones, Eric Shepard

Missing: Adam Cohen

Call to Order
1002

Approve Minutes
Vegar – Motion
Michelle – Second
Approved unanimously

DPS GoLive

Update from Darwin – GoLive on Dec 6th, will test the week before to verify that they are all set to move forward with the GoLive date. Betty – Identified 10 bugs and 8 have already been fixed and Crosswind is looking able to finish before next GoLive

Mobile Pro – officers able to mark themselves 10-7 10-8; Traffic stop button dispatched the trooper on the call twice.

Kristy has been working with the non-VSP agencies and they also seem ready for GoLive date
At this point there is no critical issue that the VGB needs to address

Governor's Buprenorphine Task Force Research Request (Tucker Jones)

Buprenorphine (misd possession) was de-criminalized this last session so Gov created task force to determine how Bup is being used in the state before and after de-criminalization. Bup has always been grouped with other depressants and therefore is difficult because Judiciary does not hold specific Bup crime status, only greater groups in. Want to see if VGB is interested in allowing the researcher in the task force to look at Bup references in incident narratives, looking for potentially a key word search.
Mark – Change of policy in release of information. Previously required every agency head to approve, now the requests can come directly to the VGB and establish general data that can be approved. This is the purpose of unifying LEA under one RMS.

Vegar – may need to include property search and all slang terms of Bups.

Betty – Information is available through Spillman using an incident code. VIC has been going a lot of search through Valcour already and may be able to direct Tucker in how to word the search or may already have that information.

Mark – Valcour has a drug involved category. In regards to spelling you have the

Mark – More of the concern is the release of the information. 1) Support researcher? Haven't heard any against. 2) Before public release of data occurs give to VGB to ensure specific incident identifiers are not included and to not harm any police investigations.

Is the researcher getting access or is an agency doing the search for the researcher? Researcher would need help either way because they don't have access. Is this a request from DPS or the task force? More for the task force who is connected to a researcher at John Hopkins.

This may be something that the ADS can look at and assist with. Per Darwin, yes someone from ADS that already has access will assist/aid the researcher.

Betty – Make the motion to permit work with the stipulation that it be sanitized data and the researcher does not have direct access but is being assisted by some at ADS.

Vegar seconded

Passed unanimously

Betty and Darwin will work with Tucker to get this work done. Tucker will connect with the VGB when ready for review before public release.

Program Manager Policy

Original – Removed 4.9 and moved the info to the alert code policy

Original – Removed 1.2 that specifically mentioned alert code policy

Motion to adopt – Eric

Seconded – Michelle

Passed Unanimously

Alert Code Policy

Would be helpful if an incident number is attached to the alert? Yes it would be helpful

Are alert codes captured in the audit log? Don't think so. No – Mark just tested it

Table this until PMs have a chance to weigh in and Crosswind can develop functionality to bring all agencies into compliance with new policy.

May be a policy issue to determine 10-32 alerts, maybe all shouldn't be danger, some probably fall under informational

May be valuable to provide examples of alerts that are acceptable to let them fit into a category

Chittenden County SA

Follow up from last meeting. Converted to warning while IT was registering computers, trying to register 14/21. 8 of 14 had errors and IT is working with Crosswind to finish and work should be done today for them to be in compliance.

Don't know what specific error was but David and Kristy have been working with them directly.

If they are out of compliance after today will there be consequences? They were not provided the authorization codes until yesterday due to no one who was able to actually doing it for them.

Motion by Eric – Chittenden SA be required to enforce two factor authentication by end of business day 11/19/2021.

Seconded by Vegar

Passed unanimously and Mark will communicate with them that intent and will turn it on if not done by them.

Two factor authorization can only be changed by super users so limiting it to super users is rational and practiced as it's had to be shut off across the board when soft token was not functioning and no users were able to access.

Adjourned 1054

Motion to adjourn by Vegar

Seconded by Eric